	F1E3SOK1
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
2	X
3	MARK I. SOKOLOW, et al.,
4	Plaintiffs,
5	v. 04 CV 397 (GBD)
6	PALESTINE LIBERATION ORGANIZATION, et al.,
7	Defendants.
8	x
9	New York, N.Y. January 14, 2015
10	9:30 a.m.
11	Before:
12	HON. GEORGE B. DANIELS,
13	District Judge
14	APPEARANCES
15	ARNOLD & PORTER LLP
16	Attorneys for Plaintiffs BY: KENT A. YALOWITZ
17	PHILIP W. HORTON TAL MACHNES
18	SARA PILDIS CARMELA T. ROMEO RACHEL WEISER
19	
20	MILLER & CHEVALIER, CHARTERED Attorneys for Defendants
21	BY: MARK J. ROCHON LAURA G. FERGUSON
22	BRIAN A. HILL MICHAEL SATIN
23	Also present: RACHELLE AVITAL, Hebrew interpreter RINA NE'EMAN, Hebrew interpreter
24	KINA NE EMAN, neblew incerpreter
25	

1 (In open court; jury not present) THE COURT: I received some letter objections. 2 3 of all, let me just go right to it, Mr. Yalowitz. When for the 4 first time did you provide to the defendants Exhibit 1150? 5 MR. YALOWITZ: Exhibit 1150 is a photograph we 6 received yesterday? 7 THE COURT: Yes. MR. YALOWITZ: Your Honor, we received it yesterday 8 9 for the first time. And we provided it to them yesterday for 10 the first time. 11 THE COURT: It's out. 12 MR. YALOWITZ: All right. I understand the Court's 13 ruling. 14 THE COURT: I'm not wasting any time. When is the 15 first time you provided Exhibits 362, 451, 889? 16 MR. YALOWITZ: Bear with me, your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Yes. MR. YALOWITZ: Okay. Your Honor, let me begin with 18 362. 362 is the verdict of Nasser Aweis. That document was 19 20 translated between -- that document was originally provided, as I understand it, it was before I came in the case, was provided 21 22 by the defendants to my predecessor counsel in about 2007. It 23 was filed on the docket in the Knox case before Judge Marrero 24 like six or seven years ago.

When we filed our initial disclosures in 2011, it was

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

filed on the docket by the defendants. When we filed our initial disclosures in 2011, again before I came in the case, we said we're going to rely on convictions that you already have. We then --THE COURT: Which exhibit are you talking about? MR. YALOWITZ: 362. THE COURT: You gave me a binder of convictions, more than one binder of convictions as I remember. Was this in it? MR. YALOWITZ: I believe, yes, sir, yes. Yes. I got a lot of exhibit numbers. I'm doing my best here. THE COURT: Well, I'm doing my best too. MR. YALOWITZ: You're doing great. THE COURT: I need to know when they were aware that this was a conviction that you had and possibly you might use. MR. YALOWITZ: I think they were aware that it was a conviction when they filed it in front of Judge Marrero in another case. They were aware of what it was because they had it translated. THE COURT: When did you indicate you would utilize that conviction at this trial? MR. YALOWITZ: Certainly no later than January 2013. THE COURT: In what form did you give that? MR. YALOWITZ: '14. We put it on our exhibit list. THE COURT: It was on the exhibit list? I want to make sure what your representations are. It was on the exhibit

list, they produced it to you, it is in the binder of convictions when I went through the binder of convictions to determine which convictions would be admissible and which were not.

Is that an accurate statement or what part is not accurate?

MR. YALOWITZ: The only part I don't know is the part about your binder. I'm not sure what the timing of your going through the binder is.

THE COURT: I'm trying to figure out whether it was in the binder. You gave me a binder of all the convictions.

MR. YALOWITZ: Today?

THE COURT: No, you gave it to me when we were arguing.

MR. YALOWITZ: Yes, it was in that binder.

THE COURT: That was weeks ago.

MR. YALOWITZ: Yes. Yes, it was in that binder. Yes. Of course.

THE COURT: It was in that binder?

MR. YALOWITZ: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: All right. Because I can guarantee if you it was in that binder, I reviewed it. It was in the binder of convictions that you said you wanted to use before we resolved the issue of whether or not convictions would be admitted as statements against interest.

1 MR. YALOWITZ: Correct. THE COURT: It was part of the convictions that were 2 3 in that, that we went through in that binder. 4 MR. YALOWITZ: Yes, sir. 5 THE COURT: What is 451? 6 MR. YALOWITZ: 451 is the verdict of Marwan Barghouti. 7 THE COURT: Was that in the binder? 8 MR. YALOWITZ: Yes, sir. 9 THE COURT: When did you produce that and when was it 10 produced to you? 11 MR. YALOWITZ: I don't have that one at the top of my 12 head. But, that was certainly on our list from January of 13 2014. 14 THE COURT: That was on your exhibit list and it was 15 in the binder? 16 MR. YALOWITZ: Yes, sir. 17 THE COURT: What about 889? MR. YALOWITZ: 889, same thing. It was on our exhibit 18 19 list, it was in the binder that we provided to the Court. 20 THE COURT: Let me have one more last look. 21 MR. YALOWITZ: Your Honor, excuse me. I just want to 22 correct one thing. Your Honor, I just want to correct one 23 statement. 362 was one of the three that we substituted. 24 THE COURT: Right. That was the one we talked about 25 that you added.

MR. YALOWITZ: Correct. We substituted. But they had it. This is the one they had that they filed with Judge Marrero.

THE COURT: I'm not so interested in what happened in Judge Marrero's courtroom. I'm interested in what happened in this courtroom.

MR. YALOWITZ: Fair enough. Okay. That's why I corrected it, because I made a mistake.

THE COURT: They have this conviction in their possession and you added this and said you wanted to add this.

MR. YALOWITZ: They had the conviction in their possession from before the case. They were put on notice we were going to use all convictions in their possession during discovery.

THE COURT: You added this one.

MR. YALOWITZ: We put it on our witness list. We put it on our exhibit list. We gave them a copy of it.

THE COURT: When?

MR. YALOWITZ: In March of 2014 we gave them a copy of it. And then we didn't put it in the binder, but I told you that I needed to substitute it in. Within a day or two we filed it on the docket.

THE COURT: You can remind me and they can remind me,

I have no recollection that when you said you were going to

substitute it they said they had any objection.

MR. HILL: Last night.

25

1 THE COURT: When is the first time you were aware that 1150 existed? 2 3 MR. HILL: Last night. 4 THE COURT: It's out. 5 Two of these three convictions were convictions that 6 we discussed in the binder, right? 7 They were in the binders that your Honor MR. HILL: looked at in December. 8 9 THE COURT: And the third conviction was one that in 10 court I do have the vaque recollection that they said they 11 wanted to add, and I don't have a recollection that you stated 12 any objection to adding that to the convictions. 13 So, we did object in the objections to be MR. HILL: 14 filed to all of the evidence that it was not timely produced. 15 But the objection I'm pressing here today is it wasn't disclosed to be used with Mr. Kaufman. 16 17 THE COURT: That was my next question. Your objection 18 is not that you were unaware of this exhibit, didn't know it 19 existed, unlike 1152, you never saw this exhibit until today or 20 That's not the nature of your objection. vesterday. 21 MR. HILL: They are on their exhibit list. 22 THE COURT: The nature of your objection is not even 23 to the admissibility of these convictions. It is the

utilization of these convictions with the expert.

MR. HILL:

That's one of them.

THE COURT: What is the other? 1 MR. HILL: I also objected to Mr. Barghouti and 2 3 Mr. Shubaki's convictions coming in because they weren't 4 convicted for any of the six attacks. THE COURT: You never stated such an objection. 5 6 It's in my letter. They weren't convicted MR. HILL: 7 of any of these six crimes. 8 THE COURT: How long have you had these convictions? 9 MR. HILL: I've had the Nasser Aweis one, as counsel 10 pointed out, we did file it in another case. So if you want to 11 exclude that, I got it from them in this case in July of 2013 12 is the first time I got it in this case. 13 THE COURT: That's when you got all two of the three? 14 MR. HILL: I got the Nasser Aweis one in July of 2013. The Marwan Barghouti and Fuad Shubaki convictions I did receive 15 16 prior to the close of that discovery. 17 THE COURT: Let me go to the third letter. Are all of 18 these photographs, these exhibits that you objected to? 19 MR. HILL: They're not all photographs, your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Which ones aren't photographs? If they're 21 not photographs, what are they? 22 MR. HILL: They're documents that were either produced 23 in discovery and some of them were not produced in discovery. 24 THE COURT: That doesn't tell me what they are. 25 Some of them are records we produced MR. HILL:

related to payments, some of them are printouts from the 1 Internet, one of them is a video. 2 3 THE COURT: I'm trying to understand, again, the 4 objection we're dealing with now is simply your objection that 5 it shouldn't be utilized with this witness. Not your objection 6 that they are for all purposes inadmissible. 7 MR. HILL: I understand. THE COURT: I'm trying to understand. I know you 8 9 understand. I'm trying to understand you. That's the nature 10 of your objection. 11 MR. HILL: I can specify which ones they are, but I handed my copy to the clerk. If I can retrieve it. 12 13 THE COURT: We'll give you back the copy. So your 14 objection is that they didn't tell you that Eviater was going 15 to comment on these. 16 MR. HILL: Correct, your Honor. 17 THE COURT: And you say other than the photographs, 18 what else is there that you're objecting to? 19 MR. HILL: Bear with me just a moment, your Honor. 20 Plaintiff's Exhibit 171. 21 THE COURT: Okay. What is that? 22 MR. HILL: It purports to be some sort of phone 23 directory. 24 THE COURT: When did you get that?

MR. HILL:

I've had it, I produced it, but my point is

25

it is not in Mr. Eviater's report. So until yesterday, I was 1 2 not on notice it was going to be used for him. It is a listing 3 of names and people in Palestine. 4 THE COURT: That's a phone directory. What else? 5 173 is a document which we produced in MR. HILL: another matter that deals with payments made to Fatah. Again, 6 7 my objection is it was not referenced in Mr. Eviater's report, 8 so until last night I had no notice they would use it with him. 9 THE COURT: But that's a document that you produced to 10 them when? 11 MR. HILL: I produced it, yes. 12 THE COURT: When? 13 MR. HILL: We produced it in another case. It would 14 have been before the close of discovery in this case. THE COURT: How many years ago? 15 16 MR. HILL: My quess is two or three years ago. 17 THE COURT: I'm sorry, you referenced that as a what? 18 MR. HILL: A list of payments made to Fatah. 19 THE COURT: Okay. What else that's not a photograph? 20 Exhibit 212, which is a printout of several MR. HILL: 21 dozen pages from a website. 22 THE COURT: Were you on notice that this was going to 23 be used at trial as opposed to on notice that this was going to 24 be used with this witness?

MR. HILL: Let me check when I received it, your

Honor.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. HILL: I was definitely not on notice that it would be used with this witness.

THE COURT: I understand that.

MR. HILL: This document was first produced to us in August of 2013. So nearly nine months after close of fact discovery.

THE COURT: You were on notice that it was possibly going to be an exhibit at this trial.

MR. HILL: I was. It is on their exhibit list.

THE COURT: What other kind of exhibit?

MR. HILL: Exhibit Number 215 is also a website. That was not referenced in Mr. Eviater's report, so I was not on notice it would be used with him. And it was produced to me, according to my records, also in August of 2013.

THE COURT: The content of both of those websites, did the content of that website relate to the subject matter that you knew he was going to testify about?

MR. HILL: They are about the prisoners.

THE COURT: Okay. I don't have the details, obviously, of his report. So I assume he laid out some assessment with regard to prisoners that related to his expert opinion.

MR. HILL: So in that sense, he does talk about

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

prisoners, and these documents are about prisoners. complaint is I'm supposed to know from the report what the basis of his opinions are and what exhibits will be used. THE COURT: But the factual basis for his opinion that is represented in the website, you were aware of that. MR. HILL: Not at the time I deposed him. Not at the time I received his report. THE COURT: You were unaware that he was relying on prisoner information when he gave his report? MR. HILL: I was aware of the general subject matter of prisoners. I was not aware that the witness had anything to do with these particular documents. THE COURT: I'm not talking about the documents. I'm talking about the subject matter. MR. HILL: I was aware of the general subject matter. THE COURT: What else? MR. HILL: 241 is another website. THE COURT: And the subject matter of that website? MR. HILL: Also about prisoners. THE COURT: Okay. MR. HILL: It was not referenced --THE COURT: I didn't ask you about that first website. Also about prisoners? What is the subject matter of that? MR. HILL: Does your Honor have the number there? THE COURT: That was maybe --

MR. HILL: 171? 1 2 THE COURT: 212. 3 MR. HILL: Also purports to be about prisoners. 4 THE COURT: All that was the same subject matter. 5 Anything else that's an exhibit that wasn't a photograph? 6 MR. HILL: What was the last one I gave your Honor? 7 THE COURT: 241. MR. HILL: 628. 8 9 THE COURT: What's that? MR. HILL: It purports to be a report from the World 10 Bank. 11 12 THE COURT: Okay. And the subject matter of that 13 report? 14 MR. HILL: Has to do with public expenditures reviews 15 for the PA. THE COURT: Did he opine on that subject matter in his 16 17 report? 18 MR. HILL: I don't believe so. 19 THE COURT: He did he testify to that during 20 deposition? 21 MR. HILL: No, your Honor. 22 THE COURT: What did you say that document is? 23 MR. HILL: It purports to be volume two of a West Bank 24 and Gaza public expenditure review published purportedly by the 25 World Bank.

THE COURT: How long have you had that? 1 MR. HILL: Bear with me. Your Honor, I don't have a 2 3 precise date. It was referenced in the report of a different 4 expert, which meant I would have been aware of it in March or 5 April of 2013. 6 THE COURT: What do you think they believe is the 7 relevance of that information? MR. HILL: I actually don't know, your Honor. 8 9 THE COURT: Does it reflect expenditures of the PA or 10 the PLO, for example, with regard to martyr payments or 11 something like that? MR. HILL: It may be about martyr payments. It is at 12 13 least 174 pages long. 14 THE COURT: You saw nothing relevant in that document? 15 It's generally about the PA's finances in MR. HILL: the period of 2006, which is after all these attacks. 16 17 THE COURT: Mr. Yalowitz, what has that got to do with this case? 18 MR. YALOWITZ: The document does talk about the dollar 19 20 volume of payments to prisoners and martyrs. 21 THE COURT: Well, that was my guess. 22 MR. YALOWITZ: I didn't think, I didn't think it was a 23 big mystery, but okay now. 24 THE COURT: That's why I asked. 25 MR. YALOWITZ: Now he's been tipped off.

```
1
               MR. HILL: I still have the objection it wasn't
      disclosed.
 2
 3
               THE COURT: You guys aren't going to outsmart each
 4
      other. You'll win this case on its merits.
 5
               MR. HILL: I have three more. 958 which are
6
      interrogatory answers from another case.
 7
               THE COURT: Okay.
               MR. HILL: They're not referenced in Mr. Eviater's
 8
9
      report.
10
               THE COURT: Is the subject of the interrogatories
11
      something that he opined upon?
12
               MR. HILL: It has to do with payments to Fatah. I
13
     don't recollect him having an opinion about payments to Fatah.
14
               THE COURT: What else?
               MR. HILL: I have Plaintiff's Exhibit 1127 which
15
16
      purports to be a business card.
17
               THE COURT: Okay. Of who?
18
               MR. HILL: Of Jabril Rajoub.
19
               THE COURT: Do you know the relevance of the business
20
      card?
21
               MR. HILL:
                          I know Mr. Rajoub was the head of PSS
22
      during the relevant time frame, but this card does not have the
23
      PSS, so I don't know the relevance.
24
               THE COURT: Mr. Yalowitz, what does the card have to
25
      do with this case?
```

MR. YALOWITZ: The card is to help expertize the 1 2 He's an expert -expert. 3 THE COURT: That's lawyer talk. Don't give me that 4 "expertize the expert." What is the relevance? Why should we 5 care about this card? What is this card supposed to show? 6 MR. YALOWITZ: The card is supposed to show that the 7 witness who received the card knows people in the PA, is knowledgeable about the PA from first-hand knowledge. 8 9 THE COURT: So the testimony is going to be this 10 witness received this card directly from this individual? 11 MR. YALOWITZ: Correct. 12 THE COURT: And he has personal relationships with 13 some of these individuals? 14 MR. YALOWITZ: Exactly. 15 THE COURT: In support of your argument that he is 16 intimately familiar with their activities and their personnel? 17 MR. YALOWITZ: You got it. 18 THE COURT: All right. That's all I'm asking. I do object. That was not disclosed in the 19 MR. HILL: 20 report, and I asked him at his deposition about conversations 21 with Palestinians and he did not tell me about conversations 22 with Mr. Rajoub. He told me about conversations with several 23 different people, but Mr. Rajoub is not one of them. 24 MR. YALOWITZ: May I be heard on that, your Honor? 25 THE COURT: No.

MR. HILL: 1143 is the last one. This is another 1 printout which does have to do with prisoners, but it was not 2 3 referenced in Mr. Eviater's report. 4 THE COURT: Is it accurate that all the photographs 5 which you're objecting to be utilized with this witness were 6 photographs in the binder we went through? 7 They were photographs, with the exception MR. HILL: 8 of one, that were given to us in December of last year. 9 THE COURT: I'm trying to figure out whether those 10 were the ones I looked at. 11 MR. HILL: Yes, except one. I don't believe I have a 12 photograph of Mr. Karaqi. I'll stand corrected if that's 13 incorrect. 14 THE COURT: Mr. Yalowitz, was Mr. Karaqi's photograph 15 in that binder? MR. YALOWITZ: I don't believe it was. It was in 16 17 Exhibit 700, so that defendants have had it for whatever it is, nine months. 18 19 THE COURT: You put that on your list of exhibits? 20 MR. YALOWITZ: Yes, sir. 21 MR. HILL: That wasn't on the list last night, so I 22 haven't had a chance to look at 700. 23 THE COURT: Let me look quickly, because our jurors 24 are here waiting, and I don't want either side to be prejudiced

because of the fact that they're cooling their heels waiting

for us.

I flipped through the first few redactions. It seems to be consistent with what we discussed. Is there some basis that you have to believe that the redactions, particularly with regard, and I assume what you focused on, was the objections that you found to be inadequate yesterday or the day before. Have you looked at any of those and did you still find any of those to be inadequate?

MR. HILL: We had only checked through the binder pertaining to the Sokolows. We've got people working on the other ones now, and Mr. Satin can point to things that we do think is inadequate.

THE COURT: Tell where it is.

MR. SATIN: Sure. It relates to the case of Nasser Shawish.

THE COURT: Just a second.

MR. SATIN: Exhibit numbers are 382G and 366.

THE COURT: Tell me what page.

MR. SATIN: I have on the bottom 74.

THE COURT: Page 74.

MR. SATIN: My understanding that's just the first page.

THE COURT: Slow down. Page 74. What line do you claim is an inappropriate redaction?

MR. SATIN: So it says 74. This is the first page of

25

the exhibit. 1 2 THE COURT: Mine says 74. 3 What happens for the next few pages --MR. SATIN: 4 THE COURT: Show me the line that you say is an 5 inadequate redaction. 6 MR. SATIN: All of the trial testimony that --7 THE COURT: Show me the line that you say is an 8 inadequate redaction. 9 MR. SATIN: So can I just back up just to give the 10 Court a little bit of background. 11 THE COURT: Answer my question. Give me the first one 12 that you say is an inadequate redaction. 13 MR. SATIN: The --14 THE COURT: What line? 15 MR. SATIN: "Question: I ask that you relate to the crime attributed to me." 16 17 THE COURT: That's the nature of your objection? 18 I'm trying to tell the Court what it is. MR. SATIN: 19 I'm trying to ask you where was the THE COURT: 20 redaction that you say is inadequate. I said that they were 21 supposed to redact any identifying information of which one 22 party is accusing or incriminating another identified party. 23 As long as that the identity of that person is not stated or 24 obvious or disclosed by the relevant description of that

person, it is an appropriate redaction.

1 Do you have any one that you can show me that falls outside of that category? 2 3 Not on this particular --MR. SATIN: 4 THE COURT: Any document. Give me one. 5 MR. SATIN: Then we can go -- I want to come back. 6 This a separate and unique issue I do want to address at some 7 point. THE COURT: Well, "at some point" is the next 8 9 30 seconds because I'm bringing this jury in. 10 MR. SATIN: Then if we can go, your Honor, to the 11 trial exhibit 357, which is the indictment of Ahmed Barghouti. 12 THE COURT: Okay. Ahmed. 13 If the Court would turn to page 73. MR. SATIN: 14 the Court looks to the bottom the last line has the name 15 Abdullah Barghouti in that last line. And it has the name Abdullah Barghouti three times unredacted on the next page. 16 17 THE COURT: What are you saying it says about Abdullah 18 Barghouti that we don't already know? 19 MR. SATIN: What are we saying? 20 THE COURT: Yes. You're objecting to it. You say 21 it's prejudicial to you. What is he saying about Abdullah 22 Barghouti that we don't already know by Abdullah Barghouti's 23 conviction? 24 The jury does not know that Ahmed MR. SATIN: 25 Barghouti transferred Abdullah Barghouti from the prison of the

preventive security of the Palestinian Authority.

THE COURT: With regard to Ahmed Barghouti, I'm not going to sustain any objection with regard to that. Because Ahmed Barghouti himself was an employee of the PA.

MR. SATIN: But, your Honor, whether he is an employee or not, his statements are not -- the same rule applies for him, if he is implicating some other person.

THE COURT: Next.

MR. SATIN: So --

THE COURT: Ahmed Barghouti is not accusing Yassir

Arafat. He's making reference to Abdullah Barghouti, who has

already pled guilty to the crime.

MR. SATIN: That's the difference, your Honor. That's why each time that Abdullah Barghouti is mentioned by Ahmed Barghouti, he is accusing him for things of which Abdullah Barghouti was not convicted. He was not convicted of escaping from jail. There is no independent admissible evidence of that fact.

THE COURT: The rest of your objections are overruled. All the other objections are overruled, other than the one that I indicated we're moving forward. All right? If we get to a point where they're getting ready to offer an exhibit before the jury, and you have some objection that a non-PA related person is accusing the PA or the PLO and they didn't redact that, then I will hear objection to that document. But I think

we have exhausted this, beat the dead horse on this one. We're moving forward. All right.

MR. ROCHON: Your Honor, just one thing to preserve an issue. We're moving forward, accepting your ruling. It is significantly likely that we will discover some additional problems with the redactions, because we only got them after midnight. I know the plaintiffs were working hard on it. We're working hard. I'm asking you if there's any other objections that we might have, they should not be deemed waived. And we can do curative instructions and redactions later to deal with the issue.

THE COURT: Fine. You have that.

MR. YALOWITZ: Wait a minute.

THE COURT: You have that. But you're going to have to convince me that there is some reasonable basis why you didn't go right back to what you said was the objectionable part and verify immediately that those changes were made. And that's not going to take days or hours. That's going to take minutes. Go back to the ones that you say were inadequate and see if they have fixed them. Okay. And we are not going to sit around with the jury cooling their heels in the jury room so you can go back through this process for the 10th time.

MR. ROCHON: Judge, I'm accepting your ruling, and we are going to bring the jury in. I don't want it to be deemed a waiver if we missed something between one in the morning and

this morning.

THE COURT: If you identify something that they did not fix and that you can argue that there is a good reason why you didn't find it before this time, and that it is prejudicial to the defendant, I will take the appropriate action.

MR. ROCHON: Thank you. That will probably just be redaction. The jury won't remember every word. We'll be able to cure it.

THE COURT: Look, my guess is, if there is something there where some non-related PA person accused a PA or the PLO, that you would be bringing that to my attention this minute.

Okay. So I assume now all this nuance about whether or not it is this person, I don't consider that to be something that we should go through line by line.

But if you think there is something where a non-PA or PLO official is identifying a PA or PLO individual, and accusing that person of committing the crime, then I suggest you better find that pretty quickly and let me know.

MR. ROCHON: We have people back working on it while we're here, of course. Thank you, your Honor, and we're ready.

May I have the Court's indulgence for 30 seconds so I can communicate to the people working on that?

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. ROCHON: Thank you. If you want to receive it,
Mr. Yalowitz can handle that.

THE COURT: Mr. Yalowitz, can we move forward? 1 MR. YALOWITZ: No, let's go. I'm ready to go. 2 3 THE COURT: Get your witness back. 4 MR. SATIN: Can I raise one quick point on the 5 redaction issue that's a new thing based on binders they gave 6 us? 7 THE COURT: No. 8 MR. YALOWITZ: Thank you, your Honor. 9 THE COURT: If it is not in the letters and we didn't 10 discuss it, no. I'm not going to deal with it. MR. YALOWITZ: Plaintiffs call --11 12 THE COURT: If it doesn't call into the category we 13 have, we're not going to address it now. 14 MR. SATIN: Just about the binder cover. How they are 15 being presented to the jury. MR. YALOWITZ: They've had those covers for a long 16 17 time. 18 THE COURT: That is a waste of my time and the jury's 19 time. All right. 20 MR. YALOWITZ: Plaintiffs recall Nick Kaufman. 21 THE COURT: Bring the witness in and let's get the 22 jury. 23 MR. ROCHON: Before the jury comes in --24 THE COURT: Yes, sir. 25 MR. ROCHON: I see the witness has brought something.

I don't know the Court's practice on this.

THE COURT: The Court's practice is he can bring his teddy bear if he wants. If you want to examine him about why he's got it in front of him, you can.

MR. ROCHON: I would like the record to reflect the witness has his teddy bear.

MR. YALOWITZ: Your Honor, I want to move the admission of the five binders. Rather than go through every number, rather than go through every number, we'll provide a copy of the -- well, provide a list to the Court, but we have copies of the binders for the jury and for the Court.

THE COURT: All right. What I will do is, they will be deemed admitted, unless when you get ready to put it before the jury and utilize it with this witness, there really is a serious objection beyond what we've just discussed that we have to deal with.

MR. ROCHON: Can I ask a record question?

MR. YALOWITZ: We'll need to hand out binders one at a time to the jury.

MR. ROCHON: So we've obviously --

THE COURT: Mr. Yalowitz.

MR. YALOWITZ: I'm sorry.

MR. ROCHON: We've obviously objected on a number of grounds that's come in previously. In order to preserve our record, does the Court want me to stand up and say "no

objection" --

THE COURT: Not on previous objections that you have made. I'm admitting those binders over the defense objections.

Defense objections have been noted.

If, like I said, if for some reason you're getting ready to go over a conviction and then somebody notices that it says "Yassir Arafat drove me to the incident," then if you want to stop it at that point and it is worth discussing, that's one thing. But, it better be something pretty stark and pretty serious at this point. All right.

MR. ROCHON: Understood. Thank you.

MR. YALOWITZ: Okay. But they are admitted in evidence. I don't need to move them into evidence in front of jury?

THE COURT: No, you don't need to.

MR. YALOWITZ: Thank you, sir. Okay, we're ready.

THE COURT: Let's get the jury.

(Continued on next page)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

(Jury present)

THE COURT: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. First of all, let me apologize for the delay. I can tell you that in most instances I'm dealing with issues with the parties that in the long run save us time, rather than delay the trial. So you can be assured we were working, and that I'm confident that we were resolving certain issues before we start. It will hopefully shorten the length of the trial, rather than lengthen the trial.

So we're prepared to move forward at this point.

- Mr. Yalowitz, you can continue.
- MR. YALOWITZ: Thank you so much, your Honor.
- 13 NICHOLAS KAUFMAN,
- called as a witness by the Plaintiffs,
- 15 having been previously sworn, testified as follows:
- 16 DIRECT EXAMINATION (Continued)
- 17 BY MR. YALOWITZ:
- 18 Q. Good morning, Mr. Kaufman. Welcome back.
- 19 A. Good morning, Mr. Yalowitz.
- 20 Good morning, members of the jury.
- 21 MR. YALOWITZ: Good morning, members of the jury.
- 22 | Q. All right. Mr. Kaufman, I would ask you to summarize for
- 23 | the jury the attack of January 22, 2002, based on the
- 24 convictions that you have reviewed.
- 25 A. Yes, Mr. Yalowitz. I believe there is a little

Kaufman - direct

organizational chart which we can perhaps display for the benefit of the jury.

- Q. Sure. Bear with us and Ms. Machnes will display it. I think that's a good idea.
- A. Yes. Now, members of the jury, this is what we will refer to as a cell. You can see a number of operatives. For all intents and purposes, the two people at the top are the most senior operatives in this cell. Ahmed Barghouti, I will call him the operational commander. Nasser Aweis on the left-hand side, right at the top, I'll call him the hierarchy commander. I use that quite loosely. From my reading of the documents, he certainly has a position of seniority in the Palestinian Authority.

Anyway, so, around about January 2002, the chap on the top right-hand corner, Ahmed Barghouti, decided that he wanted to carry out a terrorist attack. So, he decided to recruit someone to do that. And the person who actually did the recruiting, you can see on the second line down on the far left, that's Ibrahim Abdel Hai.

He found someone who was prepared to carry out this attack. And the person who was prepared to carry out the attack, he's right at the bottom. Said Ramadan. And he is written "shooter" there. This in fact was going to be a suicide attack carried out by way of machine gunfire.

Now, as things happen in things like this, Ahmed

Barghouti sought approval for the attack. He sought it from his hierarchical superior, Nasser Aweis. Nasser Aweis gave his approval for this attack.

So, the attack itself took place, as you heard yesterday from the opening statement, on the 22nd of January. And Ahmed Barghouti, the operational commander, and another individual called Muhammad Mousleh, you'll see him in the second line down, he is the second one in there. They met with the suicide recruit, Said Ramadan, in order to prepare him for the attack.

Now the preparations for these attacks, members of the jury, are sometimes quite complex and intricate. In order to get the suicide shooter to Jerusalem, you need to find means of transport. He's obviously not going to walk on foot.

So, two individuals were hired, Fares Ghanem and Muhammad Abdullah, they are the two individuals on the middle line right at the end. They agreed to transport Said Ramadan to Jerusalem to carry out his attack. And they planned a route to do that.

Ahmed Barghouti, the operational commander, and Muhammad Mousleh, part of the preparation team, got Ramadan ready for the attack. They did that by taking him to pray. Why, may you ask, did they take him to pray? Well, he knew he was going to die, so he was preparing himself for death. They bought him food maybe for his last supper, clothes and shoes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Kaufman - direct

1 All for the purpose of the attack.

Muhammad Mousleh, he bought the weapon. The weapon was an M-16 semi-automatic rifle.

Now, I don't know whether we can perhaps enlarge this picture so we can have a look at Said Ramadan himself. Is that possible, Mr. Yalowitz?

- Q. I think it's going to be difficult but if you bear with us one moment, Mr. Kaufman, we might be able to do it.
- A. Just to magnify Said Ramadan.
- Q. I'm not sure we can. Let me just consult.
- 11 A. It's not important, members of the jury. If there is a like the dispute about this.

If you look at Said Ramadan and you look at the weapon he's clutching, that in my own personal knowledge, and I know this because before I started my compulsory military service I had to do basic training, I was actually trained with this weapon. It is an American weapon. It is an M-16 semi-automatic rifle. It was used in Vietnam. It is used by the Israeli Army. And he's clutching that M-16 semi-automatic weapon. This was the type of weapon that he used to carry out the attack.

Said Ramadan also has around his head a band. And behind him there is a kind of I would say canvas. It's got a logo on it. I know those logos. Once again, being an Israeli citizen, I've seen the news like anyone else, I know that logo

F1E3SOK1 Kaufman - direct is the logo of the Al Aqsa Martyrs Brigade. And I had a good look at the brand which is wrapped around --MR. ROCHON: Your Honor, we would object. Can we have a brief sidebar? THE COURT: Yes. (Continued on next page)

25

1 (At the sidebar) MR. ROCHON: None of this is in his expert report or 2 3 in his deposition about his knowledge of weapons, his knowledge 4 of Al Aqsa Martyrs Brigade, the knowledge about why he's 5 getting his money for the last meal. What he's testifying to is the content of these convictions. 6 7 THE COURT: So what part are you objecting to? MR. ROCHON: This entire narrative of what happens 8 9 needs to be based on the language of the convictions. 10 THE COURT: I don't disagree. But, okay. This is a 11 little late objection. 12 MR. ROCHON: I didn't know what he was going to do 13 with this witness. This wasn't in the report or the 14 deposition. 15 THE COURT: The bottom line is, I don't think that this witness or any of your expert witnesses are qualified to 16 17 testify as to how this event happened. That's a factual 18 recitation. He is giving testimony about who was involved, 19 when it was involved, who gave what. That's not an expert 20 opinion. That's something he read. 21 MR. YALOWITZ: May I be heard on that? 22 THE COURT: Yes. 23 MR. YALOWITZ: Okay. At his deposition, he said I'm

THE COURT: How is he a summary witness? He's the

also going to be a summary witness.

Kaufman - direct

1 first witness we're hearing from. He's not summarizing 2 anything. 3 MR. YALOWITZ: He's summarizing the convictions. 4 THE COURT: What he just said has nothing to do with 5 convictions. 6 MR. YALOWITZ: I understand that. 7 THE COURT: You asked him tell me how this whole thing happened. How is he qualified to tell us factually how this 8 9 happened? He's not qualified. 10 MR. ROCHON: That's what I should be hearing from --11 MR. YALOWITZ: Bear with me. Let me clarify with him 12 to try to slow him down a little bit. Ask him some questions. 13 But, his summary, what he said -- leave aside the 14 headband which he just noticed and is talking about the 15 headband. But his summary is based directly on document after document. And that PowerPoint that your Honor said we don't 16 want to put in front of jury, I respect that ruling, but I am 17 18 telling you I gave that PowerPoint to the defendants, and every single statement in there is sourced to a conviction. 19 20 MR. ROCHON: Keep your voice down. 21 MR. YALOWITZ: And he is faithfully adhering to that 22 summary. In fact, he's got it in front of him. 23 THE COURT: He is not a fact witness. 24 MR. YALOWITZ: No, he is a summary witness. 25 THE COURT: No, he is not a summary witness. He is an

Kaufman - direct

expert witness. He can give some expert opinion about this case that is beyond the understanding or knowledge of the jury. He wasn't there. He was not a fact witness. You can't use him to say, okay, let me tell you how this happened. That's exactly what you asked him. He is not qualified to tell --

MR. YALOWITZ: I asked him to summarize.

THE COURT: -- who did what, who spoke to who. And most of that is based on evidence that is otherwise inadmissible.

MR. YALOWITZ: Your Honor.

THE COURT: So you can't use him as a fact witness to put in facts that you don't otherwise have in this case. If he wants to summarize the evidence before the jury, but I'm not going to let this jury say we are going to find them liable because this guy told us the whole story.

MR. YALOWITZ: All right.

THE COURT: He's not here to tell us the story. He is here to give an expert opinion about his review of the documents on whatever particular issues are relevant. It is not appropriate to say, well, I got an expert who is going to come in on a bank robbery case, and give chapter and verse minute by minute how the robbery took place. That's not an expert witness. That's not what he's qualified to do.

MR. YALOWITZ: He is a summary witness, your Honor.

THE COURT: Summarizing what? What is he summarizing?

1 MR. YALOWITZ: He's summarizing the convictions. THE COURT: He's not summarizing the convictions. 2 3 He's summarizing, first of all, he's summarizing much of the information that we said was inadmissible. 4 5 MR. YALOWITZ: No, no, your Honor, we really carefully 6 vetted this. 7 THE COURT: That's fine. I'm giving you guidance at this point because they didn't object. They didn't object on 8 9 that basis. They objected on a different basis. When you 10 asked him tell me how this happened, nobody objected. 11 MR. ROCHON: Judge, I didn't know what he was going to 12 do with this guy. 13 THE COURT: You knew over the last 10 minutes. 14 MR. YALOWITZ: Also they have the PowerPoint, so they knew exactly what he was going to testify to. 15 MR. ROCHON: I wanted to give Mr. Yalowitz the chance 16 17 to -- I assume he knows the rules. This witness is supposed to 18 move the convictions. If he wants to point out certain parts 19 of the convictions, to say what was said there -- I don't like 20 it, but I lost -- if he wants to describe the process to tell 21 the jury how that works, that's what they said he is going to 22 use them for. 23 MR. YALOWITZ: I said he is going to summarize the 24 convictions. I said that five times.

THE COURT: You said that five times, but he has not

F1E3SOK1

Kaufman - direct

said a single word about a conviction.

MR. YALOWITZ: Let me do it a different way. I get it.

THE COURT: Let me lay the ground rules for all your experts. You can't use your experts to simply come in here and say "I know how this happened. Let me tell you how it happened beginning at 8 a.m. on such-and-such a date and who was where and who did what." He doesn't know that.

MR. YALOWITZ: Okay. I get it. Let me do it a different way.

MR. ROCHON: My point is, Mr. Yalowitz in his closing would put all this together and say maybe those kinds of things if they are based on the evidence that's in the record, then I wouldn't object. But for this witness to do this.

MR. YALOWITZ: We can do it a different way. It will take longer, but I can do it a different way.

THE COURT: Not necessarily. It may take shorter. If he's here to tell us that he reviewed the convictions and he wants to comment on the admissible part of those convictions.

MR. YALOWITZ: That's what we are going to do.

THE COURT: That is going to be before this jury, he can do that. For him to simply say in his opinion he knows X did it because -- I don't know why he says, because he didn't even say how he knows this. You just asked him, well, what happened.

F1E3SOK1

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Kaufman - direct

MR. YALOWITZ: Because last night I said what did you do to prepare. He said I reviewed the convictions, I reviewed court files.

THE COURT: If he wants to comment specifically.

MR. YALOWITZ: Let's do it that way.

THE COURT: On the portions of the convictions that are admissible at this trial, then he can comment on that information and comment on those portions of the convictions.

MR. YALOWITZ: Let's do it that way.

THE COURT: If you want to put the convictions in and put him through those convictions.

MR. ROCHON: What I thought we were going to do.

THE COURT: He should understand that he can't just start throwing out names, throwing out accusations, his recitation of what he's concluded happened minute by minute during this event.

Now with regard to your outstanding objection, I am going to overrule that objection. I'll leave the record the way it is based on the limited objection you made. But I'm giving you that guidance. If you think we are going into an area that is objectionable, then you make the objection and then I'll address it.

MR. YALOWITZ: Thank you.

MR. ROCHON: I didn't want to get up too soon. We just got the jury in. I'll have to start jumping up.

```
Kaufman - direct
      F1E3SOK1
                THE COURT: It is never too soon. It can be too late,
 1
 2
      but never too soon.
 3
                (Continued on next page)
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

(In open court)

MR. YALOWITZ: So, your Honor, what I'd like to do is hand out to the jury a binder of the convictions of the individuals from the January 22 attack, and then they can see those convictions for themselves, with the Court's permission.

THE COURT: Why don't you just tell me what exhibit you wish to offer and then the record will reflect what you're putting in front of the jury.

MR. YALOWITZ: Sure. Bear with me one second.

THE COURT: Just go ahead and do that. We'll do that for the record later. I don't want to waste the jury's time. BY MR. YALOWITZ:

Q. Mr. Kaufman, what I'd like to begin with, with you after our discussion with Judge Daniels, is the conviction of Ahmed Barghouti.

If you can direct the jury to that portion of their binders and help them understand, just break down what the documents show, that would be a great start.

A. Perhaps, Mr. Yalowitz, it will be possible to explain what has been given to the members of the jury so they know.

This is a binder, members of the jury, of a record of convictions. Each and every one of the people that you see on the screen there have a separate binder, have a separate divider. And you'll see the index on the very first page, and that will assist you how to find all the various operatives

F1E3SOK1

Kaufman - direct

that were involved in this particular attack.

So, Mr. Yalowitz has asked me to deal with Ahmed Barghouti, and he's to be found at the very first binder. If you look at this, and open it, you will see there is a tab and that tab is marked A. And now please, if you would, turn to tab A and you will see a document. In the top-right-hand corner there is a number 358. That's just an exhibit number, it is something legal.

But this document itself is a transcript, it is a record of a hearing that went on in the military court. It is basically what that lady is doing here, it is a stenographer's report. Except in the military courts, there isn't a stenographer. There is a young woman soldier who types.

And here, if you look right at the bottom of the page, you can see the word "verdict." Here, it says "Based on his confession to the charge, we convict the defendant of defenses attributed to him." So here, the three judges who are mentioned at the top-right-hand part of the page, are convicting Ahmed Barghouti of criminal offenses.

And you might ask yourselves, well, what are those criminal offenses? Well, if you turn to tab B, you will see that amended indictment.

Now, an indictment is a charging sheet. That's the original document which is submitted before a court in the Israeli military court, and it sets out all the charges leveled

F1E3SOK1

Kaufman - direct

against a suspect. And normally, you find an amended indictment, a corrected indictment, when there has been what we call a plea bargain. When the prosecution and defense reach an agreement as to how the case should be disposed.

That's in fact what happened here. The verdict was given as a result of the plea bargain. Ahmed Barghouti decided to plead guilty, and he pled guilty to a number of offenses.

One of which was relevant to this particular incident on January 22, 2002.

And indeed, if you turn to page 34 of this amended indictment, to be found at tab B, right at the very bottom, very last line, you will see the words 26th Count. Detailed incident 502/2 Zion. Let me explain to you what that is. It is just basically the police case file. Zion is a police station in Jerusalem. That was the police station which investigated this particular attack on January 22, 2002.

And if you look at page 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, and 40, you will see the facts of the January 22, 2002, attack. Those are the facts that I was telling you earlier, before the lawyers started to talk.

Q. Now Mr. Kaufman, with the Court's permission, I'd like to direct you to certain portions of this conviction, and direct the jury to certain portions of this conviction so that the jury can focus on the role of this particular defendant in the attack.

```
Kaufman - direct
      F1E3SOK1
               MR. YALOWITZ: Your Honor, may I proceed in that
1
2
      fashion?
 3
                THE COURT: Yes.
 4
               MR. YALOWITZ: Thank you.
 5
               (Continued on next page)
 6
 7
 8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

2

Kaufman - direct

1	BY	MR.	YALOWITZ:
---	----	-----	-----------

- Q. I am looking particularly at paragraph 1 on page 35.
- 3 Let's just make sure everybody is with me.
- 4 Paragraph 1.
- 5 A. Paragraph 1, you will see that when the word defendant is
- 6 mentioned that means Ahmed Barghouti because he is actually the
- 7 person on trial here and he was the one who decided to execute
- 8 the seaside attack.
- 9 Q. If you would, Mr. Kaufman, if you could just read out
- 10 paragraph 1 to the jury.
- 11 A. "The above-mentioned defendant, in January 2002, the
- 12 | defendant decided that he wanted to execute a suicide attack
- 13 | inside the territory of the State of Israel in order to cause
- 14 | the death of as many Israeli civilians as possible."
- 15 MR. YALOWITZ: Now, with regard to some of the
- 16 | following paragraphs I would ask the court's permission for me
- 17 | to read them out so that I can be sure to comply with some
- 18 | things that we did outside the presence of the jury.
- 19 | May I proceed in that fashion, your Honor?
- 20 THE COURT: Yes.
- 21 MR. YALOWITZ: Thank you so much.
- 22 | Q. So I am going to read out paragraph 2 for you, Mr. Kaufman.
- 23 The above-mentioned defendant telephoned an illegal
- 24 organization in Nablus. The defendant asked an unnamed person
- 25 to send him a person who would be prepared to carry out a

Kaufman - direct

suicide attack. The defendant told someone that he himself would see to bringing the suicide terrorist into Jerusalem in order to carry out a suicide attack there."

"A few days later, on January 22, 2002, in Ramallah, the defendant met Said Ramadan, a resident of Kfar Tal in the Nablus district. Another person met the defendant -- I am on paragraph 5, ladies and gentlemen -- and the above-mentioned Said Ramadan that day in Ramallah. The defendant introduced the two to each other. The defendant and that person took Said Ramadan to a barber shop to have his hair cut before carrying out the planned suicide attack."

I would like to turn to paragraph 8.

"According to the instruction of the defendant, two unnamed persons travelled in the above-mentioned Isuzu vehicle from Ramallah to Jerusalem in order to find a way that had no police or IDF checkpoints, with the aim of driving the suicide terrorist who would carry out the planned attack in Jerusalem later using the same route."

I am going to skip to paragraph 10 on page 37.

"In Ramallah, the defendant and another person took the above-mentioned Said Ramadan to pray, and also bought for the above-mentioned Said Ramadan food, new clothes and shoes. The defendant paid with his own money for these purchases in the amount of 1200 Israeli shekels."

"Another person, at the instruction of the defendant,

Kaufman - direct

brought an M-16 assault rifle and three magazines for the said assault rifle, filled with cartridges, two of which were connected with a magazine coupler (jungle clip)."

"According to the plan of the defendant and his above-mentioned colleagues, Said Ramadan should have arrived in Jerusalem and shoot there at Israeli civilians with the intent of causing their death until he himself would be killed by the Israeli security forces."

Let me skip to paragraph 14.

"The defendant explained to two individuals that the above-mentioned Said Ramadan was the suicide terrorist that they had to drive to Jerusalem in order for him to carry out a suicide attack by shooting at Israeli civilians with the aim of causing the death of as many Israeli civilians as possible."

"Two unnamed individuals hid the above-mentioned M-16 assault rifle and magazines in the above-mentioned Isuzu vehicle."

Let's turn to page 38, paragraph 16.

"The defendant and an unnamed colleague wished Said Ramadan luck and the three travelled to Jerusalem. The defendant told two individuals that they would have to take Said Ramadan to carry out the suicide attack in any place in Jerusalem of their choosing."

"An unnamed individual drove the Isuzu vehicle. Said Ramadan sat in the seat next to the driver's seat and another

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Kaufman - direct

individual sat in the rear seat."

"Two individuals transported Said Ramadan to Jerusalem on a route that they had inspected earlier that day as described above."

"In Jerusalem, two individuals travelled to Sheikh Jarah Street. There they took out the M-16 assault rifle and magazines that were hidden inside the vehicle and handed them over to Said Ramadan. One individual moved to the seat next to the driver's seat while Ramadan moved to the back seat, holding the M-16 assault rifle and the magazines in his hands."

Let's skip to paragraph 22.

"Upon reaching the junction of Strauss and Hanevi'im Street, two individuals stopped the Isuzu vehicle."

Paragraph 24.

"After Said Ramadan got out of the vehicle with the M-16 assault rifle and the ammunition, two individuals drove away from the site in the Isuzu vehicle, departed through the Musrara neighborhood to Highway 1 and then drove by way of the main road to Ramallah."

"Said Ramadan, a few minutes after having got out of the vehicle of those two individuals, arrived at Jaffa Street."

Mr. Kaufman, if you would read paragraph 26 to the jury, that would be great.

A. "At about 4:20 p.m., while standing opposite Building No. 47 on Jaffa Street or thereabouts, Said Ramadan loaded the M-16

Kaufman - direct

assault rifle that he was carrying, shouted 'Allahu Akbar' and discharged automatic gunfire indiscriminately at the people who were on Jaffa Street, at the bus stop at the site, aboard the Egged bus No. 27 that was at this stop at the time and at the people who were within the stores nearby with the aim of causing the death of as many people as possible. Said Ramadan, while continuing to fire, fled from the site towards the parking lot in Harav Kook Street. There, Said Ramadan changed magazines and continued to shoot at civilians with the aim of causing their death. Said Ramadan fired through the M-16 assault rifle that he carried more than 38 cartridges. Said Ramadan continued to shoot at civilians until he was killed by civilian and policemen who arrived at the site."

Mr. Kaufman, what was the charge in the 26th count that Ahmed Barghouti pled guilty to, and where can the jury find that?

A. Members of the jury, look at page 35, right at the top, and it's always going to be like this in all of the indictments that you will see from the Israeli military court. You have the count and then you have the nature of the offense. You will find the charge in the part titled Nature of the Offense. That's the very first line, top of page 35. Causing intentional death. That's murder. It's just that it is not called murder in the legislation in the Israeli military court.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

Kaufman - direct

1 It's called causing intentional death.

MR. YALOWITZ: Your Honor, with the court's permission, I would also like to direct the jury to page 40 of the Ahmed Barghouti conviction, and I would like the court's permission to read out to the jury paragraph 28.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. YALOWITZ: "After the defendant learned about the execution of the above-mentioned attack, the defendant approached a co-conspirator and received from the latter the amount of 1,000 U.S. dollars for executing the said attack. The defendant gave other co-conspirators 100 U.S. dollars each for their participation in the execution of this attack."

- Q. Mr. Kaufman, could you direct the jury, by the way, to the name of the individual who was murdered as reflected in Count 26.
- A. Have a look at paragraph 27, members of the jury, of this particular count. It is to be found on page 40, right at the top.
 - I can read it out if you wish, Mr. Yalowitz.
- 20 | Q. Yes, please do.
 - A. "By his acts described above, the above-mentioned defendant caused the intentional death of the late Ora (Svetlana)

 Sandlar, who died as a result of gunshot wounds caused by the bullets that were fired by Said Ramadan."
 - Svetlana is a Russian name, members of the jury. It's

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

21

Kaufman - direct

- 1 likely that lady had Russian origins and came to Israel to live 2 there.
- Q. Mr. Kaufman, could you direct the jury to the 27th count and explain to them what that count is.
 - A. Well, the 27th count, members of the jury, it's the same factual setup. It's just that it's repeating the facts and charging Ahmed Barghouti once again for causing the intentional death, murder, of another person, the late Sarah Hamburger. If you look at the paragraph there, details of the offense, you will see there is a name highlighted in bold print, Sarah Hamburger. Two people were murdered in that attack. Sarah
 - Q. I would also ask you, Mr. Kaufman, to explain to the jury the 28th count.
 - A. Yes. Well, two people were murdered.

Hamburger and Ora Sandlar.

- MR. ROCHON: Objection, your Honor, as previously noted.
 - THE COURT: I'm sorry. What is your question specifically?
 - MR. YALOWITZ: Could he explain to the jury the nature of the 28th count.
- 22 THE COURT: I am going to sustain the objection as to 23 form.
- Q. Mr. Kaufman, would you please read out the charging
 information at the top of page 41 for the jury. I am talking

Kaufman - direct

about just that paragraph right at the top of page 41. 1 "The above-mentioned defendant, at the time set forth, at 2 Α. 3 the place set forth in the 26th count of the indictment, by his 4 actions described in the 26th count of the indictment, 5 attempted to cause the intentional death of as many civilians 6 as possible who at the time were on or near Jaffa Street. As a 7 result of the qunfire at the site that was fired by Said Ramadan, who was dispatched to carry out the above shooting 8 9 attack by the defendant, more than 45 civilians were injured." 10 Thank you so much, Mr. Kaufman. Ο. 11 We have just gone over the conviction of Ahmed 12 Barghouti and I would like you now to turn to the conviction of 13 this man, Nasser Aweis, and show the jury where they can find 14 that conviction. 15 It's the next binder, members of the jury. This is slightly different. 16 If you remember, the last document we had two tabs, A 17 Here there are no tabs. 18 and B. 19 Let me explain what went on here. 20 MR. ROCHON: Objection, your Honor. THE COURT: Why don't you direct the question. 21 22 MR. YALOWITZ: Sure. 23 Can you explain to the jury why there is a -- without 24 getting into substance, just explain to the jury as a matter of 25 procedure why there is a single document before them instead of

2

Kaufman - direct

1 | two documents that they just saw a moment ago.

- A. Members of the jury, this document is a reasoned judgment.
- 3 We don't have juries in Israel. We have three judges in
- 4 serious cases who sit. They decide the law and the facts.
- 5 After hearing the evidence in the case against Nasser
- 6 Aweis, the three judges in the -- if you look at this document,
- 7 | right at the top, you will see it's the Tel Aviv district
- 8 | court. They convicted Nasser Aweis and they did so by writing
- 9 a very long document and that's the verdict, the judgment of
- 10 | the court.
- 11 Q. Could you please direct the jury to the portion of this
- 12 | exhibit that deals with the January 22 attack.
- 13 A. Yes. Members of the jury, please, if you would, open up
- 14 | the document to page 56.
- In the first two paragraphs you will see similar facts
- 16 | that you are familiar with from the previous individual, Ahmed
- 17 | Barghouti. But if you look at the third paragraph down, where
- 18 | it starts, "The admission by the defendant is as follows,"
- 19 | there you will see the factual basis for the conviction.
- 20 | Q. Bear with us just one second. I just want to make sure
- 21 | that all the members of the jury have the page that you have
- 22 Mr. Kaufman.
- 23 Are we good? OK. Great.
- MR. YALOWITZ: So if you could -- I think just to be
- 25 safe, your Honor, may I have the court's permission to read out

Kaufman - direct

- the -- actually, I can could do it this way, your Honor. I apologize. We are working on the fly a little bit.
- Q. Mr. Kaufman, if you could read out the admission going down to the September 2001 portion for the jury, that would be
- 5 great.

8

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A. OK. So the admission -- I will read it out first, members of the jury. Maybe I can clarify afterward.
 - Q. I will ask you a couple of questions.
- 9 A. The admission by the defendant is as follows: "P/511. I
 10 supplied Said Ramadan with weapons for carrying out the suicide
 11 attack in Jerusalem. P/6.
- 12 | "Q. Which terrorist attack in Jerusalem?
- "A. The terrorist attack carried out by Said Ramadan in
 September 2001."
- Q. Now, I just want to ask you a couple of questions about this exchange, if I may.
 - First of all, I notice it says weapons rather than a weapon. I just wanted to ask you whether you had an opportunity to compare this to the original Hebrew and whether you have a view on whether he got one weapon or more than one weapon?
 - A. Yes, I do have a view on this because it struck me as being slightly odd and I checked the Hebrew version. And the word used in Hebrew is neshek. Now neshek means a weapon. It could also mean weapons. In Arabic, slah. Maybe I think something

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Kaufman - direct

was a bit lost in translation here. I think the more appropriate translation in the circumstances would be neshek, meaning a weapon singular.

Q. Also I noticed that in his confession Aweis used the date September 2001. Do you have a view on how that fits into the conviction?

MR. ROCHON: Objection, your Honor.

THE COURT: Overruled.

You can answer.

- A. Well, when you have got someone like this who is convicted of, or being interrogated, because this comes out of a police interrogation, this interchange, these comments made by Nasser Aweis, when you have got somebody who has been interviewed for a large number of criminal offenses and is admitting a large number of criminal offenses, then mistakes can happen. I just think he was mistaken. And there is corroboration for it as well.
- Q. Thank you.

MR. YALOWITZ: Now, with the court's permission, I would like to read out the paragraph that appears at the bottom of page 56 and it goes on to the top of page 57 for the jury, your Honor.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. YALOWITZ: Thank you so much.

Q. "And the terrorist attack in Jerusalem was carried out in

Kaufman - direct

such a way that Said Ramadan contacted a co-conspirator and said that he had been a friend of another individual, and that he wanted to avenge his killing and another individual told me. I then met with Said and I understood that he wanted to carry out the terrorist attack, and I called another individual in Ramallah and I asked him to take him to Jerusalem, and that individual agreed. Said went to Ramallah by public transportation, where he met with another individual. The next day, Said went to Jerusalem and shot at a group of policemen and civilians and in that terrorist attack two people were killed and so was Said."

Now, I want to come back for just a moment with you, Mr. Kaufman, to Ahmed Barghouti and, in particular, I want to ask you about Ahmed Barghouti's sentencing.

Ahmed Barghouti was the first fellow we talked about, and Ahmed is up here in this corner on the screen.

Could you just describe as a procedural matter for the jury whether a defendant, even one who pleads guilty, has the opportunity to address the court before he or she is sentenced?

A. Yes, he does. It's stipulated in law that the defendant has the right, the absolute right to the last word. He can say whatever he likes. Sometimes defendants say I'm sorry, sometimes they say I'm not sorry.

MR. YALOWITZ: Your Honor, plaintiffs move Exhibit 359, as redacted per our earlier discussion, in evidence.

24

25

Α.

Yes.

Kaufman - direct

THE COURT: That's not in the binder. 1 MR. YALOWITZ: No, sir, it's not in the binder. 2 3 are going to have to put it up on the screen as redacted. 4 We have a copy for the court. THE COURT: Let me just have a copy to remind myself. 5 It will be admitted into evidence. 6 7 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 359 received in evidence) 8 MR. YALOWITZ: Thank you. 9 We will put it up on the screen so the jury can see it 10 and we will start with the first page. 11 BY MR. YALOWITZ: 12 We have Exhibit 359 on the screen and if you could just 13 describe as a procedural matter what it is. 14 A. There is a lot of black here, Mr. Yalowitz, but I'm 15 assuming this is the actual sentencing hearing. First of all, there is normally in a hearing like this arguments for 16 17 sentencing. The prosecution would make its arguments for a 18 strong sentence. The defense would make a plea of mitigation 19 for a lenient sentence. And then the defendant would of course 20 have his last word. And then the judges would pass sentence, 21 once again, by way of reasoned decision. 22 Q. Now I would like to direct you to the third page of this 23 document.

Q. Which has got the number 5 on it and let's just see what

There is a number 5 at the bottom.

2

3

4

6

9

10

19

Kaufman - direct

- 1 the defendant had to say about his crimes.
 - A. Well, it says, "The defendant in his last address, I have no regrets."
 - Now, that's rather --
- 5 THE COURT: Sustained.
 - Why don't you ask him a question.
- 7 MR. YALOWITZ: I was going to go there, your Honor. 8 Thank you.
 - I don't have any questions about this document. I just wanted to make sure the jury saw it. "I have no regrets."
- 11 Q. OK. Thank you.
- Next, Mr. Kaufman, I would like you to tell the jury
 where they can find the conviction of Ibrahim Abdel Hai.
- 14 A. Next binder. We are back to the tab A, tab B format.
- 15 Q. So take us to Exhibit 361.
- 16 Let's make sure everybody has got it before them.
- 17 A. That's tab A.
- 18 | Q. Tab A, it's got a 361 at the top.
 - Bear with us just one second, Mr. Kaufman.
- 20 THE COURT: That would be the third named individual
 21 in the binder for the jury.
- MR. YALOWITZ: Thank you, your Honor. That's what I have as well.
- Q. Mr. Kaufman, why don't you break down 361 for us.
- 25 A. Well, you will be experts on this, members of the jury, by

Kaufman - direct

the end of the day, but this is once again a transcript. It's a record of the proceedings. This time in front of the military court in Samaria. The West Bank is divided into two parts, Judea and Samaria. You will see some documents which are in the military court of Judea and some documents which are in the military court of Samaria. This is in the Samaria court, the northern part of the West Bank.

Here we have defense counsel announcing to the court -- once again, three judges -- that his client, the defendant, Ibrahim Abdel Hai in this instance, is pleading guilty to an amended indictment. Once again amended indictment because obviously there has been some form of plea bargain. And of note is the fact that the defendant himself participates in the hearing. This is often the case.

May I be entitled to explain why?

MR. YALOWITZ: Perhaps the court would like me to direct the jury to the relevant portion of the page that they are looking at and read it out for them.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. YALOWITZ: Thank you.

"Defendant: I plead guilty to the indictment, including the fact that I and someone else were accomplices in the murder of Zahir Turabi, that I and someone else dispatched Said Ramadan to carry out an attack in the heart of Jerusalem," and other crimes which are not the January 22 attack.

Kaufman - direct

- Q. Is that the portion of the document that you wanted to direct the jury to, Mr. Kaufman?
- 3 | A. Yes.
- 4 Q. OK. Great.
- 5 Now, where do they go from here on Ibrahim Abdel Hai?
- 6 A. Well, really, members of the jury, you have the actual
- 7 | facts, factual basis of the conviction set out there for you in
- 8 | the very words that Mr. Yalowitz has said now. But if you want
- 9 | to know what exactly the amended indictment was, if you would
- 10 | turn to tab B, there you will see the amended charge sheet.
- 11 | This is the thing that Ibrahim Abdel Hai pleaded guilty to.
- 12 | And if you look at page 9, you will see --
- 13 | Q. Let's wait and make sure that everybody gets to page 9.
- 14 A. Sixth count. That's the third line down.
- 15 | Q. What is that count charging?
- 16 A. Well, if you look, it's Detailed Incident 502/02 Zion.
- 17 Remember, that's the police case file. So we are talking about
- 18 the same case.
- 19 There you will see that Ibrahim Abdel Hai is pleading
- 20 | quilty to causing intentional death. Murder. And details of
- 21 | the offense there are all the factual basis for that conviction
- 22 | for murder. The same facts that we have been talking about,
- 23 | the January 22, 2002 shooting.
- 24 | Q. Now, I would like to direct you to paragraph 1, and, in
- 25 particular, I would like to read out paragraph 1 with the

F1E8SOK2 Kaufman - direct

1 | court's permission.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. YALOWITZ: Thank you, sir.

Q. "During the course of his work in the Palestinian Naval Police, the defendant met Said Ibrahim Ramadan ('hereinafter Said'). During one of their meetings, Said told the defendant something and the defendant asked Said to give him an opportunity to check out one matter."

THE COURT: The matter.

O. "The matter."

MR. YALOWITZ: Thank you, your Honor. My copy is a little cut off. Thank you.

Q. Paragraph 2: "The defendant contacted a co-conspirator and informed him of the intentions of Said, and the former referred him to another individual. The defendant met another individual and informed him of Said's intentions."

Paragraph 3. Why don't you read out this one, Mr.

Kaufman, so the jury can understand what this defendant did.

A. "The defendant, with the aim of testing Said, put a dummy explosive belt on him and gave him an imaginary telephone number, sent him from the Zawata area to the Tulkarm area.

Said put on the explosive belt and started toward Tulkarm. The defendant, who found that Said was steadfast, asked him to return, on the pretext that the attack time had changed due to

military checkpoints on the way. Said was angry with the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Kaufman - direct

- defendant, who asked him to be prepared to carry out the attack at any time."
 - Q. Let me take you to paragraph 4.

MR. YALOWITZ: Your Honor, I ask, with the court's permission, for me to read that one out.

THE COURT: Yes.

Q. "Accordingly, the defendant contacted a co-conspirator and told him that Said was prepared to carry out the attack."

I would like to turn to the next page and read out paragraph 6, with the court's permission.

"The defendant brought Said to the home of a co-conspirator, and in a co-conspirator's home was a person whose name is being withheld and another person called something else. Two individuals filmed Said and one wrote Said's will. Said was filmed while holding an M-16 type rifle, a Koran and reading out his will."

Mr. Kaufman, if you would direct the jury to paragraphs 7 and 8, please.

- A. You would like me to read them out?
- 0. Yes, sir.
 - A. "Thereafter, the defendant took Said to his family, where he parted from them without informing them of his intentions of committing suicide."
 - "Thereafter, the defendant asked Said to depart to Ramallah on the following day. And in the morning of the

F1E8SOK2 Kaufman - direct 1 following day, the defendant noticed that Said was departing for Ramallah." 2 3 MR. YALOWITZ: Your Honor, I would now like to move 4 the admission of Exhibit 361 as redacted. This is a document 5 concerning Abdel Hai. 6 THE COURT: Do you have a copy of 361? 7 MR. YALOWITZ: Bear with me, your Honor. THE COURT: Does the other side? 8 9 MR. YALOWITZ: I apologize. We have already done 361. 10 361 we have just looked at. 11 THE COURT: I thought we looked at 359. 12 MR. YALOWITZ: Your Honor, just to clear it up, and 13 this is my mistake, 361 is tab A. So we have already gone over 14 that with the jury. I'm sorry. I was rushing a little bit. I will try to slow down. 15 16 Q. We have just done Ibrahim Abdel Hai. 17 Who is the next one in your binder, Mr. Kaufman? 18 Fares Ghanem. Α. 19 Why don't we turn to his conviction. Q. 20 I see his name is spelled with a G-H? 21 THE COURT: That's not the next one. 22 MR. YALOWITZ: I have Majed al-Masri. 23 Q. We will go to Majed al-Masri.

We have just done Abdel Hai. Now we will go to Majed

24

25

al-Masri.

F1E8SOK2 Kaufman - direct

1 | A. Yes.

4

5

2 | Q. Let's make sure everybody is there, including me.

3 | Is that 384?

- A. That's correct. And this is a one document --
- Q. Bear with us one second.
- 6 A. -- format.
- 7 MR. YALOWITZ: Everybody is good? 384?
- 8 OK. Great. Thank you.
- 9 Q. Tell us as a procedural matter what 384 is, please, Mr.
- 10 | Kaufman.
- 11 A. Well, as in the case of Nasser Aweis, this is a reasoned
- 12 | judgment, a reasoned finding of the court. The court this time
- is the Samaria court in the north part of the West Bank, three
- 14 | judges. And here they are reading out the judgment of the
- 15 | court.
- 16 | Q. Where can we find the conviction of al-Masri and his role
- 17 | in this terror attack?
- 18 A. This document is numbered at the bottom, members of the
- 19 | jury. Look at the bottom of the page and turn to page 28. The
- 20 | last paragraph, members of the jury.
- 21 Q. Are we on 28, everybody? OK. Great.
- 22 MR. ROCHON: If I could, on 384 we have an objection.
- 23 We ask to approach the bench.
- 24 | THE COURT: I am going to give the jury a ten-minute
- 25 break so we can combine time.

Kaufman - direct F1E8SOK2 Ladies and gentlemen, don't discuss the case and keep an open mind. We will give you a ten-minute break in the jury room. Just leave the binders on your seat. (Jury exits courtroom) (Continued on next page)

Kaufman - direct

1	(Jury not present)			
2	MR. ROCHON: This one hasn't been redacted. It is a			
3	sentencing proceeding. At the sentencing proceeding, your			
4	Honor, that is supposed to be admitted. This has the whole			
5	proceeding. I am not saying it was done intentionally at all.			
6	We just didn't get this one.			
7	THE COURT: Mr. Yalowitz.			
8	MS. ROMEO: We will pull it. It's in the middle of			
9	the document.			
10	THE COURT: Let's do that over the break.			
11	MR. YALOWITZ: I will not direct the jury to those			
12	pages, your Honor.			
13	THE COURT: I want those pages taken out.			
14	MR. YALOWITZ: We can take them out perhaps at the			
15	lunch break.			
16	THE COURT: We will take them out now because I will			
17	not let the jury read them.			
18	Are you going to do any portion of this?			
19	MR. YALOWITZ: No.			
20	MR. ROCHON: He wants the first two pages. The			
21	verdict, I assume. It's the pages after that.			
22	We recognize mistakes happen.			
23	THE COURT: We are going to leave the first two pages			
24	and take the other pages out of the binder.			
25	MR. ROCHON: Thank you, your Honor.			

F1E8SOK2 Kaufman - direct MR. YALOWITZ: We will work as quickly as we can to do that. THE COURT: We will take ten minutes. (Recess) THE COURT: Are we ready to continue, Mr. Yalowitz? MR. YALOWITZ: Yes. THE COURT: Let's bring in the jury. (Continued on next page)

F1E8SOK2 Kaufman - direct

1 (Jury present)

THE COURT: You can continue, Mr. Yalowitz.

MR. YALOWITZ: Thank you, your Honor.

- BY MR. YALOWITZ:
- Q. Mr. Kaufman, before we go on to al-Masri, I just wanted to
- 6 | follow up with one thing about Ibrahim Abdel Hai, and I ask
- 7 | that we go back to page 9 of his indictment to which he pled
- 8 guilty.

3

4

- 9 A. That's tab B.
- 10 | 0. Tab B.
- I do apologize for going backwards, but there is just one thing I wanted to ask you about.
- 13 | THE COURT: I'm sorry. Where are we?
- MR. YALOWITZ: We are on 360, your Honor. It's tab B
- of Hai. So it's just the one that we were looking at a minute
- 16 | ago.
- 17 THE COURT: All right.
- MR. YALOWITZ: I do apologize for skipping back, but I
- 19 | wanted to focus the jury on one particular item on page 9.
- 20 | Q. Let me make sure everybody is there.
- I am focused on paragraph 1, Mr. Kaufman. Are you
- 22 | there?
- 23 | A. Yes, I am.
- 24 | Q. It says: "During the course of his work in the Palestinian
- 25 Naval Police."

1

2

9

19

20

25

Kaufman - direct

- Who is the "his" in that sentence that they are referring to?
- A. I believe that I did check the Hebrew version of this and I came to the same conclusion. I think the "his" here is in fact
- 5 the defendant himself, Ibrahim Abdel Hai.
- Q. So during the course of Ibrahim Abdel Hai's work in the Palestinian Naval Police, that's how you understood the original Hebrew?
 - A. Correct.
- 10 Q. OK. Thank you.
- I just wanted to focus on that particular sentence

 with regard to Ibrahim Abdel Hai. Now I am ready to go on to

 Majed al-Masri.
- If you could tell the jury procedurally what they have with Majed al-Masri's conviction, that would be helpful.
- A. Well, we have a document which is basically a reasoned
 judgment, as I mentioned earlier. Majed al-Masri did not plead
 guilty. He was found guilty after a trial.
 - Q. Where in the document do we see that the court actually found him guilty?
- 21 | A. Well, if you turn to page 28.
- Q. Perhaps, Mr. Kaufman, we want to direct the jury at the beginning to page 1 where they say that the defendant is convicted.
 - A. Yes. They summarize the conviction on the first two pages,

Kaufman - direct

- the judges here. He is convicted of a number of offenses, of
 which at least one refers to the terror attack in 2002 on
 January, the 22nd.
 - Q. OK. Thanks. I didn't mean to interrupt you.

 You want to take the jury to 28?
 - A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

So on page 28 --

Q. Bear with us. I just want to make sure that we are all caught up.

It looks like everybody is there. OK.

- A. Last paragraph, entitled Counts 6 to 8 of the Indictment.
- 12 | The attack on Jaffa Street.
- Q. Now, would you read out for the jury the first two
 sentences of that paragraph under Counts 6 through 8 and then I
 want to ask you a question about those two sentences.
- 16 A. Certainly.

"These counts of the indictment attribute to the defendant responsibility for the murder of the late Ora Sandlar and Sarah Hamburger and an attempt to cause the death of 45 civilians who were injured in the event. The event was executed on behalf and in the name of the Al Aqsa Brigades organization."

23 Those are the first two sentences, Mr. Yalowitz.

Q. Now, first of all, let me ask you about Ora Sandlar and Sarah Hamburger.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

Have we seen those names elsewhere in the convictions we have been reviewing?

A. I think we have, yes. Indeed we have.

MR. YALOWITZ: If I could just ask the court to direct the jury to the other convictions in which -- particularly Exhibit 357 -- in which Ahmed Barghouti was convicted of the murders of Ora Sandlar and Sarah Hamburger.

THE COURT: What did you want me to do?

MR. YALOWITZ: Just direct the jury to the portion, or perhaps give me permission to direct the jury to the portions of Exhibit 357 in which Ahmed Barghouti was convicted of the murders of Ora Sandlar and Sarah Hamburger.

Mr. Kaufman can remind me what page that is on.

- A. Yes, Mr. Yalowitz. It is to be found on page 34, the 26th count of Ahmed Barghouti. Those are the facts. And if you turn to page 40 of this document.
- O. Where do we find Ora Sandlar?
- A. You will find her right at the top of the page, page 40, in paragraph 27. The lady with the Russian name.
- 20 | Q. Where do we find Sarah Hamburger?
- A. She is in the 27th count. Right in the middle of the page there are some names. It's in bold print, Sarah Hamburger.
- You can also see the 28th count, the issue with the 45 civilians.
- 25 Q. OK. Great.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Kaufman - direct

Now, if I could ask the jury to turn back to the al-Masri verdict which we were just looking at and I will give you a minute to get there because I know we are flipping around in this binder a little bit.

We are on page 28 of 384.

So we have gone over the responsibility of this defendant for the murder of these two ladies.

Now I want to ask you about Al Aqsa Brigades organization. In particular, I want to ask you about the first count of the indictment, which is on page 22, with regard to Al Aqsa Brigades.

So let me know when you're on page 22, at the very bottom, first count.

Mr. Kaufman, would you read out for the jury the first sentence on Count One.

- A. To be found at the top of page 23, Mr. Yalowitz.
- 17 | Q. Yes, sir.
 - A. "This count of indictment attributes to the defendant membership in the well-known terrorist organization, the Al Aqsa Brigades." I think that's probably a typo there. It should be al with one L, not all.

MR. ROCHON: Objection, your Honor. The witness was not reading what was in the document accurately.

THE COURT: What page were we on?

MR. YALOWITZ: We are on page 23.

Case 1:04-cv-00397-GBD-RLE Document 835 Filed 03/04/15 Page 72 of 210 192 F1E8SOK2 Kaufman - direct THE COURT: Of? 1 MR. YALOWITZ: Of 384. 2 3 THE COURT: Of al-Masri? 4 MR. ROCHON: I thought he was reading under the second 5 Perhaps the witness was reading at the top of the page. 6 THE COURT: Wait a minute. Let's start again. 7 We are on al-Masri? 8 MR. YALOWITZ: Yes, sir. 9 THE COURT: On page 23. And you wanted him to read? 10 MR. YALOWITZ: The very first sentence. THE COURT: The very first paragraph sentence. 11 12 MR. ROCHON: I am withdrawing the objection. I am 13 sorry for my mistake. I thought he was reading the second 14 count. Similar but not the same. 15 Α. 16 MR. YALOWITZ: Be patient, Mr. Rochon. I am sure I 17 will get to the second count. 18 Q. I am sorry, Mr. Kaufman. You believe that the word Al Aqsa 19 Brigades is a typo in the English translation? 20 Α. Yes. 21 Q. Why do you believe that?

- 22 A. Because I have very basic Arabic. Very, very basic Arabic.
- 23 But I think most people know that the word "the" in Arabic is
- 24 al. So it should be one L, not two Ls. All is all, the
- 25 English word.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Kaufman - direct

- Q. Is Al Aqsa Brigades an illegal organization under Israeli law?
 - A. It is. It is a proscribed organization.
 - Q. Let's just look at the second count.

MR. YALOWITZ: I think I better read this one, your Honor, with the court's permission.

THE COURT: Sure.

Q. "This count of the indictment attributes to the defendant holding an office in the prohibited organization insofar as he headed the Al Aqsa Brigades in the Nablus area in 2002, commanded the military operations that the organization performed during that bloody year, coordinated the activity of the military operations, provided them with arms, and financing, which he received from unnamed co-conspirators and used to take responsibility for the acts of the organization in the media."

Now, if we could go back to Counts 6 through 8, Mr.

Kaufman. I just want to make sure the jury has in mind what
al-Masri was convicted of with regard to the January 22 attack,
and let me make sure I have got everybody on page 28.

Perhaps I can have you do this. If you could read out the next two sentences, but there is a name that may be in your version that must be withheld from the jury.

- A. I can do it without mentioning names if you want.
- Q. Yes, please.

Kaufman - direct

- A. Essentially, Majed al-Masri was charged with having received --
 - THE COURT: I'm sorry. Could you tell us where you're reading from.
 - THE WITNESS: I do apologize. I am reading from page 28.
 - THE COURT: Where?
 - THE WITNESS: Third sentence.
 - Q. Read from "the defendant."
- 10 | A. OK.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

- "The defendant is charged with having received the suicide terrorist in an apartment in the Balata Camp and having filmed him holding a rifle and the book of the Koran, along with --"
- 15 Q. "Another individual."
- 16 A. "Thereafter, the defendant dispatched the suicide terrorists, Said, on his last way."
- 18 That should be terrorist. It's a mistake.
- Q. Why don't I do the last one that we need on al-Masri, with the court's permission.
- 21 THE COURT: Yes.
- Q. "After these things, Said departed for Ramallah, where he
 was sent by a co-conspirator to Jerusalem, where he opened fire
 at others indiscriminately until he was vanquished, but not
 before he had caused the murder of two women and injured dozens

Kaufman - direct

1 of others."

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

16

17

18

19

Now, Mr. Kaufman, we have covered Majed al-Masri and I would like to turn to Fares Ghanem.

I noticed you said his name a little different. Can you explain how you pronounce his name and how we Americans can get a handle on it.

- A. Well, I have used Ghanem because I believe the letter is "arrain," which is the Arabic way of pronouncing it. But in English we can say Ghanem. For example, Gaza. Once again, I have self taught extremely basic Arabic.
- 11 Q. If I call him Fares Ghanem, you know who I am talking about?
- 13 | A. Yes, I do.
- Q. I apologize to the Arabic speakers listening. I don't mean to mangle your native tongue.
 - Would you tell the jury procedurally what we have with Fares Ghanem.
 - A. Yes, members of the jury. This is a single document. If you look at the top of 390B.
- 20 Q. Let me make sure everybody is there, Mr. Kaufman.
- 21 Are we all on 390B? Great. Thank you.
- OK. Go ahead.
- A. I think we are becoming accustomed to the fact that when we see one document it is in fact a court decision, a verdict, a conclusion of the trial after evidence has been submitted.

Kaufman - direct

- Q. So where do we find the facts of the court concerning Fares
 Ghanem's role in this January 22 attack?
- A. Well, on the very last page, on page 11 -- sorry, 21. This is one of the documents which aren't numbered, if I am not
- 5 mistaken.
- Q. Let's make sure we get there. We are at 21 at the bottom.
- 7 It looks like it's got a stamp off to the lower right that says 8 P6:330. 21 at the bottom.
 - It's got like a signature of a judge, president of the court and judge at the bottom too.
- 11 | A. Yes.

9

10

- 12 | Q. I think we are all there. Let's just make sure.
- I think everybody is with us.
- 14 A. I apologize in advance. We are going to flip about in this
 15 document a bit.
- First of all, I would ask you to bear in mind the last paragraph and it's entitled "Endnote." If I could read that out.
- 19 Q. Please do.
- A. "In view of the conclusions above and after all of the
 counts of the indictment that have been attributed to the
 defendant have been found to be properly substantiated in the
 evidence of the prosecution, we convict the defendant of them."
- Now, here we don't have the indictment in this
 particular bundle, but we can go back and find out what the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Kaufman - direct

defendant in this case Fares Ghanem was charged with. If you look at the very first page of this document, paragraph 2, you will see that he was charged with eight offenses of causing death intentionally. I won't give you the number of the provision in the statute.

Q. Could you just read out for the jury the second sentence of paragraph 2 so they understand what we are talking about here.

A. Yes.

"These offenses encompass a series of terrorist attacks in which the defendant took part, as a result of which eight Israelis lost their lives and an attempt was made to cause the death of many others."

Now, we know --

Q. Bear with me because I want to make sure every member of the jury has the opportunity to see this document.

MR. YALOWITZ: Maybe, Ms. Machnes, you can put it up on the screen so that we can help everybody find it when we are talking about 390B. Just on the very first page, and maybe go up to the top so we can orient ourselves.

Then let's just scroll down to show the jury where Mr. Kaufman was reading from and we can even highlight for the jury what he just read.

A series of terrorist attacks.

Thank you so much for that.

Q. Now, Mr. Kaufman, where are you taking us next?

Kaufman - direct

A. Yes. So he was convicted of a series of terrorist attacks, but only one of those terrorist attacks is of relevance to us at the present moment in time, and that of course is the January 22, 2002 attack. You will find the facts pertinent to that.

Here there is no number, members of the jury, so you will have to count. One, two, three, four. On the fourth page, in the middle paragraph --

(Continued on next page)

Kaufman - direct

BY MR. YALOWITZ:

- Q. Is there like a stamp number at the bottom?
- 3 A. Yes, there is, Mr. Yalowitz. It's stamp P6:321.
- Q. Let's see if we can find 321. We may need to help each other find it, but it looks like everybody's got it. We're all

6 there.

1

2

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. This is one of the ones where you might want to ask --

8 MR. YALOWITZ: I think I'd best, your Honor.

"In the middle of January 2002, the defendant contacted a co-conspirator, and informed him that another co-conspirator wanted to bring a suicide terrorist into the city of Jerusalem, and suggested that he transport the terrorist together with him. That co-conspirator agreed to the proposal.

"On January 22, 2002, the defendant once again contacted a co-conspirator and informed him that everything was ready for carrying out the terrorist attack. The defendant and his co-conspirator took a preliminary drive to find a route on which there were no roadblocks. Afterward, they returned to Ramallah where they were introduced to the suicide terrorist who was armed with an M-16 rifle. The defendant and his co-conspirator concealed the weapon in the vehicle, and drove the terrorist to Straus Street where the terrorist alighted from the car. And they explained to him that he must go to Jaffa Street, and there he must open fire.

1

2

3

4

5

- "The two fled from the scene. The terrorist opened fire in all directions and murdered the late Ora Svetlana Sandler and the late Sarah Hamburger, and wounded 45 other civilians."
- Q. Let's go, Mr. Kaufman, to the next one in your binder?
- 6 A. That would be?
- Q. Muhammad Abdullah. Why don't you start the jury off with what they've got procedurally.
- 9 A. Yeah. Well, once again we're back to tab A, tab B format.
- 10 So, tab A is a transcript of a hearing before the military
- 11 | court in Beit El. That's in -- it is nearby Ramallah. And
- 12 here, the prosecutor first of all announces that he's reached a
- 13 | plea bargain with the defendant and his counsel.
- 14 | Q. Are we looking at the very first page?
- 15 \blacksquare A. Yes, we are.
- Q. All right. And what does the defense attorney say in response? Maybe you can read that out.
- 18 A. Well, the defense attorney says "I confirm the prosecutor's
- 19 statement, namely that we've reached a plea bargain and move to
- 20 permit my client to withdraw his denial of the charges."
- 21 Because he originally pleaded not guilty. Now he's changing
- 22 his plea.
- 23 | Q. Okay.
- 24 A. And then we see on page two, right at the bottom, that the
- 25 defense counsel is telling the Court that he's explained what's

24

25

Kaufman - direct 1 contained in an amended indictment to his client, Mr. Muhammad 2 Abdullah. 3 MR. ROCHON: Your Honor, just for the record, the witness was asked to read the document. Not interpret it. 4 MR. YALOWITZ: I think this witness is very able to 5 interpret the document, your Honor. 6 7 THE COURT: It depends on what your question was. What is your question? 8 9 Q. My question is can you explain procedurally what is 10 happening in this document. 11 MR. ROCHON: Your Honor --12 THE COURT: Overruled. He can answer. 13 MR. ROCHON: I believe the question was to ask him to read it. 14 15 THE COURT: We have a new question now. 16 MR. ROCHON: All right. 17 So in the very last sentence on that page, page number two, 18 the defendant himself, Muhammad Abdullah, says that his lawyer 19 has explained what's in the amended indictment and he agrees to 20 plead to it. And --21 Thank you. And now, let's show the jury the substantive 22 portion as you're ready. Perhaps there is more you wanted to 23 show on this document?

Right at the top, after the defendant says that he's

Maybe if we have a look at page three, members of the

Kaufman - direct

- pleading guilty to that amended indictment, the court states as
 follows: "On the basis of his admission of guilt, we convict
 the defendant of the offenses attributed to him in the amended
- 4 | indictment." And --
- 5 | Q. So is that the conviction?
- 6 A. That's the conviction, Mr. Yalowitz, yes.
- 7 Q. All right. And now where do you want to take the jury
- 8 next?
- 9 A. I think we want to see the amended indictment, and you will find that at tab B. This is the substance of what Mr. Muhammad
- 11 Abdullah was pleading guilty to.
- 12 Q. This is Exhibit 422?
- 13 A. That's correct.
- 14 Q. Where should we go?
- 15 A. Well, I think we can go straightaway here to page 14. This
- 16 document is correctly numbered. And right at the top you'll
- see eighth count, brackets, detailed incident filed 502/02
- 18 Zion.
- 19 | Q. Would you just remind us what that 502/02 Zion means?
- 20 A. That's the police investigating file, and Zion is the
- 21 police station which investigated this offense. This offense
- 22 of carrying out murder on Jaffa Street.
- 23 Q. Thank you.
- MR. YALOWITZ: I think, your Honor, I best take the
- 25 | jury through the substantive portion, with the Court's

F1E3SOK3 Kaufman - direct

permission.

THE COURT: That's fine.

MR. YALOWITZ: Thank you.

Paragraph one. "In the middle of January 2002, a co-conspirator called the defendant, informed the defendant that another co-conspirator bodyguard of the head of the Fatah Organization's Tanzim, which is an unlawful organization, wanted to get a terrorist into Jerusalem to carry out a suicide terrorist attack in Jerusalem with the aim of causing the deaths of as many civilians as possible.

"The defendant consented to participate by transporting the above-mentioned suicide terrorist to Jerusalem.

"On January 22, 2002, a co-conspirator called the defendant and informed him that a co-conspirator had spoken with him and informed him that the suicide terrorist who was to carry out the planned terrorist attack was ready and had to be gotten into Jerusalem. The defendant again agreed to participate in executing the planned terrorist attack.

"Later on that day, the defendant and a co-conspirator met in Ramallah. A co-conspirator came to the meeting in his Isuzu van with Israeli license plates. The defendant and a co-conspirator traveled in the said Isuzu from Ramallah to Jerusalem so as to find a road without police or Israel Defense Forces checkpoints, so as to later transport the suicide

Kaufman - direct

terrorist who was to carry out the planned terrorist attack in Jerusalem by the same route.

"The defendant and a co-conspirator drove from Ramallah via Rafat and arrived at the Atarot Industrial Zone, where the two rushed to the main Jerusalem Ramallah road and turned left toward the junction leading to the Rama camp, and there they turned right and traveled to Adam Junction. At Adam Junction, the defendant and his accomplice turned right and traveled to Hizme Junction, where they turned right and entered Anata. Through Anata, the defendant and his accomplice reached the French Hill Junction, where the two turned right and returned to Ramallah.

"The defendant and his co-conspirator saw that it was possible to transport the suicide terrorist to Jerusalem on the route they had taken without being stopped at police or Israel Defense Force checkpoints."

Now I want to go to paragraph 10 on page 15.

"The defendant and his co-conspirator drove Said
Ramadan to Jerusalem via the route they had check out earlier
that day as described above.

"The defendant and the co-conspirator drove to Sheikh Jarrah Street. There they took out the assault rifle and the magazines that had been concealed in the vehicle and gave them to Said Ramadan. The defendant shifted into the seat next to the driver seat while Said Ramadan moved to the back seat

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Kaufman - direct

- holding in his hands the M-16 assault rifle and the magazines.

 The defendant and his co-conspirator drove Said Ramadan to

 Haneviim Street.
 - Q. What is that street in English, Mr. Kaufman?
 - A. It is Prophet Street. Hanevi is a prophet.

MR. YALOWITZ: "During the trip, Said Ramadan complained to the defendant and his co-conspirator that they had bought him new shoes for the terrorist attack, but that they were too small and tight on him. The defendant took off his Reebok shoes and gave them to Said Ramadan saying, 'Go up to paradise with Reebok shoes.'

"Upon reaching the intersection of Straus and Prophet Streets, the defendant and his co-conspirator stopped the Isuzu. The defendant and his co-conspirators said to Said Ramadan to go down to Jaffa Street on foot and to begin shooting where he saw a large number of people.

"After Said Ramadan got out of the vehicle with the M-16 assault rifle and the ammunition, the defendant and his co-conspirator drove from there in the Isuzu, went out onto Road No. 1, through the Musrara neighborhood, and from there, drove along the main road to Ramallah.

"Said Ramadan, some minutes after getting out of the defendant's and his co-conspirator's vehicle, reached Jaffa Road."

And your Honor, I don't think we need to read out

Kaufman - direct

paragraph 18 again. We read it out earlier this morning. I think if we just give the jury a moment to review it, that will be sufficient.

(Pause).

- Q. Muhammad Mousleh. Let's go to his conviction, Mr. Kaufman.

 And why don't you begin with telling the jury what we have

 procedurally for this. This one is a little different.
- A. Yes. On here I do apologize, we'll have to do a bit of flipping about as well.

The first item I want to you look at is in fact not tab A, but tab B. And if you will see in the top-right-hand corner, there is a number 420.

- Q. Bear with us one moment. Okay. Everybody's here.
- A. So, chronologically speaking, it starts with this document which is a transcript of a hearing once again. We're familiar with the format already. The parties are announcing a plea bargain. The court allows the prosecutor to file an amended indictment to reflect that plea bargain. Defense counsel on the second line from the bottom of the page states that he's explained the content of the amended indictment to his client, Mr. Muhammad Mousleh. And the defendant himself, Muhammad Mousleh, says that he has had the amended indictment read to him, explained to him, sorry, and he pleads guilty to it.

And then, members of the jury, if you would turn to tab A, you will see the next page of what should have been the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

Kaufman - direct

unified transcript. But at the top of the page, you'll see number 419 and then verdict. Based on his admission of the charges, we convict the defendant of the offenses that are attributed to him in the amended indictment.

And you'll find that amended indictment, members of the jury, at tab C.

- Q. Let's pause for a moment and get everybody to tab C.
- 8 Mr. Kaufman, what do we have under tab C?
 - weighty document, so it has a lot of offenses in it. But the

This is the amended indictment. As you can see, it's a

- 11 only one that is of relevance to us is to be found on page 27.
- 12 If you can open that up, please, members of the jury. At the
- 13 bottom there is a stamp number as well, P6: 54.
- Q. All right. Bear with us one moment. I think everybody's
- 15 here, Mr. Kaufman.
- 16 A. So if you go up six lines or something like that, you'll
- 17 see 16th count, details incident 502/02 Zion. Then the nature
- of the offense causing intentional death, murder. And then the
- 19 details of the offense.
- You can see on that page, the first sentence and the following pages, the details with which you are already very familiar. Those are the facts substantiating the conviction of
- 23 Muhammad Mousleh for the January 22, 2002, shooting attack.
- MR. YALOWITZ: All right. Let's go to page 28,
- 25 paragraph one. And I'm going to just change the wording here a

25

Kaufman - direct

little bit to make it understandable. 1 2 "A co-conspirator in January 2002." 3 THE COURT: I'm sorry. Where are you? 4 MR. YALOWITZ: Paragraph one, it says the blank, but 5 I'm just interpreting that for the jury as a co-conspirator. 6 THE COURT: I just wanted to know where you were. 7 MR. YALOWITZ: Thank you, your Honor. "In January 2002, decided that he wanted to carry out 8 9 a suicide attack inside the territory of the State of Israel in 10 order to cause the deaths of as many Israeli civilians as 11 possible." 12 Now I'll skip to paragraph three. "A few days later, 13 on January 22, 2002, in Ramallah, a co-conspirator met Said 14 Ramadan." 15 Now I'll skip to paragraph five. "The defendant met a co-conspirator and the above-mentioned Said Ramadan that day in 16 17 Ramallah. A co-conspirator introduced the two to each other. The defendant and a co-conspirator took Said Ramadan to a 18 19 barber shop to have his hair cut before carrying out the 20 planned suicide attack. Thereafter, the defendant and a 21 co-conspirator called another co-conspirator and asked the 22 latter to transport a suicide terrorist from Ramallah to 23 Jerusalem in order for the suicide terrorist to carry out a 24 suicide attack in Jerusalem and to cause the deaths of as many

Israeli civilians as possible."

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

verdict.

Yes.

Now I want to skip ahead to paragraph 11 on page 29. "The defendant brought an M-16 assault rifle and three magazines for the said assault rifle filled with cartridges. Two of which were connected with a magazine coupler." Now I'm going to skip to paragraph 13. "Thereafter, on the same day, the defendant and Said Ramadan met co-conspirators in the area of the City In Hotel in Albira." Now I'm going to go to paragraph 15. "Two co-conspirators hid the above-mentioned M-16 assault rifle and magazines which the defendant had brought for carrying out the planned suicide attack in the above-mentioned Isuzu." I'm going to turn the page and go to paragraph 16. The defendant and co-conspirators did something that's been removed from the binders. "And the three traveled to Jerusalem. The defendant told two co-conspirators that they would have to take Said Ramadan to carry out the suicide attack in any place of their choosing in Jerusalem." And then, your Honor, I think we've covered the other areas, but I do want to give the jury a moment to look at paragraph 26 and just confirm that they've seen that. (Pause) Q. We have one more in this binder, Mr. Kaufman, who is not on our chart. And why don't you take us procedurally to his

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

The very last divider is entitled Barghouti, Bashar.

Kaufman - direct

Bashar Barghouti. Bashar is his first name. Tab A. Here we have a hearing in front of a single judge. There is a reason for that. I don't want to bore you with it. But anyway, before a single judge. Once again, we have a plea bargain being announced. The single judge allows the prosecutor to amend his indictment pursuant to the plea bargain which he reached with the defense counsel.

And if you turn over the page and look at the third line down, the defendant himself, Bashar Barghouti, the defendant, states as follows: "My defense counsel has explained to me the amended indictment. I understand and plead guilty to it." And then the judges convict him and they say as follows — sorry, it is the judge. "Based on admission of guilt, I convict the defendant of the offense attributed to him in the amended indictment."

And you will find that amended indictment, members of the jury, at tab B. Here, Bashar Barghouti is in effect pleading guilty to an indictment with only one count, only one offense. And that offense is failing to prevent an offense.

MR. YALOWITZ: Your Honor, I think I better take the jury through this one.

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. YALOWITZ: "The defendant habitually hosted in his home" --

THE COURT: I'm sorry. Where are you reading from?

Kaufman - direct

1 MR. YALOWITZ: Sorry. We're on 390A. THE COURT: Page? 2 3 MR. YALOWITZ: Page one. "Details of the offense." And then there is a 4 5 paragraph that's got some area whited out. "The defendant habitually hosted in his home a 6 7 co-conspirator which is responsible for carrying out hundreds of lethal attacks. The above-mentioned co-conspirator 8 9 participated himself in the execution of shooting attacks 10 against IDF soldiers and reported this to the defendant." 11 Now I'd like to skip to page three. "The defendant did not act reasonably" I'm in the middle. "The defendant did 12 13 not act reasonably in order to prevent the planned attack. 14 "On January 22, 2002, a shooting attack was carried 15 out on the junction of Jaffa and King George Streets in Jerusalem by a co-conspirator. 16 17 "In this attack, the terrorist opened fire at 18 passersby in the street with the intent of causing their deaths. 19 20 "In this attack, two women were killed. The late Ora 21 Sandler and the late Sarah Hamburger, and dozens of others were 22 injured. 23 "After this attack, while defendant was at work at 24 Makassed Hospital, a co-conspirator called him. The defendant 25 responded positively and knew that this was the attack that his

25

Kaufman - direct

co-conspirator had planned as set forth above." 1 MR. YALOWITZ: Your Honor, would the Court like me to 2 3 move to the next topic at this time or would the Court 4 prefer --5 THE COURT: Yes, I'd like to go until about 12:45 and break for lunch. 6 7 MR. YALOWITZ: If the Court could bear with me. We've completed our review of the convictions in the January 22 8 9 terror attack. 10 THE COURT: You'd like to collect the binders back or 11 do you want to handout different binders? 12 MR. YALOWITZ: I think we'll collect these and the 13 jury will be able to have them in the jury room, but there will be too much for them to balance all the binders. 14 15 THE COURT: Why don't we take those back for now, and I'll admit those binders. 16 17 MR. ROCHON: Judge, in case we use the same binders, 18 if they can remain available. 19 THE COURT: Yes, let's make sure they're available for 20 cross-examination. 21 MR. ROCHON: Thank you. 22 Q. Mr. Kaufman, can you explain to the jury where they should 23 go for the conviction of Munzar Noor. 24 Do we have something to show the jury on the screen here?

THE COURT: Do you have an extra binder?

Kaufman - direct

1 MR. YALOWITZ: Let's get an extra binder. I think we don't want to put it up since it is really only 2 Q. 3 one conviction. I think we want to take them through it. 4 MR. YALOWITZ: And I think we need a binder for Judge 5 Daniels. LAW CLERK: No, we have one. 6 7 MR. YALOWITZ: Oh, great. THE COURT: Which exhibit is this? 8 9 MR. YALOWITZ: We're on 322, your Honor. 10 THE COURT: Plaintiff's Exhibit 322? 11 MR. YALOWITZ: Yes, sir. 12 THE COURT: Go ahead. 13 THE WITNESS: Okay. 14 Q. All right. So, just tell us procedurally what we've got 15 with regard to the conviction of this defendant. 16 A. Well, we only have one document here. It is a verdict. It. 17 is a reasoned judgment, the finding of guilt by the three 18 judges at the military court in Judea. Q. Where do we find details of this defendant's role in the 19 20 January 27, 2002, terrorist attack? 21 A. Members of the jury, you might want to remember this attack 22 by virtue of the fact that there was a female terrorist 23 involved blowing herself up. Her name was Wafa Idris. And if 24 you turn to page four of this document, you will see the facts 25 as the defendant admitted them in this interview, yeah.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Kaufman - direct

- Q. Could we look at in particular paragraph two.
- A. On page one, yes, Mr. Yalowitz, I meant to do that. I was rushing ahead of myself.
 - Q. That's all right. And maybe you might just read out paragraph two for the jury.
 - A. Yes. Here the judges are explaining the charges with which the defendant himself was charged, and I will read out paragraph two.

"Intentionally causing death, an offense pursuant to Section 51 of the Security Provisions Order, and Section 14(a)(4) of the Rules of Liability for an Offense Order, in respect of his involvement in a suicide terrorist attack that took place on January 27, 2002, in Jerusalem, as a result of which the late Pinhas Emanuel Tokatli was killed. It was attributed to the defendant that he took an active part in the terrorist attack in that he convinced the female terrorist Wafa" just turn the page "Idris to carry out the terrorist The defendant went further, and when he became aware attack. that the suicide bomber had changed her mind about committing suicide, he sat with her again and persuaded her that she should carry out her intentions and execute a terrorist attack, even if it was not to be through a suicide terrorist attack, but by planting a bomb.

"Ultimately, as stated, the terrorist attack was carried out, and Pinhas Emanuel Tokatli was murdered" and I

2

3

4

5

Kaufman - direct

1 believe members of the Sokolow family were injured.

- Q. And Mr. Kaufman, if you could just read out paragraph three for the jury so they see the complete charges on that.
- A. Yeah. Also --
- Q. In that paragraph as well.
- A. With respect to the same incident, he was charged with, and
- 7 | I read "Attempting to intentionally cause death, an offense
- 8 pursuant to Section 51 of the Security Provisions Order, and
- 9 Sections 14(a)(4) and 19 of the Rules of Liability for an
- 10 Offense Order, in that in the terrorist attack in which the
- 11 | late Pinhas Emanuel Tokatli was killed, other people (over 150)
- 12 were wounded, it having been intended to kill them."
- 13 | Q. Now, I would like to direct your attention to the court's
- 14 discussion of the evidence. And in particular, in particular,
- 15 I'd like to look at page three and four with you in some
- 16 | detail.
- 17 If you could, I'd like you to read out to the jury the
- 18 | first three paragraphs under evidentiary materials in the
- 19 | court's files.
- 20 A. Okay. "During the course of hearings in the court, a great
- 21 | deal of evidentiary material was submitted by consent. On
- 22 | April 2, 2003, two statements given by the defendant in his
- 23 police interrogations, one that he gave on April 23, 2002, and
- 24 | marked P/1, and one dated April 25, 2002, marked P/2. At the
- 25 next hearing on May 5, 2003, his police statement dated May 13,

2002, and marked P/3 was submitted. These three statements constitute the principal evidence relating to the defendant in the file, and so will merit the bulk of discussion in this verdict, with a further 100 or more exhibits having been submitted over the course of the hearings, all relating to terrorist attack on January 27, 2002, but which do not independently or directly involve the defendant in the crimes attributed to him.

"When we survey the defendant's statements which were, as mentioned, submitted by consent, and hence the court may accept their content as the truth, we will find the accusations attributed to the defendant in the indictment to be well founded. At the same time, before we detail the supporting elements found in the defendant's statements, and weave them together with the extrinsic evidence supporting the facts of the indictment, it is worth noting that the defendant's admissions in this case gradually came to confirm the accusations. That is, as his interrogation continued, his statements became more complete and detailed. This may be seen to be a natural development of the interrogation with the subject initially not being willing to admit to all of the suspicions, but as the interrogation develops, he reveals many more elements of the truth.

"Hence, we found it appropriate to reject the defense counsel's request in his submission to specifically adopt the

Kaufman - direct

first statement and not the latter ones."

- Q. If we could just turn the page and complete that discussion.
- A. Yes. "In his first statement, the defendant still distanced himself from the actions of Wafa Idris. But when we read his second and third statements, it can clearly be seen that his relationship with the terrorist attack described in counts two to four of the indictment is much closer than he initially admitted to."

MR. YALOWITZ: Thank you. Now, with the Court's permission, I'd like to cover the bottom of page four.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. YALOWITZ: "In these statements, the defendant admits that he sat together with the individual known as" and then that individual's name has been withheld "and the terrorist Wafa Idris."

So he sat together with the individual known as blank, and terrorist Wafa Idris "when they planned to execute a terrorist attack in Jerusalem. The defendant also admits that he was given the task of persuading the terrorist to carry out the terrorist attack, and when she expressed a certain hesitation, and changed her mind about carrying out the attack, he sat with her with the intention of persuading her nonetheless to carry out a terrorist attack. Ultimately she consented, and indeed, on January 27, 2002, the terrorist

Kaufman - direct

attack was carried out."

Now, I also want to go to page six with you,

Mr. Kaufman. Your Honor, I need the Court's permission for me
to read this one out.

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. YALOWITZ: I'm going to begin from the top.

"This being a test, then as stated above, the very proof of the terrorist attack having occurred and the terrible outcomes that were caused by it constitute the something more for the counts connected with the terrorist attack. In addition, the very proof of the terrorist attack having confirmed, all that stated in the defendant's statements in relation to his relationship with the said co-conspirator" whose name has been withheld from the jury. "The individual who set that terrorist attack in motion, if as it has been said, we are verifying that relationship. Then the something more has the power to verify that stated in the fifth and sixth counts of the indictment in which the defendant also connected himself with that co-conspirator in carrying out additional crimes."

- Q. And Mr. Kaufman, I just want you to take the jury --
- MR. ROCHON: I'm sorry, your Honor. I have an objection. May we approach the bench briefly?

24 THE COURT: Do we need to address it now? You want me 25 to do something at this point?

Kaufman - direct F1E3SOK3 MR. ROCHON: I think we do need to address it now. (Continued on next page)

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the jury."

Kaufman - direct

1 (At the sidebar) MR. ROCHON: So up until now, counsel has said "blank" 2 3 for some of the names that have been withheld. Counsel has said "co-conspirator" for some of the names that have been 4 5 withheld. Suddenly on this, which as you know, is the weakest count with the biggest problems, he decided to go with "a name 6 7 that has been withheld from the jury" when there was a reference to the official. 8

Now, counsel to suggest that this name has been withheld from the jury, clearly Mr. Yalowitz is implying -- it is no accident he did it for the first time on this one -- as if the defendants are withholding it. That wasn't an accident. There is nobody picking their words more carefully than Kent.

THE COURT: Do you want me to say anything to the jury?

MR. ROCHON: I want you to tell him to cut that out.

THE COURT: Don't refer to anything as "withheld from

MR. YALOWITZ: All right, your Honor.

THE COURT: It is redacted. It's missing. It is not there. Any way you want to say it. It has nothing to do with anything being withheld from the jury.

MR. YALOWITZ: By the way -- I accept the Court's ruling. But Mr. Rochon is not an honest reporter of the facts in this regard. I have used that phrase earlier. The record

Kaufman - direct

will reflect that he is not -- he came up here to make a point 1 on this one, and he's not telling you the truth. I've caught 2 3 him in that before, your Honor. But I accept the Court's 4 ruling. 5 THE COURT: Quite frankly, Mr. Yalowitz, I don't agree with you. I think if you had said that previously, I would 6 7 have noticed it. MR. YALOWITZ: All right. 8 9 THE COURT: I noticed it when you said it that time. 10 If you said it previously and we didn't notice it, I think it 11 is an inappropriate reference. 12 MR. YALOWITZ: I'll abide by the Court's ruling. 13 THE COURT: Nobody is withholding anything from this 14 jury. MR. YALOWITZ: I disagree with that, but I accept the 15 16 Court's ruling. 17 THE COURT: It's not for you to comment to the jury. 18 MR. YALOWITZ: I understand that. 19 THE COURT: I don't want to give any greater 20 sanctions. Don't do that. Don't imply anything is being 21 withheld from this jury. 22 MR. YALOWITZ: I got it. 23 MR. ROCHON: Your Honor, going forward, the blanks, 24 can we just come up with a convention of just --25

MR. YALOWITZ: You got to let me read it in context,

Kaufman - direct F1E3SOK3 but I will not say that word. THE COURT: You will say "another individual." That's the phrase that we will all use when there is a blank. All right? MR. YALOWITZ: All right. (Continued on next page)

Kaufman - direct

1 (In open court) MR. YALOWITZ: Rebecca, if could you just give me the 2 3 last question and answer so we can orient ourselves to where we 4 are. 5 THE COURT: Why don't you just move forward. 6 MR. YALOWITZ: I just don't remember where I was. 7 THE COURT: Well, in the nature of the objection, I'm 8 not going to let you go back. 9 MR. YALOWITZ: I understand. That's fine. I'll do my 10 best. 11 Your Honor, may I read from page six just so we can 12 orient ourselves? 13 THE COURT: Yes. I thought that's where we were. 14 MR. YALOWITZ: Great. "In addition, the very proof of the terrorist attack having occurred confirms all that's stated 15 in the defendant's statements in his relationship with the said 16 other person, the individual who set the terror attack in 17 motion. If, as has been said, we are verifying that 18 relationship. Then the something more has the power to verify 19 20 that stated in the fifth and sixth counts of the indictment in 21 which the defendant also connected himself with another 22 individual in carrying out additional crimes." 23 Q. Mr. Kaufman, if I could just direct you back to counts five 24 and six, and I especially want to focus on count six. 25 MR. YALOWITZ: And I think, your Honor, I best read

F1E3SOK3 Kaufman - direct

1 | this one.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 | THE COURT: Where are you?

MR. YALOWITZ: On page three, paragraph five.

THE WITNESS: Page two.

MR. YALOWITZ: Page three, paragraph five.

- Q. By the way, Mr. Kaufman, are these the six counts that -- is this count six the count that we were just reading about?
- A. It is in my copy, Mr. Yalowitz, it's page two.

MR. YALOWITZ: I'm sorry. I misspoke.

- Q. I'm on page three, paragraph six.
- A. Okay.
- 12 | THE COURT: In count six?
- 13 MR. YALOWITZ: My mistake.
- Q. Mr. Kaufman, is this count six I was just reading out with reference to a moment ago?
 - A. Obviously, for the sake of clarity, I would have preferred to see the amended indictment itself. But if you understand that the reasoned judgment you can see on the very first page that the judges are attributing to the defendant Munzar Noor six offenses. So it would be reasonable to assume that indeed these are the six offenses, and the sixth offense is that to be found at the top of page three.
 - MR. YALOWITZ: And now I'd just like the Court's permission to read out paragraph six, in light of the Court's earlier rulings.

Kaufman - direct

1 THE COURT: When you say paragraph six, I see six counts, and then I see a paragraph entitled "evidentiary 2 3 materials in the court file." 4 MR. YALOWITZ: Right. 5 THE COURT: Is that what you want to read from? 6 MR. YALOWITZ: I want to read the one that says 7 numbered six. And the reason I want to read that, your 8 Honor --9 THE COURT: You don't have to tell me. You want to 10 read what's in number six. 11 MR. YALOWITZ: Right. 12 THE COURT: Go ahead. 13 MR. YALOWITZ: This is number six. "Communicating 14 information of military value, an offense pursuant to Section 15 63 of the Security Provisions Order, in that he gave that other individual information on Israel Defense Forces checkpoints, 16 17 and the movements of Israel Defense Forces units in the area." 18 Q. Mr. Kaufman, could you just explain what the area with the 19 capital A means in this verdict? 20 That would be the West Bank. 21 Thank you. Is there anything else that you'd like to point 22 out to the jury about this conviction of Munzar Noor? 23 MR. ROCHON: Your Honor, objection. 24 THE COURT: Are you trying to direct his attention to 25 something?

Kaufman - direct

1 MR. YALOWITZ: No, no, I just wanted to make sure 2 that --3 THE COURT: You can answer that "yes" or "no." THE WITNESS: I'll make Mr. Rochon's life easy. No. 4 5 MR. ROCHON: Perfect. 6 MR. YALOWITZ: I just didn't want to leave anything 7 out that Mr. Kaufman wanted to cover. THE COURT: I understand. 8 9 MR. YALOWITZ: I think we're then done with the 10 conviction on the January 27 attack. I'll collect those. 11 Is your Honor ready to proceed to the next one? 12 THE COURT: Let's go another 10 minutes and stay on 13 schedule. 14 MR. YALOWITZ: We're going to hand out to the jury a binder related to the March 21 conviction, and that's the one 15 that injured Alan and Yoni Bauer. 16 17 Q. Let's go to March 21, 2002. That cold and rainy day in 18 Jerusalem. Let me make sure I get our chart up on the board. Why don't we begin with this man, Nasser Shawish. 19 20 Yes, he's the first individual to be found in your binder. 21 Just give us procedurally what we have with Nasser Shawish. Q. 22 Well, in fact back to tab A, tab B format. But here's a 23 bit different. Please open up tab A. The document entitled in 24 the top-right-hand corner 382. We have a hearing, and here we 25 have something which is similar to what Mr. Yalowitz himself is

Kaufman - direct

- doing to me at the moment. It is a direct examination that
 goes on for a few pages.
- $3 \parallel Q$. It's Q and A?
- 4 A. Sorry?

5

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. It is questions and answer?
- 6 A. Yes, question and answer, yes, indeed.
- 7 | Q. Then what happens that is of relevance to the conviction?
- 8 A. Yes, well, if you look at page 77.
- 9 Q. Maybe you might want to tell the jury why this was an unusual trial.
- A. Well, this was unusual because, as is his right, of course, and it does happen all over the world, the defendant decided to represent himself and he was asking questions.
 - And if you look at page 77, at the bottom, the last paragraph, the defendant says something. Would you like me to read it out?
 - Q. Yes, please do.
 - A. "In connection with the Muhammad Hashaika operation, the details of the incident in my statement, there are things that are not true and there are things that are true. I want to make clear to the court those things that are true. Muhammad Hashaika, I sent him and filmed him and explained to him the place for the terrorist attack. In connection with the time and date of the terrorist attack, and preparation for the terrorist attack, I received the belt, and prepared him, and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

Kaufman - direct

dressed the suicide terrorist. Subsequently, I checked the place for the terrorist attack. And I brought the car and drove Muhammad Hashaika up to the Qalandiya checkpoint. From the checkpoint I put him into a taxi, I don't remember which taxi, and I explained to the taxi driver to drive him to King George Street."

Maybe I better stop there and let you take over.

- Q. Sure. That would be great. Just explain what the prosecutor said after the defendant made that statement in open court, and show the jury where they might find that.
- A. Well, the prosecutor, having heard this from the defendant's mouth, he said that he was satisfied with the statements as given on October 6, 2002, and December 10, 2002, and now he obviously repeated this confession, as it were, in other portions of the transcript, and he wants to make it clear to the court that the defendant's admission is not the result of a bargain or a promise that we made to him. Namely, that the defendant confessed of his own free will. And then the defendant added something.
- Q. Please say what he added.
- A. Well, I think you better say that, Mr. Yalowitz, perhaps.

 It is at the bottom of page 78.
 - Q. You're right.
- MR. YALOWITZ: With the Court's permission, I'd read it out.

F1E3SOK3 Kaufman - direct

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. YALOWITZ: "I wish to add, in connection with another individual."

Your Honor, I'm sorry. I don't understand the redactions on this one.

But "in connection with blank terrorist attack, in connection with dressing blank, and in connection with the terrorist attack during preparations, this is because I want to demonstrate that there are many errors in the statements and blank admission when she says blank it is not true. When she says blank it is not true. It was I who produced the belt and I dressed her in it. I filmed her and it is I who filmed the terrorist attack. And I dressed her in the belt in the presence of blank. Another individual had no connection but she was with me present in the vehicle." All right.

- A. If you could give me one minute.
- 17 | Q. Sure.
 - A. I would like to check the Hebrew version of that.

MR. ROCHON: Your Honor, if the witness is checking the Hebrew version and we are close to the time we would be breaking, may I suggest this may be an apt time to avoid any confusion?

THE COURT: Is this a convenient time or do you want to finish up?

MR. YALOWITZ: Why don't we finish up this conviction.

F1E3SOK3 Kaufman - direct

- That way we're not hanging out there. 1
- 2 THE COURT: Sure.
- 3 (Pause)
 - THE WITNESS: Yes, I've just checked and it does reflect correctly the Hebrew.
- All right. Could we turn to page 79. 6 0.
 - Α. Yes.

4

5

7

- 8 If you can just read out what the prosecutor said after the 9 defendant made this somewhat -- well, after the defendant made
- 10 this statement.
- 11 A. He said that "I repeat my motion," the motion is a request,
- "to convict the defendant on the basis of his own confession. 12
- 13 I move, since the court will convict the defendant, to adjourn
- 14 the case to March 17 for arguments as to penalty."
- 15 Q. And then, where do we find the court's response to that
- motion? 16
- 17 A. You'll find the response on the next page. Indeed, on page 80.

18

- 19 In order to find the relevant portion it looks like
- 20 there -- if could you just direct the jury to where they're
- 21 convicting the defendant of the crimes attributed to him.
- 22 A. It is in the second paragraph, members of the jury. The
- 23 specific one is most likely 25, count 25. But you don't have
- 24 the indictment in your binder.
- 25 Is the indictment tab B, 366? Or has that been removed?

F1E3SOK3 Kaufman - direct I apologize. Sorry about that. 1 Α. 2 MR. ROCHON: Your Honor, I do have something I'd like 3 to address at the bench. I'm sorry. I know we're trying to 4 get --5 THE COURT: On this issue? 6 MR. ROCHON: Yes. 7 THE COURT: Mr. Yalowitz, let me interrupt you at this 8 point and take the lunch break so we can save time. 9 Don't discuss the case, ladies and gentlemen, keep an 10 open mind. Keep the binders on your seat. Have lunch. I'll 11 see you at 2 p.m. 12 (Jury excused) 13 (Continued on next page) 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23

24

25

F1E3SOK3

MR. YALOWITZ: Your Honor, can we approach and just discuss this because I think Mr. Rochon may have an application about it and --

THE COURT: Do we need to approach on it?

MR. YALOWITZ: Well, we don't need to approach. We can just talk about it.

THE COURT: Mr. Rochon, what did you want to say? You can step down, sir.

MR. ROCHON: It was helpful we got the first part of it. This guy is in the middle of his trial, and all of a sudden he says, okay, I did it, but you got some things right and you got some things wrong. When they convict him, they convict him subject to his statements about that. So he speaks about the Hashaika incident and the conviction is subject essentially to what he said. Obviously, he admits the main core of what he did.

But you get to count 25, it includes stuff that he did not admit to when he made his colloquy there in court, including the release of Hashaika, which is a critical part of this case.

THE COURT: Show me where you are.

MR. ROCHON: So the first thing you need to know is what he just read about the defendant and he explains how the whole attack went down live in court. He doesn't include anything about Hashaika getting out of custody or any of that

F1E3SOK3

```
Then when you get to page 80, when you get --
1
      stuff.
 2
               THE COURT: Which page?
 3
               MR. ROCHON: 80, which is just right after his
 4
      rambling narrative in open court, just four or five pages in,
 5
      the 382G, that's what he was just reading from. 382, tab A.
6
               THE COURT: Okay. Page 80, yes.
 7
               MR. ROCHON: At 80 when they talk about what he's
      being convicted to, it says 25, that's the count we care about
8
9
      here, that's the Hashaika count is count 25. It says in
10
     parenthesis "subject to the description of the matter as given
11
      by the defendant."
12
               THE COURT:
                           Okay.
13
               MR. ROCHON: Then when we get to the conviction
14
      itself, excuse me, to the count itself, to count 25, it
15
      includes things that weren't in his narrative.
16
               THE COURT: I'm sorry. Where are you under?
17
               MR. ROCHON: That would be tab B is where they have
      the indictment. 21 at the bottom.
18
19
               THE COURT: Page 21.
20
               MR. ROCHON: At the bottom. 21 at the bottom, it says
21
      in the middle of the page "25th count."
22
               THE COURT: Okay.
23
               (Continued on next page)
24
25
```

1	THE COURT: OK.
2	MR. ROCHON: 25th count is this instance.
3	When you read through the document, the bottom of page
4	21 is no problem. But then the part about the defendant and
5	Hashaika being transferred by the Palestinian Authority to
6	Ramallah and there released, which is at the top of page 22.
7	THE COURT: Which number?
8	MR. ROCHON: It's paragraph numbered 3 at the top of
9	page 22.
10	MR. YALOWITZ: Can I see the unredacted?
11	MR. ROCHON: Is the Court with me?
12	THE COURT: Yes.
13	MR. YALOWITZ: It's really impossible to follow this
14	without the redactions taken out.
15	THE COURT: There is no redaction in that sentence.
16	Let me get a feel for what we are arguing about.
17	MR. ROCHON: That paragraph numbered 3, and the next
18	one numbered 4, concern an issue central to this case.
19	THE COURT: You say 3 and 4?
20	MR. ROCHON: 3 and 4.
21	THE COURT: OK.
22	MR. ROCHON: One of the core issues in this trial is
23	why is Hashaika out?
24	THE COURT: Right.
25	MR. ROCHON: When the defendant spoke in court, he

said some things they had were right and some were wrong. And this defendant was convicted based on what he said in court.

In fact, the conviction itself says so in parentheses.

THE COURT: He was convicted also on the statements he said out of court, his confession.

MR. ROCHON: Your Honor, I think that that could be how you interpret it, although you will note that --

THE COURT: That's what the court said. The court said they reviewed his confession, the first one, the second one, and the third one, and they made an assessments about his confession and the contents of his confession.

MR. ROCHON: I think for his conviction, however, in terms of what he admitted to, when they went through it, they put that amendment behind Count 25, and not all of the others, just the ones where he clarified things.

THE COURT: So is there anyplace where he denied any of the allegations in paragraph 3 and 4?

MR. ROCHON: I am not aware of anywhere where he denied them.

THE COURT: So they are subject to his restrictions and he did not restrict that part of the facts.

MR. ROCHON: I would say that he admitted to along with a plea of guilt on Count 25, which is more than enough in our system to say there is a Rule 11 basis for it. He said he belted a guy up. He was intimately involved. He filmed him.

A complete set of admissions to what is essential to the conviction, and did not include this. And his conviction is based, according to the conviction, on the admissions made by the defendant, which I would suggest to the Court, therefore, should not include this conduct that he did not admit to in his colloquy with the court.

Just as if a defendant were to come here, and you would say, OK, the indictment says all this stuff, what did you do, and the defendant makes admissions that are sufficient for guilt of the count, and doesn't make other admissions that are sufficient for guilt of the count, that would affect what his admissions are.

The release of Hashaika is not essential to the count of the intentional killing that he was admitting that he did. He admitted to putting a suicide belt on the person and causing this terrible incident. He did not admit in his colloquy with the court to anything having to do with getting Hashaika out, and that is a critical issue in this trial. We all agree to that.

I would suggest to the Court that when a defendant does that, and when the conviction specifically restricts the basis for the conviction, that the Court should not let in the extraneous information in that count which implicates the Palestinian Authority --

MR. YALOWITZ: May I be heard?

F1E8SOK4 1 THE COURT: When he finishes you can be heard, Mr. Yalowitz. You know that's the way we work. You are always 2 3 heard. 4 Mr. Rochon. 5 MR. ROCHON: That implicates the Palestinian 6 Authority. So it's not just a random reference. 7 If you look at paragraph 3 that I first focused the 8 Court to, it says they were transferred by the Palestinian 9 Authority to Ramallah and there released. You understand that 10 the plaintiffs in this case are arguing that that release is 11 the basis for liability here. 12 THE COURT: I understand that. 13 MR. ROCHON: Therefore, we suggest the Court should be 14 careful in allowing it in when the defendant did not admit to 15 it as part of his plea. And if nothing else, when you said if there is a redaction coming up that you want to raise, this one 16 17 should be taken out. This reference to the Palestinian 18 Authority should be redacted in any event.

THE COURT: Do I have a copy of this unredacted?

MR. YALOWITZ: We can put it up, your Honor. We can print one.

THE COURT: You can print one for me.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Do I have available to me the statements that he made in his confession?

MR. YALOWITZ: Yes. But probably not over lunch.

They ought to get them for you. I don't know if I have them. 1 2 THE COURT: Your response is you can't get them. 3 I might be able to. MR. YALOWITZ: 4 THE COURT: That would be helpful. 5 MR. ROCHON: We will get them to you as well. 6 THE COURT: If somebody can get it to me, it might be 7 helpful. Are you finished, Mr. Rochon? 8 9 MR. ROCHON: We have received parts of the 10 interrogations of Mr. Hashaika and we will get them to you, 11 whatever we have. THE COURT: If you can get them to me as quickly as 12 13 possible in an unredacted document. 14 MR. YALOWITZ: What you really need is the unredacted 15 hearing, because what that hearing was about was one of these two ladies, and Shawish was exculpating one of these two 16 17 ladies. Remember, these two ladies confessed and admitted and 18 pled quilty to driving the suicide terrorist into Jerusalem. 19 And Shawish for some reason wanted to exculpate one of these 20 two ladies. So he said, I put him in a taxi. And that is what 21 he is saying is incorrect in the indictment. 22 So you just need to see that. First of all, Aweis is 23 the PA quy who organized the release. Shawish is not a PA quy. 24 I think Mr. Rochon -- I understand why he wants it out, but 25 it's not the core evidence about the release of Hashaika.

THE COURT: What is the core evidence? 1 2 MR. YALOWITZ: The conviction of Aweis, as well as the 3 inference -- let me check on that because I want to make sure I 4 have got that. But in candor Shawish is not a PA guy. I am 5 telling you that. 6 THE COURT: I understand. 7 MR. YALOWITZ: So you have got to look at the hearing in which what he is doing is exculpating Kahira, and he doesn't 8 9 deny that Hashaika was released and that he was involved. 10 we will get you that unredacted hearing because I think that's 11 really the core of it. 12 THE COURT: If you can give me this unredacted and 13 that unredacted and give me the confession, I will try to look 14 at it as quickly as possible over your lunch hour. 15 MR. ROCHON: When did you tell the jury to be back? THE COURT: I told them 2:00. 16 17 MR. ROCHON: Thank you. 18 (Luncheon recess) 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

(Jury not present)

THE COURT: Mr. Rochon, let me specifically let you again articulate the basis for your objection.

MR. ROCHON: Yes. The basis for our objection is this. The defendant was charged in a long indictment. The one that matters is 25. The defendant spoke up in court on various occasions and made statements. Eventually he was convicted and you will see the convictions that reflect — he was charged with so much stuff. They list a bunch of stuff at the top of the conviction. And then say, as to Count 25, and I want to quote it, which is tab B, "subject to the description of the matter as given by the defendant."

That is not subject to all the interrogations.

Moreover --

THE COURT: Why not?

MR. ROCHON: Here is why. Because when the prosecutor -- at the top of that page -- we will stay there -- it says, "on the basis of the defendant's confessions in the court hearings above," and then it lists a number of court hearings.

THE COURT: OK.

MR. ROCHON: "We convict the defendant."

First of all, the basis for the convictions is listed by the court that convicted him. And the prosecutor, when he said he was satisfied as to Count 25, referenced two previous 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

F1E8SOK4 court proceedings as satisfying, in addition to the one they were currently at. That is in tab A of 382G. After the defendant's discussion of what I will refer to is the Hashaika incident, the military prosecutor says, and I am quoting him, "I am satisfied with the defendant's statements as given on October 6, 2002, December 10, 2002, and now." So the prosecutor is basing it on those two statements. The court later subjects the conviction, as it says, to the description of the matter as given by the defendant. include -- I just need to check to make 100 percent sure on

And if you look at the statements given on October 6, 2002 and December 10, 2002, and the one given in open court, it does not December 10, 2002. It was just brought over to me.

I know that on October 6, 2002 he gave a denial.

THE COURT: A denial of what?

MR. ROCHON: This incident. I had nothing to do with it.

Then the statements on December 10, 2002 are longer. I am looking through them as we speak.

THE COURT: What sentences are you saying should be not put before the jury in these documents?

MR. ROCHON: There is a relevant small part. It's at the top.

THE COURT: Page 22. Number 3? 1 MR. ROCHON: Number 3. 2 3 That's really it when you come down to it because 4 that's the only part that implicates my client. 5 THE COURT: First of all, is there some factual 6 dispute with regard to whether he was released as opposed to 7 the reason he was released? MR. ROCHON: I want to tell you, they have better 8 9 evidence of it, I'll admit, than what Nasser Shawish did. 10 Abdel Karim Aweis made admissions that I can't likely keep out 11 given your ruling so far. I have made arguments. 12 THE COURT: That makes this --13 MR. ROCHON: About getting Hashaika out. 14 THE COURT: It's coming in someplace else. 15 MR. ROCHON: I have to admit, it is coming in 16 someplace else. 17 THE COURT: At best, your argument should be it's 18 cumulative, not that it's prejudicial, because it states a fact 19 that is already coming in and that you're not in a position to 20 denv. 21 MR. ROCHON: Two things that I would like the court to 22 consider. First of all, Abdel Karim Aweis we consider to be an 23 employee who was acting outside of his authority when he did 24 those things. 25 THE COURT: That's an issue for the jury.

MR. ROCHON: This is a statement that refers to transferred by the Palestinian Authority. This is direct reference to my client. Not just a single person, but the client. I am trying to get Palestinian Authority out of it.

THE COURT: I understand that. Part of the problem I have is, one, I have no basis to think that you're arguing that this is somehow inaccurate. That's not what you're arguing.

You're arguing they shouldn't be allowed to put it in in this form. You're saying it's coming in anyway in another form.

Also, quite frankly, this statement is not a criminal accusation in and of itself. As a matter of fact, the fact that the next two paragraphs are so heavily redacted take it out of the context of a criminal accusation. They have to put it back in the context of a criminal accusation.

Then as I looked back at the confession itself, I know what the court had in front of him. The court said, I am convicting him based on the statements he made in court. But I know the court also had before it his confession. The confession is consistent with this, not inconsistent with this.

So that was the evidence that they had, and you clearly can't argue that when he said I'm admitting some things — and I think that is the way you argued it before lunch — are true but other things are false, that he wasn't supposed to conclude he is referencing this because he said this in his confession and he did not say what I said in my

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

confession was false.

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MR}}.$ ROCHON: I am not disagreeing with any of the facts.

THE COURT: I just want to make sure we understand each other. I understand your argument. A lot of these are important issues, but it seems to me in my analysis over lunch, as I was getting indigestion, one, that this statement itself, given the heavy redactions -- if we didn't have the redactions in the next two paragraphs, you might have a little stronger argument. But this statement in 3 is not an incriminatory Two, it is not genuinely in dispute. evidence that is coming in in another form before the jury. Four, it is a statement that the defendant made in his confession. Five, it was a statement that he did not put in the category of being false, or being not true, when he said there are some things that are true and some things that are false. And logic would dictate that if he is standing before the court saying some things were true and some things were false, there would be some incentive for him to say what it is was in his confession that he is now denying if he did not want them to attribute it to him. He did not do that.

So it seems to me that they still have -- I don't know why he was released. We know he got out. They want to say -- and I think he was released weeks before the attack at issue. In fact, my reading of the circumstances under which he was

released, even in the indictment, it basically says that the Israeli defense forces told the Palestinian Authority to arrest him. They said that he is getting ready to do a terrorist attack.

They go and arrest both of them. When they arrest them, they have got no bounds. That seems to be what the facts are. It says right here that the defendant removed the above-mentioned explosive belt from Mohammed Hashaika person before the two were arrested. So I have got a scenario here where the Israeli forces are saying these guys are getting ready to do a terrorist attack. They tell the Palestinian Authority to arrest him. They in fact arrest him. When they arrest him, the guy has got nothing on him, and at some point they release him.

Do I know whether or not that means they released him to give him another bomb so he can do another terrorist attack? I don't know. You guys have more of the facts before you than before me and the jury so far, and you know what is a reasonable inference to draw and not a reasonable inference to draw. On top of that, I can't conclude that this document is coming in otherwise redacted with this mere statement 3 saying that following the arrest they were released.

This is not like the other statement. There is another situation where I know you genuinely dispute whether he was released or whether he escaped. I understand that. That's

to be fought out before the jury if you think it's important and you need to fight it out. That's not this. I don't hear you saying the jury is getting some false impression about what occurred.

Apparently they get arrested. Apparently they released him. They may have released him because they didn't have any evidence against him or they may have released him because they were setting off to do a terrorist attack. I don't know why they released him. And anything that indicates that they released him for some criminal reason has been redacted from this document.

That's my analysis.

MR. ROCHON: I understand the court's ruling. I don't think it's essential to your ruling but the defendants contend he was released for bad reasons. It will come in another conviction. I just want you to know we have — there may be a dispute whether he escaped or not, but I don't think that's what is essential to your ruling. I understand your ruling.

THE COURT: Is there a dispute as to whether he escaped or not?

MR. ROCHON: Yes.

THE COURT: You have evidence that he escaped?

MR. ROCHON: Yes.

THE COURT: You never said that.

MR. ROCHON: I think in our disclosures of witness

testimony --

THE COURT: You never said that to me. You said it to me about the other guy.

MR. ROCHON: It didn't come up with this one. I have heard your ruling and I don't think that point is what is critical to it.

THE COURT: That's important to my ruling too. If you say there is a genuine factual dispute with regard to whether or not they were released because you have contrary evidence that they escaped, then I would like to know what that is. I may give that some weight. Unless you don't want to give me that.

MR. ROCHON: One thing I want to be clear with you about is they have another conviction of Abdel Karim Aweis where he says he got him out. I can't keep that out because he is talking about what he did.

THE COURT: The reality is, even if they have a statement in an indictment or even a statement by this individual that he was released, it still begs the question of who released him. I don't know if he was released by Arafat or whether he was released by some rogue guard who decided that he didn't think this person should go to jail for the things they accused him of and he opened the gate and let him go. You guys know better what you're going to fight about or whether or not that's going to be a determinative issue in this case.

1 In my analysis, with regard to the nature of the 2 objection you're making now, just to exclude that one sentence, 3 and the grounds for that, in going through all these documents over lunch I don't think there is a basis to do that. 4 5 MR. ROCHON: I want to thank the court for letting me 6 raise it and taking the time to address it. 7 THE COURT: Mr. Yalowitz, anything you want to add to 8 try to talk me out of the ruling? 9 MR. YALOWITZ: If your Honor is ready, we would like 10 to resume the testimony as soon as possible. 11 THE COURT: That's right now. 12 MR. YALOWITZ: I think you have been more than 13 indulgent with these defendants. 14 THE COURT: Let's get your witness back. 15 (Continued on next page) 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(Jury present)

NICHOLAS KAUFMAN, resumed.

THE COURT: Mr. Yalowitz, you may continue.

MR. YALOWITZ: Thank you, your Honor.

BY MR. YALOWITZ:

Q. Mr. Kaufman, we were on -- bear with me one second. I just want to put up that picture.

We were on Shawish. As I recall, you had taken the jury to the verdict and you were just about to show them what happened in the indictment. So maybe you can take them to where they need to be in the indictment.

A. Maybe we can have a brief recap.

This was the case where the defendant himself was conducting the questioning and decided in the middle of the examination of one of the witnesses to confess to the March 21, 2002 attack. He said he wanted to tell the truth, tell the court what things are true and what things are not true.

That's to be found on page 77, at tab A, of Nasser Shawish, right at the bottom.

- Q. And then? I didn't mean to interrupt you.
- A. Then on the basis of his version of events with respect to this particular attack, the military prosecutor asked the court to convict him. And that can be found on page 78, the middle paragraph there.
 - Q. OK. Great.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

A. Then if you turn to page 80, you will see that the court convicts him. The count which is relevant to the attack of March 21, 2002, is Count 25. The judges state that his confession and their finding of guilt is subject to the description given by the defendant himself, that description which you saw earlier on page 78.

And if you want to see the actual content of that count, 25th count, you can now open up to tab B.

Q. So let's turn to tab B and see what that document says.

Where do we find that 25th count?

- A. It's a weighty document. There are many criminal offenses that he pled guilty to. But the one of relevance here is to be found on page 21. If you look right at the numbers at the
- 15 Q. Bear with us. Page 21.
- 16 A. In the middle of the page you will see 25th count.
- Detailed incident file 2069/02, Special Duties Department,
- 18 | Jerusalem.

bottom.

- 19 Q. What are those numbers?
- A. The first one is the case file. The special duties
 department is, I suppose you could say it's a bit like the FBI.
- 22 | They are specialists, serious crime investigators.
- Q. Now, I will ask you to read out paragraph 2 on page 21 under the 25th count.
- 25 Actually, you could read paragraphs 2 and then on the

next page paragraph 3 to the jury.

A. "The defendant travelled together with Mohammed Hashaika from Nablus to Tulqarm. During the trip, the defendant understood that Mohammed Hashaika was carrying on his person a belt with an explosive device, with the aim of carrying out a suicide terrorist attack. The defendant and Mohammed Hashaika were unable to enter Tulqarm, which was surrounded by Israeli Defense Forces troops, and were also arrested by the Preventive Security Service of the Palestinian Authority, after they were informed by Israeli sources that the defendant and Mohammed Hashaika intended to carry out a suicide terrorist attack. Before the two were arrested, the defendant removed the above-mentioned explosive belt from Mohammed Hashaika's person.

"Following their arrest, the defendant and Mohammed Hashaika were transferred by the Palestinian Authority to Ramallah and there released."

MR. YALOWITZ: With your Honor's permission, I think I will take over on paragraph 4.

THE COURT: Sure.

Q. "Following their release, Mohammed Hashaika contacted the defendant and another person and informed them of his willingness to carry out a suicide attack. The defendant and the other person agreed to prepare Mohammed Hashaika and to equip him with everything necessary for the purpose of carrying out a suicide attack within the State of Israel."

"Mohammed Hashaika told the defendant and another person that he had been approached by another person who proposed to send Mohammed Hashaika to carry out a suicide attack."

Let me take you to paragraph 6, Mr. Kaufman, and ask you to read that one to the jury.

A. "The defendant found a rented apartment in Ramallah, in which he and his accomplices were to prepare the planned suicide terrorist attack."

MR. YALOWITZ: May I go forward, your Honor?
THE COURT: Yes.

Q. Paragraph 7: "The defendant took another person and Mohammed Hashaika to the said apartment.

"At the request of another person, the defendant recruited another individual, a resident of Asira

Ash-Shamaliya, to carry out the above-mentioned suicide terrorist attack, after the defendant and another person decided that there would be two suicide terrorists, a man and a woman, who would carry out a double suicide terror attack, with the aim of causing the deaths of as many Israeli civilians as possible. Another person also arrived at said apartment."

"In the said apartment, at the defendant's request, photographer, blank, filmed with a video camera Mohammed Hashaika and another individual prior to their carrying out the planned suicide terrorist attack."

25

1	"At this stage, the defendant decided that he did not
2	want another individual to take part in carrying out the
3	suicide terrorist attack and he informed her family as to their
4	daughter's intentions. As a result, that person returned to
5	the Nablus area, from which she fled, to Jordan."
6	Paragraph 11: "Another person contacted the office of
7	blank and brought explosives from there. From the said
8	explosives, blank produced an explosive device for the purpose
9	of carrying out the planned suicide terrorist attack."
10	"Subsequently, the defendant and another person
11	prepared the explosive belt.
12	"For the purpose of transporting Mohammed Hashaika
13	into the State of Israel to carry out the planned suicide
14	terrorist attack, the defendant enlisted another person, who he
15	had previously met through his fiance."
16	"On March 20, 2002, the defendant met in Ramallah with
17	someone else. The defendant informed another person that
18	someone was about to send a suicide terrorist to carry out a
19	suicide terrorist attack within the State of Israel."
20	Bear with me, your Honor.
21	Let's go to paragraph 16.
22	I am sorry. Let's go to paragraph 15.
23	"On March 21, 2002, the defendant met in Ramallah with
24	another person.

"On that same day the defendant, another individual

and Mohammed Hashaika visited the offices of someone else.

There another person received from that someone money and two hand grenades for the purpose of executing the planned terrorist attack.

"With the said money, the defendant and his above-named accomplices purchased clothes for Mohammed Hashaika in which the later carried out the suicide terrorist attack which will be described below."

"Subsequently, the defendant and his above-named accomplices came to the apartment in Ramallah at which were the above-named Mohammed Hashaika and other persons. Those other persons prepared Mohammed Hashaika to carry out the planned suicide terrorist attack and, together with the defendant, they dressed him in the above-mentioned explosive belt."

Let's go to page 25, paragraph 21.

"During the afternoon, on March 21, 2002, the defendant drove from Ramallah to Qalandiya checkpoint in a rented vehicle, taking with him Mohammed Hashaika, who wore the above-mentioned explosive belt, and two other individuals who accompanied the above-named suicide terrorist."

"Subsequently, after parting from Mohammed Hashaika with a kiss, the defendant returned to Ramallah.

"Two individuals took Mohammed Hashaika, carrying on his person the above-mentioned explosive belt, to King George Street in Jerusalem. The two chose the place since it is full

of people during the afternoon."

"After two persons left Mohammed Hashaika on King
George Street, Muhammad Hashaika came to the crosswalk adjacent
to the Aroma cafe, near the corner of King George and
Hahistadrut Streets."

Mr. Kaufman, perhaps you can do paragraph 25.

A. 25. "At the said location, at approximately 4:20 p.m. on said day, Mohammed Hashaika set off the explosive device, carried on his person, while in the crowd of people, with the aim of causing the deaths of as many civilians as possible."

Q. I would also like to take you to 26.

MR. YALOWITZ: With the court's permission, I will read that one.

THE COURT: Yes.

Q. "After the defendant and his accomplices were informed of the execution of the planned suicide terrorist attack, the defendant passed a videotape with the recorded testament by Mohammed Hashaika to a journalist."

I will take you also to paragraph 28, with the court's permission.

"For his participation in carrying out the above-mentioned suicide terrorist attack, the defendant received the sum of 1,200 shekels."

Mr. Kaufman, are there other counts of this indictment concerning the March 21, 2002 attack?

A. Yes. Members of the jury, there are indeed. Let me explain what happens.

This is Count 25. Right at the end at paragraph 29, you will see that this count relates to the March 21 attack but also to the murder of Sergeant Major Gadi Shemesh. You can see his name highlighted in bold there on 29.

And the 26th count, on the next page, page 26, essentially adopts the same facts which are set out in Count No. 25, but this time imputes to the defendant Nasser Aweis the murder of Tzipora Shemesh, and notes at the very last line she was in the fourth month of her pregnancy.

Then Count 27, once again, adopts the same facts but this time imputes to Nasser Shawish the murder of Yitzhak Cohen, another individual.

Then Count 28 adopts the same facts set out in Count 25, but this time imputes Nasser Shawish the offense of attempting to murder 81 civilians. You can see that in the last two lines of the 28th count at the top of page 27.

Q. Thank you.

I'm sorry. Did you want to do 29 as well?

A. I suppose you can credit the prosecutor here in being complete because in the 29th count he has charged Nasser Shawish with malicious damage to property. Once again for the same facts set out in the 25th count, for all the damage which was caused to the business premises in the district.

1 Thank you, Mr. Kaufman. 2 MR. YALOWITZ: Your Honor, I would like to move into 3 evidence Exhibit 246 as redacted in compliance with the court's 4 earlier rulings, which is a sentencing statement. 5 THE COURT: Is it in the binder? 6 MR. YALOWITZ: No, sir. It's a sentencing statement 7 that this individual made immediately before he was sentenced by the court for these crimes. 8 9 Exhibit 246. 10 THE COURT: Any objection? 11 MR. ROCHON: No, your Honor. 12 THE COURT: I will admit it. 13 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 246 received in evidence) 14 MR. YALOWITZ: May I approach? 15 THE COURT: Yes. MR. YALOWITZ: We will put it up on the screen for the 16 17 jury. Mr. Kaufman, are you familiar with Exhibit 246? 18 19 I remember seeing this, yes. Α. 20 Could you just remind the jury procedurally what is

- 21 happening at this moment in the case of Nasser Shawish?
- 22 A. Well, I have to be honest, I know where we are now from the 23 context of the proceedings, but on the basis of this alone I 24 wouldn't be able to tell you that this was a sentencing

25 hearing. But it is in fact a sentencing hearing.

1

18

19

- Q. I will so represent to you, Mr. Kaufman.
- 2 A. So much has been blacked out. Nevertheless, here we have a
- 3 hearing in front of a single judge, deputy president, Major
- 4 Netanel Benichou, and the defendant is making a confession and
- 5 we can see it there. Nasser Shawish.
- 6 MR. YALOWITZ: Let's see if we can enlarge it for the
- 7 | jury so we can all see it.
- Q. "I was the organizer of five suicide terrorist attacks. I do not regret my actions."
- Why don't we go to the next one, Mr. Kaufman. Bear with us. We will put the chart back.
- 12 Who is the next one in your binder?
- 13 A. It's Abdel Karim Aweis, the chap on the right-hand side.
- 14 | Q. Abdel Karim Aweis.
- 15 Why don't you break it down for the jury procedurally.
- 16 A. If you turn to that divider, members of the jury, we have 17 tab A, tab B format.
 - Tab A, Exhibit 375, this is a transcript of a hearing before three judges in the Beit El military court.
- Q. Where do we find the moment in time what he says and where he is convicted?
- A. Well, if you see at the bottom of page 1, members of the

 jury, after a break -- they have breaks as well in the military

 courts -- the prosecutor comes back and announces we have

 reached an arrangement in the case whereby the defendant will

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

plead guilty to an amended indictment.

He also states, "And the prosecution undertakes not to make use of the statements of the defendant today before the court in other cases."

Then if you turn to page 3, you will see that the court convicts the defendant of the offenses that are attributed to him in the amended indictment. That's just under the little title Court Verdict in the middle of the page.

And of course we want to see what the amended indictment is, so we turn to tab B.

- Q. All right. Exhibit 356.
- 12 | A. That's correct.
 - Q. The amended indictment of Abdel Karim Aweis.
 - A. Yes.

And if you would, members of the jury, turn to page 34 of that document. And in the middle of the page you will see the 39th count and the case file number. Once again, the Jerusalem Special Duties Department, that's the file relating to the March 21, 2002 terror attack.

- Q. Why don't we begin with paragraph 3 on page 35, Mr.
- 21 Kaufman. If you could read that out while the jury follows 22 along.
- 23 A. Yes. It states as follows: "In early March 2002, Mohammed
- 24 Hashaika was remanded in the Mukta'ah complex of the
- 25 | Palestinian Authority in Ramallah."

Q. May I just stop you there.

What is that Mukta'ah complex?

- 3 A. Well, I believe it's the headquarters of the Palestinian
- 4 Authority. It's where Yasser Arafat used to live and work
- $5 \parallel from.$

1

2

8

- 6 | Q. All right. So Mohammed Hashaika was remanded in the
- 7 | Mukta'ah complex of the Palestinian Authority in Ramallah.
 - If you could continue. Thank you.
- 9 A. "Following the request of the defendant, who is the general
- 10 | intelligence of the Palestinian Authority (sic)", because I
- 11 | believe there is a mistake there, "Mohammed Hashaika was
- 12 released from the said remand."
- 13 | Q. Why do you believe that's an error?
- 14 A. It doesn't make sense in English. If you would let me
- 15 | check the Hebrew, I can probably tell you the exact way it
- 16 | should have been translated, unless the mistake is in Hebrew as
- 17 | well. But it strikes me as it ought to be the general
- 18 | intelligence officer, but let's have a look.
- 19 Please give me one moment, Mr. Yalowitz.
- 20 | O. Sure.
- 21 A. In fact, the mistake is also in Hebrew.
- 22 | Q. All right. So that's something that I will be able to
- 23 clear up as the trial goes along.
- 24 A. Would you like me to read it in Hebrew? I can if you want.
- 25 | Q. I don't think it will be helpful to the jury.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Let's just have it in mind, "following the request of the defendant." My suspicion, based on other things, is that we will be able to clear it up --MR. ROCHON: Objection. MR. YALOWITZ: We will be able to clear it up. THE COURT: Let's just move on. "Mohammed Hashaika was released from the said remand." Q. All right. After his release --MR. YALOWITZ: May I continue, your Honor? THE COURT: Yes. "After his release, Mohammed Hashaika contacted the defendant and another person and announced his wish to carry out a suicide attack. The defendant and another person agreed to prepare Mohammed Hashaika and equip him with all measures required to carry out the suicide attack inside the State of Israel." Let's turn to paragraph 5. "The defendant promised to another person that he would act to have the latter released from custody in the Palestinian Authority if he would be arrested following the execution of the planned attack." Paragraph 6: "The defendant and another person convinced Mohammed Hashaika not to believe the Palestinian Authority intelligence officer out of fear that he would hand

him over to Israel. The defendant and another person convinced

Mohammed Hashaika that they would take care of everything to

send him to carry out a suicide attack."

Paragraph 8: "Another person led the defendant and Mohammed Hashaika to the said apartment."

"At the request of the defendant, another person recruited a third person to carry out the above-mentioned suicide attack after the defendant and another person decided that there would be two suicide terrorists, a man and a woman, who would carry out a double suicide attack with the intent of causing the death of as many Israeli civilians as possible."

Paragraph 10.

MR. YALOWITZ: Let me skip 10 because it doesn't concern the defendant in this one, your Honor.

Q. Paragraph 11: "The defendant guided Mohammed Hashaika to detonate his charge and cause the deaths of as many Israeli civilians as possible. The defendant clarified to Mohammed Hashaika that if he would be arrested he would have to detonate his charge on the spot and that the main thing, that he would kill a Jew, at least one, but he would nonetheless kill."

Paragraph 13, on page 37: "The defendant contacted another person and brought explosives from there. The defendant used those explosives to make an explosive device for carrying out the planned attack.

"Thereafter, the defendant and another person made the explosive belt."

"The defendant recruited another person for conveying

Mohammed Hashaika into the State of Israel for carrying out the planned suicide attack."

Let me go to paragraph 18.

"On March 21, 2002, the defendant met two other persons. The defendant explained to one of them that she would have to transport the suicide terrorist into Jerusalem for him to carry out the planned suicide bombing because she was closely familiar with Jerusalem and the roads leading to it.

"That day, the defendant, another person and Mohammed Hashaika visited the offices of a third person. Defendant received from that third person money and two hand grenades for executing the planned attack.

"The defendant and his above-mentioned colleagues purchased, using this money, clothes for Mohammed Hashaika, with which the latter carried out the suicide attack, which is described below."

Let me take you to paragraph 24.

"At noontime, on March 21, 2002, another person drove Mohammed Hashaika, who was carrying the above-mentioned explosive belt on his person, and two other individuals who accompanied the above-mentioned suicide terrorist from Ramallah to the Kalandia checkpoint.

"Thereafter, after he parted from Mohammed Hashaika with a kiss, that other individual returned to Ramallah."

Let me ask you, Mr. Kaufman -- actually, we can do it

this way.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

21

22

23

24

25

Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, with the court's permission, I will direct you to paragraph 28, which we have seen before, and you can read to yourselves what happened at 4:20 p.m. on that rainy afternoon.

MR. ROCHON: Your Honor, Mr. Yalowitz is not a witness in this case.

THE COURT: Mr. Yalowitz.

MR. YALOWITZ: I withdraw it. I direct the jury to paragraph 28.

- Q. Mr. Kaufman, have you had the opportunity to review this indictment before your testimony here today?
- 13 A. Yes, I have.
- Q. Could you summarize for the jury the number of counts of murder?
- 16 A. Well, it's the same --
- Q. I'm sorry. The number of counts of murder with respect to the March 21 attack.
- A. Well, you can see, once again, it's the same format as in

 Nasser Shawish's indictment.

You will see at the foot of Count 39, paragraph 31, that Abdel Karim Aweis was convicted of murdering first sergeant Gadi Shemesh.

In the 40th count he was convicted of murdering Tzipora Shemesh, who you remember was four months' pregnant.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Α.

That's correct.

In the 41st count he was convicted of murdering Yitzhak Cohen. In the 42nd count, Abdel Karim Aweis was convicted of attempting to murder 81 people. In the 43rd count, just as in the previous count, he was convicted of causing malicious damage to all the property on King George Street. MR. YALOWITZ: Your Honor, at this time I would like to move the admission of Exhibit 376 as redacted in accordance with the court's earlier rulings, which is a sentencing statement by Abdel Karim Aweis. THE COURT: It will be admitted. (Plaintiff's Exhibit 376 received in evidence) MR. YALOWITZ: Thank you. May I approach? THE COURT: Yes. MR. YALOWITZ: Thank you. Your Honor, may I direct the jury's attention to the statement of Abdel Karim Aweis on the third page of Exhibit 376. THE COURT: Yes. MR. YALOWITZ: Thank you. Q. The defendant in his last comment: "I am proud of the acts that I have committed."

1

5

8

9

20

- Q. Let's go to Sana'a Shehadeh in your binder.
- 2 | A. I think Kahira Sa'adi is next.
- 3 Q. We are on Kahira Sa'adi. Why don't you break down the
- 4 procedure of her verdict.
 - A. Once again, tab A and B.
- 6 \mathbb{Q} . So if we go to tab A, we are on 349?
- 7 A. That's correct.
 - Q. Let's make sure everyone is there.
 - A. Second paragraph down in the body of the page.
- "Prosecutor, we have reached a plea bargain under which I request amendments be made in the body of the indictment."
- 12 Then the judges allow that amendment to take place.
- 13 Please turn over the page.

her in the amended indictment.

- On page 2, members of the jury, very last line.
- 15 Kahira Sa'adi confirms the word of the lawyer and she admits to
 16 the counts in the amended indictment.
- On the basis of that admission, if you look at the

 next page, very first two lines: Based on her admitting to the

 charges, we convict the defendant of the offenses attributed to
- And you will find that amended indictment, members of the jury, at tab B. It consists of one charge, one count, accessory to causing intentional death.
- Let me just check the Hebrew. Yes. Accessory. We would call it aiding and abetting. It's the same thing.

Q. Can we take the jury to the first count. Is that where you were going to take them?

A. Yes.

MR. YALOWITZ: I best read this out, your Honor.
THE COURT: Yes.

Q. We are on page 1, ladies and gentlemen, under Details of the Offense.

"The defendant, who resided at the Jenin refugee camp, moved to A-Ram with her family at the beginning of 2002."

"When she was in Ramallah, the defendant and her husband, whose name is blank, met with another individual, a Palestinian police officer, who was responsible for carrying out dozens of attacks in the area and in Israel and who had also moved his activities and residence from the Samaria area to the Ramallah area."

"After the meeting the defendant and her husband blank visited the home of this individual several times. During these meetings, another individual suggested to the defendant that she accompany suicide bombers to Jerusalem, to the place where the attacks would be carried out, and thereby cause the deaths of many Israeli civilians. The defendant agreed to help this individual if she were to be asked."

"Later, following the death of another individual, the brother of another person called the defendant and asked her if she had heard about his death. The defendant cried over the

phone and apologized because she was unable to go to Ramallah. Another individual planned to carry out the suicide bombing through the suicide terrorist named Mohammed Hashaika, who was wearing an explosive belt, in order to take a suicide terrorist the place in Jerusalem where the attack would be carried out, the military operative whose name is unknown here had recruited another person."

"However, the military operative was concerned that that person was afraid to carry out the attack, and another person was also wearing traditional Arab clothing. Therefore, another person called the defendant and asked her to come to Ramallah. They met next to the Abu Rayah Hospital in Ramallah, and another person was accompanied by another military operative.

"In a small blue car parked next to them sat the suicide terrorist Mohammed Hashaika, who was wearing the explosive belt. The driver of the car, another individual and another individual sitting next to him, on the way to carrying out the foregoing attack, told the defendant that in the car were a terrorist on his way to carry out suicide attack and another woman."

"Another individual asked the defendant to travel together with the suicide terrorist and the young woman to carry out the attack because the defendant was wearing pants and her head was uncovered."

"The defendant got into the car and sat in the back seat next to the suicide terrorist. Another person sat in the front seat next to the driver of the car. Another person gave a copy of the Koran to the suicide terrorist. About 200 meters from the Kalandia checkpoint, the driver stopped the car and kissed the suicide terrorist, and the defendant, together with the suicide terrorist and the other individual, got out of the car.

"The other individual hailed a taxi and asked the driver to take them by the A-Ram checkpoint. She paid the taxi driver 50 shekels. She even bought flowers, which she gave to the suicide terrorist. The defendant, the suicide terrorist and the other individual crossed the A-Ram checkpoint on foot while passing the IDF soldier check."

"Afterwards the three of them took another taxi and the other individual asked the driver to take them to Jaffa Street in Jerusalem because they wanted to celebrate Mother's Day and because they don't have Israeli identity cards he should drive them along roads where there were no IDF check points."

"The defendant, the other individual and the suicide terrorist walked along Jaffa Street looking for a crowded place where the suicide terrorist could blow up the bomb and cause the deaths of many civilians."

"While walking, the defendant told the other

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

individual to walk behind them and not next to them because she was wearing traditional Arab clothing so they would not look suspicious."

"The defendant noticed that there were many people on the street and told another individual that this was a good spot for carrying out the suicide attack in order to cause the deaths of many civilians. The other individual agreed with the defendant and sent the suicide terrorist to carry out the planned attack."

"The suicide terrorist told the defendant to fall back and he went to blow up the explosive belt he was wearing in order to cause the deaths of many civilians."

"The defendant and the other individual hurried away from the place and a few minutes around 4:20 p.m. the suicide bomber triggered the explosive belt he was wearing in King George Street in Jerusalem."

"As a result of the blast, three civilians were killed and another 81 injured. Massive damage was also caused to property."

Let's go, Mr. Kaufman, to the last one in this March 21 binder.

- A. Yes. Sana'a Shehadeh.
- 23 | O. We are on Sana'a Shehadeh.
- 24 | A. Yes.
- MR. ROCHON: If we are turning to a new one, I would

```
F1E8SOK4
      ask if I can briefly approach the bench on an issue.
 1
 2
                I think it will be short.
 3
                (Continued on next page)
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

(At the sidebar)

MR. ROCHON: That last one, we missed one of the redactions that should have been in. There is a reference to a Palestinian police officer. This is an example of something we got early this morning. The Palestinian police officer should have been redacted. I waited for him to move to a different exhibit, but I need to raise it as promptly as possible afterwards.

MR. YALOWITZ: I would like to be heard on this.

MR. ROCHON: We think it should have been redacted, and if the court is agreeing with us, our remedy is to ask that it be redacted, not to instruct the jury now because it would just highlight it. Therefore, when they get their binders at the end it won't be there.

The other thing I would say, we have not asked or pressed about the words military operative to be redacted. The plaintiffs are going to contend that's a reference to the Palestinian Authority police. It appears in a lot of these, your Honor. So either they don't get to argue about the PA or else we are going to have to take it out. I don't think it's fair to be in there.

THE COURT: With regard to the police officer, I don't know whether or not the evidence demonstrates that there was such a police officer and the issue is whether or not that police officer did this in the scope of their employ, or is

```
there some dispute that he was a police officer?
1
 2
               MR. ROCHON: I want to be careful not to get it wrong.
 3
               THE COURT: Do you know?
               MR. YALOWITZ: I don't know either.
 4
 5
               MR. ROCHON: I am not asking for anything right now.
6
      I need to raise it as soon as possible afterwards.
                                                           Then the
 7
      other thing, this military operative we are going to have to
      talk about too.
8
9
               MR. YALOWITZ: I want to be heard on both things.
10
               THE COURT: Before we resolve it you will be able to.
11
      You want to do it now?
12
               MR. YALOWITZ: We have the jury now. I don't want to
13
      do anything now.
14
                          Then stop talking.
               THE COURT:
15
               MR. ROCHON: The third thing --
16
               THE COURT: You guys are just slowing us up.
17
               MR. ROCHON: Mr. Yalowitz is reading these in a very
18
      dramatic manner, especially when he gets to really bad parts.
      The documents aren't dramatic.
19
20
               THE COURT: You can read it in a non-dramatic manner.
21
               (Continued on next page)
22
23
24
25
```

F1E3SOKS Kaufman - direct

1 (In open court)

2 MR. YALOWITZ: May I continue, your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes, you can continue.

MR. YALOWITZ: Thank you.

- Q. We're on the last one of this binder, Sana'a Shehadeh.
- 6 Will you break down this lady's verdict for the jury,
- 7 Mr. Kaufman.

3

4

5

- 8 | A. Yes. In the last divider in this binder, tab A, Exhibit
- 9 | 342B, here we have a hearing in the military court. And the
- 10 prosecutor once again, as previously, announces we've reached a
- 11 | plea bargain. Defense counsel confirms the fact that the plea
- 12 | bargain's been reached. The court allows the prosecutor to
- 13 amend the indictment.
- 14 Turn over the page please, members of the jury. Page
- 15 | nine at the bottom. Defendant's lawyer says "I've explained
- 16 | the amended indictment." And then the defendant, and I quote,
- 17 | "My defense attorney has explained the amended indictment to me
- 18 and I concur with her statement."
- 19 Q. So where do we go to find the amended indictment,
- 20 Mr. Kaufman?
- 21 A. The next document, Mr. Yalowitz, is to be found at B, and
- 22 | that's where the court actually convicts the defendant of the
- 23 offenses attributed to her in the amended indictment.
- 24 | Q. Let's just make sure we're there.
- 25 A. Tab B.

Kaufman - direct

- Q. Okay. We got it.
- A. Then tab C is the amended indictment, which is almost virtually the same as that of Qahira Sa'adi, the previous lady.

 And you can see it there, members of the jury, tab C, Exhibit

5 | 342, have a look at the first count.

MR. YALOWITZ: Your Honor, with the Court's permission, I think I will direct the jury to page three of this amended indictment.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. YALOWITZ: And once we're there, I'm going to take the jury through the bottom paragraph on page three.

"The defendant gave the suicide terrorist a Koran.

About 200 meters before the Qalandiya checkpoint, the driver -- an unnamed individual -- stopped the car. And the defendant together with the suicide terrorist and another individual got out of the vehicle. The defendant caught a taxi and asked the driver to drive them so as to bypass the A-Ram checkpoint, and she paid the driver 350 shekels. The defendant also purchased flowers which she gave to the suicide terrorist.

"The defendant, the suicide terrorist, and another individual passed the A-Ram checkpoint on foot, avoiding examination by the Israeli Defense Forces troops.

"Subsequently the three got into another taxi, and the defendant asked the driver to take them to Jaffa Road in Jerusalem since they wanted to celebrate Mother's Day. Since

Kaufman - direct

they didn't have an Israeli identity card, he should drive them by roads where there were no Israeli Defense Forces checkpoints."

I'm going to skip ahead to the area after the omitted material. "The defendants saw that there were many people in the street, and consulted with another individual whether this was a suitable place for carrying out a suicide terrorist attack which would cause the deaths of numerous civilians. The other individual responded in the affirmative. The defendant agreed with this individual, and sent the suicide terrorist to carry out the planned terrorist attack, and went to detonate the explosive belt on his person so as to cause the deaths of many civilians.

"The defendant and this other individual left the scene, and a few minutes later, at around 4:20 p.m., the suicide terrorist detonated the explosive belt on his person, on King George Street, in Jerusalem. As a result of the detonation, three civilians were killed, and 81 others wounded."

Your Honor, I would like to offer in evidence Exhibit 344, which is the statement that Sana'a Shehadeh made at her sentencing.

THE COURT: It will be admitted into evidence.

(Plaintiff's Exhibit 344 received in evidence)

MR. YALOWITZ: May I approach?

Kaufman - direct

1	THE COURT: Yes.
2	MR. YALOWITZ: Thank you. We're on page six of 344.
3	Q. Let's see what she had to say for herself at her
4	sentencing. Why don't you go ahead and read it, Mr. Kaufman.
5	A. "I made no mistake."
6	MR. YALOWITZ: Now, your Honor, with the Court's
7	permission, we'll collect the binders for March 21, and we'll
8	go to the next tab.
9	THE COURT: Let me give the jurors a break. That will
10	be easier. Ladies and gentlemen, just leave it on your seat.
11	We'll take a 10-minute break. We'll continue in 10 minutes.
12	Don't discuss the case, keep an open mind. I'll see you in 10
13	minutes.
14	(Jury excused)
15	THE COURT: You may step down.
16	Mr. Yalowitz, did you want to be heard with regard to
17	issues at sidebar?
18	MR. YALOWITZ: If the court is ever going to grant
19	relief on that, I do. But if it was just making an idle
20	comment, then I don't need to be heard.
21	THE COURT: He was saying that he first raised the
22	issue of redaction.
23	MR. YALOWITZ: Right. So, look, I mean, I thought we
24	were done with the redactions.
25	THE COURT: I thought so too, but they did they raise

F1E3SOKS Kaufman - direct

1 | this objection to you.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. YALOWITZ: They did not. They may have -- well, let me consult, your Honor, before I say no.

MS. PILDIS: That specific page and line? No.

 $$\operatorname{MR.\ YALOWITZ}\colon$$ No, sir. That was not raised with me. With my team.

THE COURT: Is this something that both sides overlooked?

MR. ROCHON: I actually think so, because they have been diligent in removing other references to police by name.

Again, I just want to be clear, I'm not saying --

THE COURT: Can we close that door.

MR. YALOWITZ: I just feel like --

THE COURT: Let him finish. I can resolve this quickly.

MR. ROCHON: I think they got it for almost all of them. I think this one was missed. Where there is a direct reference to PA police, they've been redacting. We missed this — they missed this one, we raised it as an issue. We clearly raised it as an issue. It has been redacted, many of them.

THE COURT: You both overlooked this.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ ROCHON: We got this version after the changes early this morning.

THE COURT: No. I assume this was like this all the

22

23

24

25

the evidence is.

Kaufman - direct

1 time. So you overlooked it today, yesterday, last week, last 2 month, whatever you got. 3 MR. ROCHON: Conceptually we've raised this issue. 4 THE COURT: Not conceptually. You never objected specifically to this one. You overlooked it, they overlooked 5 6 it, you overlooked it. 7 MR. ROCHON: I think that's fair. I can say I 8 certainly did not or my team did not raise this page, that 9 reference. We raised the concept. 10 THE COURT: What do you want me to do about it? 11 MR. ROCHON: My only --12 THE COURT: I don't know where this is going to be an 13 issue. I don't know if it is referencing somebody that both 14 sides know who is being referenced. I don't know what the 15 import of that is. I don't know whether the issue for the jury would be whether or not this is a police officer -- please, 16 have a seat everyone, ladies and gentlemen, until we're 17 finished with court. Have a seat, please. 18 19 So you want to make it conspicuously absent the next 20 time they see it? You tell me what you think. I don't know 21 what difference it will make at this point. I don't know what

MR. ROCHON: So, your Honor, we would ask, first of all, what I want. I'll tell you that.

I'd like it redacted from the binders before they

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Kaufman - direct

would go to the jury for deliberations. I'm not asking for an instruction now. That's really all I can do now. And then the plaintiffs should not be allowed to argue that this person was a Palestinian officer. I'm not asking for anything else right now. I'm not asking to instruct the jury or strike testimony. I'm asking that it not be referenced in closing, and when they get the binders, it is not there. Frankly, let's all be clear. They've got a lot of information today. So odds are, my calculus is, odds are by closing, we're all going to be trying to refresh them as to what was in evidence, and we can take care of it. THE COURT: Mr. Yalowitz, did you intend to redact

this sort of statement and just inadvertently did not?

MR. YALOWITZ: Why doesn't Ms. Pildis cover this, your Ms. Pildis. Honor.

MS. PILDIS: Sure.

MR. YALOWITZ: My issue is a process issue, your But let's get an answer to your question. Honor.

THE COURT: Trying to be fair about this, guys.

MR. YALOWITZ: The fair thing is to stop with the redactions. We have gone --

THE COURT: If you made an error and I think it is prejudicial to them, that's why I asked you, is this the kind of thing you've been redacting and did you just make an error in not redacting this portion.

Kaufman - direct

MS. PILDIS: As a general rule, we did redact the words the "Palestinian police officer" in this type of situation.

THE COURT: I would assume. So I assume this was inadvertent rather than deliberate.

MS. PILDIS: This is the first time -- right now is the first time I'm specifically considering this page. But, yes, based on my quick read of it right now, I believe this was an error.

THE COURT: All right. If this was a mutual mistake, then I'll consider that we do something about it, if it is worth doing something about it before this gets in the jury. If you want to redact it, go ahead and redact it. Then go ahead and redact it and do the page. If you want to fight about it later, we can fight about it later in the context and what's really relevant and determinative in this case.

But, it seems to me the fair thing to do is if it was intended to have been redacted, and it wasn't, it was a mutual mistake, and it should be redacted.

MR. YALOWITZ: Here's my problem, your Honor. We had —— I am going to be very candid. We had a conversation with the Court on December 16 in which the Court admonished the defendants if you have redactions that you want, you, defendants, it is the responsibility of you to come and explain to the plaintiffs what redactions you want. Don't wait until

Kaufman - direct

they give you theirs.

THE COURT: I understand, Mr. Yalowitz. That's neither here nor there. I'm not trying to put fault on either side. You're both at fault. If this is what you intended to do that you thought was consistent with my ruling and you inadvertently did that, I can't fault them for that part of it. I can't fault them for not finding it. But neither one of you did. Both of you would have agreed, had we discussed this earlier, that it should have been redacted.

So I wish the both of you would stop throwing mud at each other and start dealing with the substance of the issue. I couldn't care less what their motive is. I'm not here to blame you or them. I'm here to resolve the issue.

MR. YALOWITZ: We are going to redact this as Ms. Pildis described. We are going to redact it.

THE COURT: End of issue.

MR. YALOWITZ: I want to be very clear about something. We've got other documents that the Court has ordered redacted. We are going to give those to the defendants. And we are going to wait for them to propose redactions. We are not going to reredact and rereredact.

THE COURT: Mr. Yalowitz, that's fine and dandy for you to say that. It goes in one of my ears and out the other. That's not my issue. My issue is I told you how I wanted it redacted. I expect it to be redacted that way. I told them if

Kaufman - direct

they got a problem, they better let me know. All right. And I 1 2 deal with all the issues in that context. This is not that 3 issue. 4 MR. YALOWITZ: All right. Let's move on. 5 THE COURT: This is mutual mistake. Let's not waste 6 time on this. 7 MR. YALOWITZ: I agree with you. Let's move on. THE COURT: You had that other issue. 8 9 MR. ROCHON: I am not sure we need to take jury time 10 on it right now. 11 THE COURT: We're taking your time, not theirs. 12 MR. ROCHON: Okay. There is a lot of references to 13 people by the words "military operative" in these documents. 14 It comes up six --15 THE COURT: As a matter of fact, the context that I remember it coming up I think, let's see, I think I noticed 16 17 the --18 MR. ROCHON: It is in a lot of them, Judge. THE COURT: But the most of the references I saw or a 19 20 number of references I saw were with regard to the Al Agsa 21 Brigade. 22 MR. ROCHON: Sometimes it is there. A lot of times it 23 is so-and-so military operative or something. If it is 24 reference to Al Agsa Brigades, then frankly --25 THE COURT: Where do you say it's referenced?

Kaufman - direct

MR. ROCHON: I want to make sure they don't argue that
when it says "military operative," that that's a reference to
someone in the PA military.
THE COURT: Is there something in this record that
would support that conclusion? Did a witness have an
opportunity to say that?
MR. ROCHON: Because I didn't want to take it out of
all of them.
MR. YALOWITZ: I think they did want to take out all
of them and we said no.
THE COURT: Is this some generic phrase or is this an
actual phrase that refers to a unit of the PA?
MS. PILDIS: I don't think that anyone can identify an
individual based on that language. And therefore, we thought
it was consistent with your Honor's ruling not to redact it.
THE COURT: Is this a generic term or is this a
reference specifically to some official unit of the PA?
MS. PILDIS: It is a generic term. I can't relate it
to anything specific.
MR. ROCHON: That takes care of it.
THE COURT: As long as it is a generic term, then we
can leave it at that. Okay. All right. And why don't you
take a break and then I'll give you five minutes.
MR. ROCHON: Thank you, your Honor.
(Recess)

F1E3SOKS Kaufman - direct

1 THE COURT: Everybody ready? 2 MR. ROCHON: Yes, sir. 3 THE COURT: Let's bring in the jury. 4 (Jury present) THE COURT: You can continue, Mr. Yalowitz. 5 6 MR. YALOWITZ: Thank you, your Honor. 7 Does the jury have July 31, 2002, Hebrew University? Great. Thank you. 8 Great. 9 BY MR. YALOWITZ: 10 Q. All right, Mr. Kaufman, why don't you take us to the 11 conviction of Abdullah Barghouti of Hebrew University, and 12 let's see if we can break it down for the jury. 13 Okay. So if you would, members of the jury, please open up Α. 14 the divider which says Barghouti Abdullah. Tab A. Here we 15 have once again a transcript of a hearing before the Beit El 16 military court. 17 Here we're in a remand hearing, which is a custody 18 hearing, and they ask for the charges to be put. 19 prosecutor asks for the charges to be put to the defendant. 20 And that was done. 21 Defense counsel stood up, and please look at the 22 bottom of the page. Defense counsel says as follows: "I've 23 explained the indictment to my client. He understands it and 24 requests to plead quilty to it."

Turn over the page please, members of the jury.

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Kaufman - direct

at the top, the defendant himself then says "I confirm the words of my defense counsel and plead guilty to that which has been attributed to me in the indictment."

And then verdict. The judges state as follows:

"Based on the guilty plea to the charge, we convict the

defendant of the offenses that are attributed to him in the

indictment."

There was no plea bargain here, members of the jury.

Yes. Then, if we want to see what that indictment looks like, that unadulterated and unamended indictment, please turn to tab B.

- Q. That's Exhibit 452?
- A. Yes, Mr. Yalowitz.
 - Q. Thank you.

Now I'd like you to take the jury through Exhibit 452 in some detail. So, if I could ask you to begin with the second count and just describe for the jury what that count is.

- A. This is a count of membership in an unlawful association.
- Q. Let me read -- let me ask you to read the nature of the offense and the first three paragraphs of the details of the offense and then I am going to ask you something about it.
- A. Okay. "The above-mentioned defendant in the area from May 2001 until the day of his arrest, was a member or acted as a member of an unlawful association as follows:

"The above-mentioned defendant during the period set

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Kaufman - direct

forth was a member of the Az-Adin Algazzam Brigades, the military arm of the Hamas Organization, which is an unlawful association. "The defendant, within the framework of his activity in the military arm of Hamas, was responsible for manufacturing explosive devices and training other individuals in the manufacture of explosive devices. In view of his success in the said function, the defendant acquired the alias 'the Engineer.'" Thank you very much, Mr. Kaufman. Now, in your work as a Ο. prosecutor dealing with security crimes, have you had occasion to encounter the Hamas Organization? I have indeed. Α. Q. Can you comment for the jury on the nature of that organization as it relates to this particular offense? MR. ROCHON: Your Honor, excuse me, Mr. Kaufman.

Your Honor, I may not object. I need a proffer from counsel. Can we either have a side bar between us or come forward and see the Court?

THE COURT: Why don't you talk to each other. (Pause)

MR. ROCHON: By counsel's representation, no problem.

THE COURT: Good.

- A. Could you ask the guestion again, Mr. Yalowitz?
- Q. Sure. What is Hamas? What is the Hamas Organization in

Kaufman - direct

- 1 | relation to this count of the indictment?
- 2 A. It is a prohibited proscribed organization. It is illegal
- 3 | to be a member of such an organization. It is not just in
- 4 | Israel, by the way. It is in the U.S. and in the European
- 5 Union.
- 6 Q. Thank you. I would like to direct you and the jury to the
- 7 | 35th count of this indictment which has got a stamp that says
- 8 P7: 40. And there is a page 12 on the page right before it.
- 9 It is a little hard to find, so let's make sure everybody gets
- 10 | to the 35th count at the bottom of -- the page doesn't have a
- 11 | number. But it says P7: 40 right at the edge. It is right
- 12 after page 12.
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. I just want to make sure that all of our jurors are there
- 15 | because this one is a little confusing, I'm afraid.
- 16 Could you tell us what the 35th count was.
- 17 A. It states "Military training without possession of a
- 18 permit, an offense pursuant to Regulation 62 of the Defense
- 19 Regulations (Time of Emergency), 1945."
- 20 That was before the creation of the State of Israel.
- 21 This is in fact still a criminal offense, of course, in the
- 22 | courts of West Bank, but it is a British provision when the
- 23 | British ruled the territory there.
- MR. YALOWITZ: I just want to focus on the next page,
- 25 which is page 13. And with the Court's permission, once the

Kaufman - direct

jury is there, I'll read it out.

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. YALOWITZ: We're on page 13, count 34. "The above-mentioned defendant, at the time set forth, in an apartment in Ramallah that served as a bomb laboratory, administered military training to Operative A.

"During the said training, the defendant taught

Operative A to manufacture an explosive called Um Al Abed TATP,

electrical circuits for activating explosive devices, and

activation mechanisms for explosive devices consisting of a

clock, a remote control, and a cellular telephone handset.

"At the end of the training, the defendant transferred to Operative A a bag with various materials that are used for manufacturing explosives, and explosive devices, and a sheet with the instructions for manufacturing them. The defendant introduced himself by the alias 'Engineer.'

"During the above-mentioned military training, the defendant and Operative A were masked."

- Q. I also would like to direct your attention, Mr. Kaufman, and ask you to take the jury to count 11, which is on pages five and the unnumbered page that immediately follows it. I'm sorry. Count 12. Page five and the unnumbered page right after page five.
- A. Yes, count 12.
 - Q. All right. What is count 12, if you could just give the

Kaufman - direct

jury a little overview of the nature of the offense based on the indictment there before them.

A. Well, this was a very notorious incident. Once again, it is causing intentional death, that's murder. The defendant's role in this particular instance was manufacturing a large explosive device that consisted of his hallmark, the hallmark of this particular individual, Abdullah Barghouti, the use of shampoo bottles filled with explosives. You can see that in paragraph two. And then he put the improvised explosive device into a guitar.

MR. YALOWITZ: Let me, with the Court's permission, read from paragraph three.

"Paragraph three. The defendant inserted the above-mentioned explosive device into a guitar that another individual brought. In addition to the above-mentioned explosive device, the defendant put two plastic bags filled with explosives inside the above-mentioned guitar. In addition, the defendant affixed screws inside the guitar using glue. The defendant sealed the opening of the guitar using glass so its contents could not be seen. The defendant connected an activation mechanism to the above-mentioned explosive device."

Your Honor, with the Court's permission, I'd like to take the jury to paragraph 10 on next page.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. YALOWITZ: "On August 9, 2011, another individual introduced an individual to the suicide terrorist who was carrying the booby trapped guitar. The suicide terrorist had gotten a haircut before carrying out the planned attack and wore clothes that were supposed to make him look like a Jew.

terrorist departed to carry out the planned attack."

Q. And Mr. Kaufman, without mentioning the name of the suicide terrorist, would you read paragraph 14 to the jury, please.

"Thereafter, another individual and the suicide

This is on the next page.

- A. Yes. "At about 1:55 p.m., the suicide terrorist and somebody entered the Sbarro Restaurant at the corner of Jaffa and King George Streets which was then crowded. There, the suicide terrorist detonated the explosive device that the defendant had prepared as described above, with the intent of causing the deaths of as many people as possible."
- Q. And maybe just take them to the next one, too, Mr. Kaufman.
- A. "As a result of the explosion of the suicide terrorist with the said explosive device, 15 people were killed, as will be described in the next counts of the indictment."
- Q. Thank you. Now I want to go back to November of 2001, and take the jury to count 38, page 14. If you could bear with us one moment, Mr. Kaufman, until we get to page 14. And if you could read for the jury paragraph one.
- A. Yes. Once again, "An incident of murder, causing

Kaufman - direct

intentional death. The above-mentioned defendant during November 2001 or thereabouts in Ramallah or thereabouts manufactured explosives of Um Al Abed TATP type."

Would you like me to continue?

- Q. Yes. I'm trying to see if we can, yeah, why don't you read the next -- why don't you read two, and I'll continue from there with the Court's permission.
- A. "Using these explosives, the defendant manufactured three explosive devices. The defendant inserted the first explosive device into a computer case. The defendant inserted the second explosive device into a black cloth bag. The defendant prepared the third explosive device in the form of an explosive belt.

"In addition to the explosive, the defendant put fragments consisting of nails and nuts into the explosive devices. The defendant attached activation mechanisms to the three above-mentioned explosive devices. When explosive devices were assembled in the explosive belt and in the computer case, they were activated by pressing the activation button, while the explosive device that was concealed inside the black cloth bag was activated by a stopwatch. Defendant added nuts and nails to these three explosive devices to increase the destructive effect of the explosive device. In addition, the defendant put toxic material, which was also for purpose of increasing the lethality of the explosive devices

Kaufman - direct

into the three explosive devices."

MR. YALOWITZ: If the Court would permit it, I'd like to take the jury quickly through paragraphs six through nine by reading portions of it.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. YALOWITZ: "On December 1, 2001, at about 11:36 p.m., at the entrance from Zion square to Ben Yehuda Street in Jerusalem or thereabouts, suicide terrorist, name unknown, activated the explosive device that had been manufactured by the defendant.

"Paragraph seven. That same day about that same time, at the junction of Ben Yehuda and Luntz Streets in Jerusalem or thereabouts, a few meters away from the site of the explosion of the first device, suicide terrorist whose name is unknown activated the explosive device that had been manufactured by the defendant.

"Paragraph eight. On that same day, a few minutes after the two above-mentioned suicide terrorists activated the above-mentioned explosive devices, the explosive device that had been manufactured by the defendant and hidden inside the black cloth bag as set forth above was detonated.

"Paragraph nine. As a result of the detonation of the three explosive devices, which were detonated as described above, 10 people were killed and approximately 191 were injured."

Kaufman - direct

- Q. Now I'd like to take you next, Mr. Kaufman, to March 2002.

 We're on page 19, 54th count.
 - A. Yes.

MR. YALOWITZ: All right. With the Court's permission, I'll try to take the jury through this one.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. YALOWITZ: Thank you. "In early March 2002, in Ramallah, or thereabouts, the defendant met his handler.

"Paragraph two. The defendant agreed with the request of another individual. The defendant manufactured in Ramallah or thereabouts an explosive belt. The above-mentioned explosive belt was made of an imitation leather fabric on which screws and shampoo bottles filled with explosives were adhered. The defendant also attached a detonation mechanism for the above-mentioned explosive belt.

"Paragraph nine. At about 10:30 p.m., on March 9, 2002, or thereabouts, an individual who was carrying the explosive belt that the defendant had manufactured for this purpose on his person entered the Moment Cafe, which was crowded at the time, and activated the above-mentioned explosive belt with an aim of causing the deaths of as many people as possible."

I'd like to take you, Mr. Kaufman, and the jury, to the 67th count. Page 23. With the Court's permission, I'll do this one.

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Kaufman - direct

2 MR. YALOWITZ: "Details of the offense. The 3 above-mentioned defendant, both in the area and elsewhere, on

THE COURT: Yes.

4 May 7, 2002, or thereabouts, caused the intentional death of

5 another person."

Paragraph 12 on page 24. "At about 10:50 p.m., on May 7, 2002 or thereabouts, the suicide terrorist entered the above-mentioned club and activated the explosive belt and the additional explosive device that the defendant had manufactured for this purpose with the aim of causing the deaths of as many people as possible."

- A. That's the nightclub. The Sheffield Club.
- 13 | O. What was the Sheffield Club?
- 14 A. It is a nightclub, Mr. Yalowitz. It is to be found in paragraph 11.
- Q. Let me take you to July 21, 2002, count 86. What is the nature of the charge on this one, Mr. Kaufman?
 - A. This is an attempt to cause intentional death. Attempted murder.
 - MR. YALOWITZ: Let's go to paragraph 11. I think I best do it, your Honor.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. YALOWITZ: "On the following day, July 21, 2002, in the early morning hours, two individuals traveled to the site at which the above-mentioned explosive device had been

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Kaufman - direct

placed. At about 7:30 a.m., another individual activated the above-mentioned explosive device with the aim of causing the deaths of as many people as possible.

"Paragraph 12. The above-mentioned explosive device exploded. As a result of the explosion, one person was injured."

- Q. Now I'd like to take you to count 87. Would you describe for the jury, Mr. Kaufman, the nature of the charges in count 87.
- 10 A. Yes, it is causing intentional death. Murder. Homicide.
 - Q. What is count 87? Where did that take place?
- 12 A. This is the Hebrew University attack.
- 13 Q. Thank you.
 - MR. YALOWITZ: Your Honor, I best begin with paragraph two.
- 16 THE COURT: Yes.
 - MR. YALOWITZ: "The defendant agreed to manufacture the explosive device in order for it to be used for the purpose of carrying out a bombing attack with the intent of causing the deaths of as many people as possible.

"Paragraph three. Following the said request, the defendant manufactured an explosive device which was made using a large shampoo bottle filled with explosives which had been concealed inside a rigid black cloth bag similar to a briefcase. In addition, the defendant filled the

Kaufman - direct

above-mentioned bag with hardware nuts for the purpose of increasing the destructive power of the device. The defendant attached a wireless activation mechanism to the above-mentioned explosive device so that the explosive device would be activated using a cellular handset.

"The defendant delivered the above-mentioned explosive device and three additional shampoo containers filled with explosives.

"Paragraph eight. For the purpose of carrying out the planned bombing attack, an individual delivered to another individual the explosive device that the defendant had manufactured as set forth above. Another individual added three bottles of shampoo filled with explosives to the explosive device which that individual had received from the defendant.

"Paragraph 11. On July 28, 2002, an individual along with another individual transferred the above-mentioned explosive device to Jerusalem. Two individuals brought the above-mentioned explosive device into the Mount Scopus campus of the Hebrew University in Jerusalem. One of these individuals was very familiar with the site because he had worked there previously, and also made use of an employee card which he had retained to enter the above-mentioned campus.

"One of the individuals placed the above-mentioned explosive device inside the cafeteria located in the Frank

Kaufman - direct

Sinatra building of the above-mentioned campus. Thereafter, two individuals tried to activate the above-mentioned explosive device using a cellular telephone, but the explosive device did not explode due to a fault in it.

"Thereafter, one of the individuals returned to the place at which he had put the above-mentioned explosive device, collected the explosive device, and together with another individual, traveled to Beit Iksa.

"Thereafter, on July 29, 2002, two individuals met with a third again.

"Paragraph 13. Another individual repaired the above-mentioned explosive device after inspecting it and discovered there was a problem with the electrical wires. On the following day, July 30, 2002, another individual met another individual again with the latter transferred the above-mentioned explosive device to Beit Iksa. In Beit Iksa, two individuals met with a third individual, and delivered the above-mentioned explosive device.

"That night, two individuals took the above-mentioned explosive device to Jerusalem, concealed it among the trees in the botanic garden in the Mount Scopus campus of Hebrew University.

"On the following day, July 31, 2002, two individuals traveled again to the Mount Scopus campus of the Hebrew University. While an individual was waiting outside, another

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

Kaufman - direct

individual entered the campus, collected the above-mentioned explosive device, and placed it in the cafeteria located in the Frank Sinatra building in the Mount Scopus campus of the Hebrew University in Jerusalem.

"At about 1:30 p.m., after one of the individuals left the area of the campus and joined the other, one of the individuals activated the above-mentioned explosive device that had been manufactured by the defendant via a cellular telephone handset, with the intent of causing the deaths of as many people as possible.

"These individuals chose to carry out the attack at around 1 p.m. because, according to the information that one of them had gathered, the above-mentioned cafeteria was crowded with people at that time."

- Q. Mr. Kaufman, could you please direct the jury to the count at which the late Dina Carter is mentioned.
- A. Yes. That's in the 89th count.
- Q. Could you please take us to the count for the murder of Ben
 Blutstein.
 - A. That would be the 90th count. If you look at the bottom of the page. You'll see the name Benjamin Thomas Blutstein highlighted in bold print.
- 23 | Q. That's on page 34?
- 24 A. That's correct, Mr. Yalowitz.
- 25 Q. Ben Blutstein.

Kaufman - direct

- 1 Let's go to let's see if we can find Janis Coulter.
- 2 A. She's to be found in the 94th count. It is on page 35.
- 3 Q. And David Gritz?
- 4 A. Underneath. The 95th count.
- 5 | Q. Also page 35.
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 | Q. Okay.
- 8 A. The Hebrew University is a place where students from all
- 9 around the world study.
- 10 Q. Let's go to Abdullah Barghouti. I'm sorry. Let's go to
- 11 Ahmed Barghouti.
- 12 A. Yes, it is the next divider, members of the jury.
- MR. YALOWITZ: Oh. My colleague reminds me, your
- 14 | Honor, we wanted Abdullah Barghouti's sentencing statement
- 15 | before the jury. So let me move the admission of Exhibit 435.
- 16 THE COURT: It will be admitted into evidence.
- 17 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 435 received in evidence)
- 18 MR. YALOWITZ: Thank you, sir. May I approach?
- 19 THE COURT: Yes, sir.
- 20 MR. YALOWITZ: Thank you.
- 21 Q. By the way, Mr. Kaufman, do you recall how many counts of
- 22 | murder Abdullah Barghouti pled guilty to?
- 23 | A. Not offhand. If you give me one minute, I can check that.
- 24 | 0. Sure.

25

A. I made a note of it beforehand. This guy was a

F1E3SOKS Kaufman - direct

- 1 professional murderer.
- Yes. He admitted 66 counts of murder. That would be 66 people.
 - Q. Let's take a look at what he said immediately before the court passed sentence on him for his crimes.
- 6 A. I don't think anyone needs me to read that out,
- 7 Mr. Yalowitz.

January 2002.

4

5

- Q. I'll do the honors for the record. "I do not regret any of the acts that I have carried out."
- MR. YALOWITZ: All right. Let's go to Ahmed
 Barghouti.
- Q. Am I recalling correctly, Mr. Kaufman, that we've actually spoken about Ahmed Barghouti earlier in the day?
- 14 A. That's correct, Mr. Yalowitz. We talked about him with 15 respect to the shooting incident on Jaffa Street. 22nd
- Q. So, if I could, I'd like to go directly to the count that relates to Abdullah Barghouti. And if you could help the jury find that, it would be great.
- 20 A. Sorry. We're talking about in this particular binder?
 21 Yeah.
- Q. Right. Maybe just remind the jury what Ahmed Barghouti did in connection with his criminal proceeding.
- A. Well, you have two documents in -- I'm sorry. Ahmed
 Barghouti's divider. Tab A, tab B format. So tab A -- that's

F1E3SOKS

Kaufman - direct

1 not Ahmed Barghouti.

indictment."

4

5

6

7

8

14

15

16

17

- Q. Right. That's Abdullah. We're going to put Ahmed up in a second. There we go. Thank you.
 - I'm sorry, Mr. Kaufman, I didn't mean to interrupt you.
 - A. So this, was a hearing in front of the Beit El military court. It was a plea bargain.
 - Q. What was the disposition of his plea?
- A. He confessed to an amended indictment, and you'll see at
 the bottom of the page, when you have the number 358 as the
 exhibit number in the top-right-hand corner, the decision of
 the court. "Based on his confession to the charge, we convict
 the defendant of the offenses attributed to him in the amended
 - You will find that amended indictment at tab B. And the relevant part of the amended indictment is count 51.
 - Q. Why don't we direct the jury to page 73.
 - A. That's correct. That's where it is to be found.
- Q. And perhaps you can describe the nature of the offense of count 51.
- A. It's giving shelter. Giving shelter normally to somebody
 who is wanted by the authorities. Would you like me to read
 out the details?
- Q. Yes, if you would please read the details of the offense in the first paragraph.

F1E3SOKS

Kaufman - direct

A. This is standard legal format. It is not the particulars as far as they relate to Ahmed Barghouti, but it is the description of the offense in the statute.

"The above-mentioned defendant in the area in late 2001 or thereabouts, assisted or gave shelter to an unspecific person who had committed an offense against the security legislation or had been or was involved in any activity which was intended to harm the public welfare, the welfare of the Israel Defense Forces troops and soldiers, and the maintenance of public order or concerning whom there are reasonable grounds to suspect that he did so whether by giving information, shelter, food, beverage, money, clothing, weapons, ammunition, supplies, animal fodder, means of transport, petroleum, or fuel of any type and kind whatsoever or in any other way as follows."

- Q. All right. I think I asked you this before, but there is this mention again of area with a capital A. In the area. Could you just remind the jury what that means, the area in the context? It seems like just a generic term, but in the context of this 51st count, what do they mean by the area?
- A. It is the West Bank controlled by the Israelis.
- Q. The West Bank. Anywhere in the West Bank?
- A. Well, it would be the area in the West Bank controlled -where it is subject to Israeli security control.
 - Q. All right. Now, is that specific to part A, B or C or is

it in any part of the West Bank?

A. It would be to parts B and C.

MR. YALOWITZ: Let me read out, with the Court's permission, the substantive portions of count 51.

"The above-mentioned defendant," if I may, that's

Ahmed Barghouti, "at the time set forth with another individual transferred a third individual and Abdullah Barghouti from the prison of the Preventive Security of the Palestinian Authority in Bitunia to an apartment which the defendant had rented in downtown Ramallah.

"The above-mentioned individual and Abdullah Barghouti are senior operatives of the Hamas Organization, which is an illegal organization, and are responsible for carrying out a number of attacks against Israeli civilians, including the bombing attack at the Sbarro Restaurant in Jerusalem on August 9, 2001.

"The defendant and this other individual provided lodging to a third individual and Abdullah Barghouti in the above-mentioned apartment for several days. Before this third individual and Abdullah left the above-mentioned apartment of the defendant, the defendant provided each of them a number 14 pistol."

MR. YALOWITZ: Your Honor, I'm not sure if I moved it in evidence before. I may have. But I would like to move into evidence, in case it hasn't been moved, Exhibit 359, the

F1E3SOKS

Kaufman - direct

1	statement that Ahmed Barghouti made before he was sentenced.
2	THE COURT: It will be admitted into evidence.
3	(Plaintiff's Exhibit 359 received in evidence)
4	MR. YALOWITZ: Bear with me one second, if I may
5	consult.
6	THE COURT: Yes.
7	MR. YALOWITZ: Thank you.
8	MR. ROCHON: Your Honor, this is already in evidence
9	and it was moved this morning. If he wants to do it again.
10	MR. YALOWITZ: No, once is enough.
11	MR. ROCHON: It was in and it was read aloud I think
12	this morning although right.
13	MR. YALOWITZ: This is Ahmed Barghouti's statement
14	before he was sentenced. "I have no regrets."
15	Your Honor, with the Court's permission, I'd like to
16	collect the July 31 binders and move to the fifth attack for
17	which we have convictions, and the final one of the testimony,
18	which is January 29, 2004.
19	THE COURT: Sure.
20	MR. YALOWITZ: Bear with us, your Honor. We're just
21	going to put up the demonstrative.
22	THE COURT: Yes.
23	Q. Mr. Kaufman, let's begin with Ahmed Salah.
24	A. Yes. You'll find his court documents at the first divider.
25	His name is right at the bottom. Salah Ahmed. Tab A, B

F1E3SOKS Kaufman - direct

format. Tab A, top-right-hand corner, Exhibit 260. This is the transcript of a hearing before the Judea military court. The southern part of the West Bank.

Q. And where do we find the relevant information?

A. Turn over to page please, members of the jury. And at page three you'll see there is a verdict. The third paragraph down. And the judges state that "Based on the defendant's admission of the facts of the indictment, we've examined the counts of the indictment, and we are convinced that the admission of the facts is enough to substantiate the guilt of the defendant for all counts of the indictment."

(Continued on next page)

3

7

Kaufman - direct

- A. There you see from A, B, C, D, E onwards the offenses to which the defendant pleaded quilty.
 - Q. Where would we find the details that tie him to the January
- 4 29, 2004 attack?
- 5 A. Well, you will find -- if you turn to tab B, you will find
- 6 the indictment which contains the facts admitted by Ahmed
- 8 bottom P2:151, that's, one, two, three, four, five, six, it's

And if you look at the page which has the stamp at the

- 9 the sixth page of print in.
- 10 | O. The fifth count?
- 11 A. That's correct.
- 12 Q. Let's just make sure everybody has got it.
- 13 | THE COURT: Is that the fourth count?
- 14 THE WITNESS: The fifth one, your Honor.
- 15 A. It says fifth count.
- 16 THE COURT: There are two 151s.
- 17 THE WITNESS: You're is absolutely right. The
- 18 numbering is not very good here.
- 19 | A. It's the fifth count. In brackets, "Detailed incident
- 20 | 09891/04 Jerusalem Special Duties Department." Once again,
- 21 | that's the special serious crime squad in Jerusalem. And this
- count refers to the January 29, 2004 bombing of the number 19
- 23 | bus.
- MR. YALOWITZ: All right. Perhaps I ought to take the
- 25 | jury through page 4, paragraph 2, with the Court's permission.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. YALOWITZ: We are on paragraph 2.

MR. YALOWITZ: We are on paragraph 2. Just for reference, paragraph 1 says, "In early January 2004 or thereabouts." There is then a large omission.

Paragraph 2. "Shortly thereafter, the military operative contacted Ali Ja'ara and offered him a meeting with the military operative to send him to carry out a suicide attack. Ali Ja'ara (hereinafter: "the suicide terrorist").

"Accordingly, another individual introduced the above-mentioned defendant to the suicide terrorist. During the meeting, the defendant checked the degree of willingness of the suicide terrorist to carry out an attack.

"Accordingly, the defendant asked the suicide terrorist to prepare to carry out an attack."

Let me turn over the page and we will look at paragraph 5 together.

"A few days later, the defendant came to the home of another individual and told him that he had found a person who was prepared to carry out a suicide attack.

"On January 28, 2004, or thereabouts, the defendant again approached another individual and asked him urgently to prepare an explosive bag using the explosives in his possession because he intended to send the suicide terrorist to carry out the suicide attack."

Paragraph 6. "Shortly thereafter, the defendant

Kaufman - direct

approached the military operative and asked him to transport a suicide terrorist armed with an explosive belt to carry out a suicide attack within the territory of the State of Israel."

Paragraph 7. "At the time of the foregoing, another individual made an additional quantity of Um Al Abed explosive weighing 12 kilograms, and made an explosive bag using it for carrying out a suicide attack."

"A few minutes later, the defendant arrived with the suicide terrorist and another individual at the home of a third individual. Another individual waited outside while the suicide terrorist and the defendant entered another individual's home."

Paragraph 9. "Shortly thereafter, the defendant and the suicide terrorist departed towards the university in Bethlehem. There, the defendant deactivated the safety mechanism of the explosive device in order to prepare it for use. After that, the defendant left another individual with the suicide terrorist.

"Thereafter, at about 6:30 a.m., another individual transported the suicide terrorist in the direction of Jerusalem through the village of Walaja until they arrived near the Malha Mall in Jerusalem. There, that other individual parted from the suicide terrorist and returned to the area.

"Shortly thereafter, the suicide terrorist boarded Egged Bus No. 19, which was driving toward Paris Square. When 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- the bus reached the corner of Arlozorov and Aza Streets, at about 8:45 a.m., the suicide terrorist activated the explosive bag that was in his possession, with the aim of causing the deaths of a large number of individuals. As a result of this, the explosive bag detonated aboard the bus."
- Q. Could you take us, Mr. Kaufman, to the count of murder dealing with Scotty Yehezkel Goldberg.
- A. That's the eighth count, Mr. Yalowitz. It starts at the very bottom of the page which is stamped P2:154. Eighth count.

If you turn over the page, you will see in the details of the offense the death of the late Mr. Yehezkel Goldberg in bold print.

Q. Thank you.

Let's go to Ali Mohamed Abu Haliel.

A. The next divider, here we have one document. It's a verdict, a written decision after the hearing of evidence. As you can see, there are three judges, but only one judge writes the decision. You will note on the last page the other two judges agreed with his decision.

The relevant part for our intents and purposes, the part that relates to the number 19 bus bombing, is to be found on page -- well, it's on the third page. It has a stamp at the bottom P2:335, the third paragraph down. That's the factual basis. And you will see the actual conviction.

Q. Where would we find that actual conviction?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Kaufman - direct

A. On the last page stamped 338. "We have decided unanimously to convict the defendant of 19 counts of being an accessory to causing intentional death and two counts of being an accessory in attempting to cause intentional death." At the very last page, and you will see the signatures of the judges.

MR. YALOWITZ: With the Court's permission, I would

MR. YALOWITZ: With the Court's permission, I would like to take the jury back just to make sure we have that factual basis nailed down.

We are back to page 335. I think I best read it once we get there.

THE COURT: 335 or 335 continued?

THE WITNESS: The first one.

MR. YALOWITZ: The original 335. Maybe the best thing to do is put this one -- can we put this one on the screen?

303. I just want to make sure we nail down that this is the same attack. So why don't we go to the third page of the exhibit and we can enlarge the third full paragraph.

Ms. Machnes, if you can blow that up.

With the Court's permission, I will read it out.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. YALOWITZ: "Notwithstanding that which was set forth in the first count of the indictment, to which the defendant admitted, in early January 2004, the defendant and others planned to carry out attacks that included suicide attacks against Israeli targets. Later, the defendant

Kaufman - direct

forwarded materials for preparing an explosive device to one of his accomplices. After another person prepared an explosive device with these materials, on January 29, 2004, a suicide terrorist boarded bus line 19 in Jerusalem and blew himself up. As a result of this attack, 11 people were killed."

Let's go to Abdul Rahman Maqdad. He is the next one in our binder.

BY MR. YALOWITZ:

- Q. Can you break down that verdict for the jury, Mr. Kaufman?
- A. Maqdad, tab A, tab B format.

Tab A, Exhibit 295, here we have a transcript of the hearing. The defendant is represented by counsel. The defendant himself confirms, if you look — it's the second paragraph up from the bottom of the very first page of Exhibit 295, let me read out what the defendant said.

"I confirm that the facts of the indictment are correct, as my defense counsel has said. I do not plead guilty; the court can decide whether I am guilty or not."

Well, the court did find that he was guilty, you will find that on the following page, because he essentially pleaded to all of the facts.

And the court states as follows: "Based on the defendant's admission of the facts in the indictment, we have examined the counts of the indictment and are satisfied that the admission of the facts is sufficient in order to

H F1E8SOK6

Kaufman - direct

	radiman direct
1	substantiate the guilt of the defendant on all of the counts of
2	the indictment."
3	You will see the offenses of which he was convicted,
4	A, B, C, D, E.
5	The actual indictment itself, that is to be found at
6	tab B.
7	Q. Which count should we turn to?
8	A. You should turn to the fourth count, which is to be found
9	on the fifth printed page. It has a stamp at the bottom
10	P2:209. Detailed incident 098 the fourth count. Detailed
11	incident 09891/04 Jerusalem Special Duties Department. Causing
12	intentional death, murder.
13	Then on the following pages you will have the facts
14	which are similar to the facts that were set out in the case of
15	Abu Haliel.
16	MR. YALOWITZ: Bear with me one second. I just need
17	to make a note. I apologize, your Honor.
18	THE COURT: Sure.
19	MR. YALOWITZ: I know we are trying to get through it.
20	Why don't I begin with paragraph 1?
21	THE COURT: Yes.
22	MR. YALOWITZ: This is under, "Details of the
23	offense."
24	"In early January 2004 or thereabouts, the defendant
~ -	

contacted the military operative, blank, and asked him to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

25

Kaufman - direct

introduce military operatives to him who would help him produce explosives and explosive devices in order to carry out attacks against Israeli targets, and to find suicide terrorists for carrying out suicide attacks."

Just skipping down, "During the meeting, the defendant asked him to help him in the making of explosives."

Going to the top of page 4 on the next page, you see there is quite a bit that's been removed, but here again we have the reference to "Ali Ja'ara, the suicide terrorist, expressed willingness to do so."

Paragraph 4. "On the following day, the defendant met another individual and obtained 36 liters of chemical acetone and 10 liters of hydrogen Peroxide from him."

BY MR. YALOWITZ:

- Q. Mr. Kaufman, one of the things I bet you know as an individual who grew up in England and lives in a country that uses the metric system is how much that is, 36 liters and 10 liters, in terms of gallons or quarts?
- A. Growing up in England I am used to drinking pints, not liters, but it's a lot of liquid, put it that way.
- Q. About how much is a liter?
- 22 | A. It's a big jar of beer.
- 23 | O. Thanks.

Paragraph 5. "A few days later, another individual came to the home of the defendant. At that time, the defendant

Kaufman - direct

asked another individual to buy him materials for making an explosive bag, which included *inter alia*, a bag, batteries and a switch. That other individual agreed, and with a third individual purchased everything requested for the defendant so that he could make the explosive bag as soon as possible."

Paragraph 8, on page 5. "A few minutes later, another individual arrived with the suicide terrorist and a third individual at the home of the defendant. Another individual waited outside while the suicide terrorist and another individual entered the defendant's home. The defendant explained to this individual and to the suicide terrorist how to activate the explosive bag and gave the explosive bag for use in a suicide attack."

Paragraph 10. "Thereafter, at about 6:30 a.m., an individual transported the suicide terrorist in the direction of Jerusalem through the village of Walaja until they arrived near the Malha Mall in Jerusalem."

Paragraph 11. Why don't you do this one, Mr. Kaufman?

A. "Shortly thereafter, the suicide terrorist boarded Egged

Bus No. 19, which was driving towards Paris Square. When the

bus reached the corner of Arlozorov and Aza Streets, at about

8:45 a.m., the suicide terrorist activated the explosive bag

that was in his possession with the aim of causing the deaths

of a large number of individuals. As a result of this

explosive bag denoted aboard the bus (hereinafter: the "suicide")

F1E8SOK6 Kaufman - direct

1 attack").

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

"As a result of the suicide attack, the death of the late Mr. Avraham Balhasan was caused."

And if you look at the seventh count, which is on the following page, at the very bottom, you will see also the death of Mr. Yehezkel Goldberg was caused.

Q. Thank you, Mr. Kaufman.

Next in our binder is Hilmi Hamash.

- A. Yes. It's Exhibit 313. Here, once again, it's a single document. That means it's a reasoned judgment, delivered after the consideration of evidence by the judges.
- The part relevant to the bus attack can be found on the sixth printed page. It has a number 6 at the bottom.
- Q. If you could just direct us, are we talking about Counts 4 through 15?
 - A. That's correct. It's the last paragraph on the page. This is the factual basis for the conviction.
 - Let me read it out. I don't think there is anything redacted here.
 - "Counts of indictment 4-15: Causing intentional death -- participation in the execution of a suicide attack on line 19 in Jerusalem."
- 23 Q. It sounds like the -- well, let me ask you a question.
 - In this case, the case of Hamash, was there any disagreement as to the facts?

3

9

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

Kaufman - direct

- A. Well, the dispute between the parties was very limited for all intents and purposes.
 - Q. Why don't I --
- 4 A. We can read out the arguments of the defense, if you want
- 5 to, Mr. Yalowitz. It's on the following page.
- 6 Q. Actually, what I would like to take you to, with respect,
- 7 Mr. Kaufman, is where the parties agree just at the very top of 8 page 7.
 - A. I can read it, just the first sentence.
- 10 | Q. That would be great.
- 11 A. "In addition, the parties agreed concerning the acts of the
 12 defendant to advance the conspiracy himself, which were as
 13 follows:"
- MR. YALOWITZ: May I proceed with that, your Honor?

 THE COURT: Yes.
 - MR. YALOWITZ: "The defendant heard that another individual was looking for a person who was prepared to commit suicide in an attack and introduced him to Ali Ja'ara, from whom he heard that he was having a bad life and was interested in carrying out a suicide attack.
 - "The defendant was also present during the conversation between a third individual and Ali Ja'ara.
- 23 "The defendant purchased from another individual seven kilograms of lemon salts and four batteries.
 - "Another individual asked the defendant for a video

F1E8SOK6 Kaufman - direct

1 camera and the defendant tried to get such a camera.

- "The defendant made contact with another individual after the attack and that individual informed him that it was an attack that Ali Ja'ara had carried out."
- 5 BY MR. YALOWITZ:
- Q. Do we have anything else on this conviction that we need to cover, Mr. Kaufman? Have we taken the jury to where he was actually convicted?
- 9 A. Well, the conclusions of the judge are to be found on page 10 13.
- 11 Q. Let's get the jury there and then we will take a look at
- 12 | it.

2

3

- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. Where do you wish to direct the jury on this one?
- 15 A. The first line. This is the final sentence which is
- 16 relevant to the attack perpetrated by Ali Ja'ara.
- 17 Q. This is, "I suggest to my colleagues to convict the
- defendant as an accomplice in the offenses that are attributed
- 19 | to him"?
- 20 A. That's correct, Mr. Yalowitz.
- 21 Q. All right.
- 22 A. And you will see at the very end the other two judges
- 23 agree.
- 24 Q. Excellent.
- 25 A. Last line of page 14.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

Kaufman - direct

- Q. So then why don't you take us, Mr. Kaufman, to this man,
 Mohamed Ma'ali.
 - A. The next divider, members of the jury, tab A, tab B format.
 - Q. I think we are all there. Bear with us.
 - A. This is a plea bargain. Turn over the page -- sorry. It is a plea bargain, yes, the prosecutor says so.

Turn over the page, please, and the defense lawyer says, "I have explained the indictment to my client. He understands it and admits to it."

And the defendant himself says, "My defense attorney has explained the indictment to me. I understand it and admit to it."

And then you will see verdict. This is the actual conviction of the judges. I shall read it.

"On the basis of his admission of guilt, we convict
the defendant of the offenses attributed to him in the
indictment, that is, throwing a burning object, two offenses of
placing a bomb, 19 offenses of intentionally causing death, and
two offenses of attempting to cause death, pursuant to
Regulation 58 of the Defense Emergency Regulations and Section
51 of the Security Provisions Order."

- Q. Where do we see the factual information tying --
- A. You would have to look at the indictment because defendant pleaded quilty to the indictment, as we saw.
 - Q. Where is Ma'ali's indictment?

F1E8SOK6 Kaufman - direct A. It is to be found at tab B, exhibit 289. MR. ROCHON: I am so sorry, Mr. Kaufman. Your Honor, we have one thing that we need to approach the bench on. MR. YALOWITZ: Why don't I just consult with Mr. Rochon. THE COURT: Sure. Why don't you see if you can work it out. MR. YALOWITZ: We need to approach. (Continued on next page)

F1E8SOK6 Kaufman - direct

1 (At the sidebar) MR. ROCHON: They have left in the sentencing portion, 2 3 the entire sentence portion, not just what the defendant said. I am sure it's a mistake, like we had this morning, but the 4 5 jurors have it in front of them now. 6 What I would ask us to do is, we are not going to 7 finish --8 MR. YALOWITZ: We are going to finish. I am five 9 minutes from finishing. 10 MR. ROCHON: Right now we have inadmissible stuff 11 inadvertently left in front of the jury. 12 MR. YALOWITZ: He is just trying to delay. 13 THE COURT: Mr. Yalowitz, you're delaying now. 14 MR. YALOWITZ: I am keeping quiet. 15 THE COURT: Let's see if we can quickly resolve this. 16 What do you suggest? 17 MR. YALOWITZ: I suggest we direct the jury to turn 18 over the tab and keep moving. 19 THE COURT: Are you ready to go to the next person? 20 MR. YALOWITZ: To tab B. 21 THE COURT: You're ready to go Sa'ad? 22 MR. YALOWITZ: No. I am ready to go to tab B of 23 Ma'ali, which is not a problem.

THE COURT: Go to tab B.

(Continued on next page)

24

F1E8SOK6 Kaufman - direct

1 (In open court)

- 2 BY MR. YALOWITZ:
- Q. We are on tab B, which is 289. Let's make sure everybody
- 4 is there.

5

8

13

14

15

16

17

18

- A. Yes, the third page.
- Q. Where are we? Which count are we looking at to find the January 29, 2004 attack?
 - A. It's the fourth count. Page 3, middle of the page.

9 MR. YALOWITZ: All right. With your Honor's permission, I would like to direct the jury to page 5,

11 paragraph 9.

12 THE COURT: Yes.

MR. YALOWITZ: "After another individual left them, at around 6:30 a.m., the defendant took the suicide terrorist to Jerusalem via Walaja village, until they approached the Malha Mall in Jerusalem, where the defendant took his leave from the suicide terrorist."

That's Muhammad Ma'ali.

- Q. Let's go to the final tab in our binder, Mr. Kaufman, Ahmed
 Sa'ad.
- 21 A. This is a one document instance. It's a reasoned verdict
 22 once again.
- Q. Where in this verdict do we find the factual allegations tying Ahmed Sa'ad to the January 29 terror attack?
- 25 A. Well, the judges set out the arguments on page 4. The

Kaufman - direct

judges balanced the arguments of the prosecution against the
arguments of the defense. Then you will see that they
discussed them. They considered the defendant's admissions and
statements. And on page 9 you will find a disposition of the
court.
Q. Are we looking just above where it says Counts 15 to 23?
A. That's correct. There the judges state, and I will quote
it, with respect to the number 19 bus incident: "Therefore, I
suggest to my colleagues" that is to the other judges
sitting on the panel "to convict the defendant of aiding in
causing intentional death, (counts 3-13 of the indictment) and
aiding in an attempt to cause intentional death, count 14."
Q. Where do the other judges agree, or do they agree I should
ask?
A. They do. If you have a look at pages 10 and 11, you will
see a summary of the findings on page 10.
And then on page 11, you will see two judges, Yair
Tirosh and Dalia Kaufman, saying, "I agree, I agree."
There is no relation between me and Dalia.
MR. YALOWITZ: Your Honor, plaintiffs move Exhibit
259, as redacted in compliance with the Court's earlier orders.
It's the sentencing statement of this individual Ahmed Sa'ad.
THE COURT: It will be admitted.
(Plaintiff's Exhibit 259 received in evidence)
MR. YALOWITZ: Perhaps we can put the relevant portion

	F1E8SOK6 Kaufman - direct
1	of this document on the screen and we can show the jury what
2	this individual said.
3	Q. If you would do the honors, Mr. Kaufman. I will give you
4	the last word.
5	A. In contrast to the others he actually said, "I made a
6	mistake and I regret that I did it, but the part I did I admit
7	it. My actions were violent and bad actions against humanity."
8	MR. YALOWITZ: Your Honor, I have nothing further of
9	this witness.
10	THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, before we go to
11	cross-examination, we are going to adjourn for the day. I know
12	we have had a long time, and I thank you for the attention you
13	have given to the case.
14	Don't discuss the case. Keep an open mind. I will
15	ask you to be back here again by 9:30 so we can get a good
16	start. I think we are moving efficiently. I will see you
17	tomorrow. Just leave the binders on the seat and we will take
18	them.
19	(Jury exits courtroom)
20	(Continued on next page)
21	
22	
23	
24	

THE COURT: You can step down.

Is there anything we need to address?

MR. YALOWITZ: Very briefly. I noticed going through these documents that there were a couple of mistakes that disadvantaged the plaintiffs. There was an item where the name of Ali Ja'ara was inappropriately redacted. There was also an item where we had labeled operative A, somebody who had been trained by Abdullah Barghouti, and later operative A prepared the bomb of Hebrew University and that got inadvertently redacted. So since we are correcting mistakes at the request of Mr. Rochon, I would like those mistakes corrected before these binders go back to the jury.

THE COURT: Why don't you discuss it with Mr. Rochon and see if you can agree what we are going to do with all of these issues, and to the extent that you can agree, we will do that. To the extent you can't agree, I will resolve it.

MR. YALOWITZ: Thank you. That's all I have.

MR. ROCHON: I don't have anything now. I think tomorrow Mr. Yalowitz will be using the Marwan Barghouti and Shubaki, and we will have issues with those redactions, your Honor. Those were the ones that we only got the redactions late. Mr. Hill addressed them this morning. So those redactions we got very late, and we will be prepared to address them in the morning. So there could be a brief matter on that in the morning. Most of the references are to Yasser Arafat.

We already talked about them and we may have disagreements, and maybe not.

THE COURT: Is there something that you can point to,
Mr. Yalowitz, before you leave so he can see if he agrees with
you and you can cure that overnight?

MR. ROCHON: With his team, yes. I think we will have a counter-proposed redaction on it as well, which shows exactly what we think should be highlighted, and I will be prepared to send an e-mail on it.

THE COURT: If we are going to get to this issue with an exhibit to go before the jury tomorrow, see if you can resolve it right away. I don't know when you expect them to make the redactions if we are going to do this tomorrow.

There is one other thing. I want to emphasize the agreement that you had about notifying about the witnesses and notifying about the exhibits, I want you to also indicate which exhibits are being offered with which witness.

MR. ROCHON: Understood.

MR. YALOWITZ: That's how we understood the agreement and that's how we have been proceeding, and that's how we expect the defendants to proceed.

THE COURT: There was something that I read that implied that that did not happen in some instances.

MR. ROCHON: There was one time where I think it wasn't clear to us, but generally we have gotten it. They have

been following that practice generally.

THE COURT: I just want to make sure that's the understanding.

Anything else that you have to bring to my attention, if you can get it to me overnight I can look at it before we start and resolve it.

MR. ROCHON: Tomorrow we are going to have a witness who is fluent in Hebrew and Arabic, and the translations we have got have been provided by the plaintiffs. We really don't think it's appropriate to have their witness on the stand correcting translations live on the stand. Today with Mr. Kaufman it wasn't an issue, but we are now going to get into some Arabic stuff, and we really don't think the witness should be correcting the translations here in the courtroom live without letting us know in advance. If there is a problem with the translations the plaintiffs have provided us, hopefully we will find out about it from them beforehand, and certainly not with these witnesses just starting to go through and saying, this is right and this is wrong.

THE COURT: I don't anticipate that to be a problem.

Obviously, if Mr. Yalowitz anticipates there is a translation

that he thinks his witness is going to now say is not an

accurate translation, you should let them know before that

time. There are always some times when both sides realize the

witness is sitting in the box and says, I have read this and I

think there is a mistake. If that is a surprise, then see whether it's appropriate to let that witness comment on it or get your own expert back in to make sure they get it right.

MR. YALOWITZ: I will say on that, your Honor, the Court directed the defendants, if they had a problem with any of our translations, to give us proposed alternate translations, and we haven't received a single one. I don't expect there to be problems with the translations, but as you said, you never know.

THE COURT: You never know. At this point, I am assuming that they have no problems with the translations and you have no problems with the translations, and you're not aware of any witness that you're going to present who has a problem with the translations.

MR. YALOWITZ: I will say there is one that I know of --

THE COURT: Then you better let them know.

MR. YALOWITZ: -- which I have identified both to the Court and I will remind the defendants. It's just a singular plural. It's kind of a trivial thing.

THE COURT: We did that already on the stand.

MR. YALOWITZ: It's similar like that. I will be glad to let Mr. Rochon know.

THE COURT: As I say, just pull the rug out from under them and make sure they don't have an argument to make.

```
F1E8SOK6
               MR. YALOWITZ: Mr. Rochon is too able for me to cut
1
2
      him off on all his arguments.
 3
               MR. ROCHON: Have a good evening.
 4
               THE COURT: Have a good evening.
 5
               (Adjourned to January 15, 2015, at 9:30 a.m.)
 6
 7
 8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1]	ND	EΧ	0	F	ΕX	AM	ΙN	ΑT	ΙO	N			
2	Examir	natio	n c	of:															Ι	Page
3	NICHOL	LAS K	AUE	MA:	N															
4	Direct	в Ву	Mr.	. Y	alc	wi	tz	•		•	•	•	•				•	•	•	148
5								PL	ıΑΙ	NT	'IF	F	ΕX	ΗI	ΒI	TS				
6	Exhibi	t No	•																	Received
7	359		•			•	•	•		•	•	•		•						176
3	246		•			•	•	•				•							•	257
)	376					•	•			•	•	•								265
)	344		•				•	•		•	•	•	•				•	•	•	276
-	435		•				•	•		•	•	•	•				•	•	•	300
	359		•				•	•		•	•	•	•				•	•	•	305
	259		•				•	•		•	•	•	•				•	•	•	323
,																				